ISSN 2009-4086

National Parks and Wildlife Service

Conservation Objectives Series

Deenish Island and Scariff Island SPA 004175

NPWS
An tSeirbhís Páirceanna Náisiúnta agus Fiadhúlra
National Parks and Wildlife Service

National Parks and Wildlife Service,
Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage,

90 King Street North, Dublin 7, D07 N7CV, Ireland.

Web: www.npws.ie
E-mail: natureconservation@npws.gov.ie

Citation:

NPWS (2025) Conservation Objectives: Deenish Island and Scariff Island SPA 004175. Version 1. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage.

Series Editors: Maria Long and Colin Heaslip
ISSN 2009-4086

Introduction

The overall aim of the Habitats Directive is to maintain or restore the favourable conservation status of habitats and species of community interest. These habitats and species are listed in the Habitats and Birds Directives and Special Areas of Conservation and Special Protection Areas are designated to afford protection to the most vulnerable of them. These two designations are collectively known as the Natura 2000 network.

European and national legislation places a collective obligation on Ireland and its citizens to maintain habitats and species in the Natura 2000 network at favourable conservation condition. The Government and its agencies are responsible for the implementation and enforcement of regulations that will ensure the ecological integrity of these sites.

A site-specific conservation objective aims to define favourable conservation condition for a particular habitat or species at that site.

The maintenance of habitats and species within Natura 2000 sites at favourable conservation condition will contribute to the overall maintenance of favourable conservation status of those habitats and species at a national level.

Favourable conservation status of a habitat is achieved when:

- its natural range, and area it covers within that range, are stable or increasing, and
- the specific structure and functions which are necessary for its long-term maintenance exist and are likely to continue to exist for the foreseeable future, and
- the conservation status of its typical species is favourable.

The favourable conservation status of a species is achieved when:

- population dynamics data on the species concerned indicate that it is maintaining itself on a long-term basis as a viable component of its natural habitats, and
- the natural range of the species is neither being reduced nor is likely to be reduced for the foreseeable future, and
- there is, and will probably continue to be, a sufficiently large habitat to maintain its populations on a long-term basis.

Notes/Guidelines:

1. The targets given in these conservation objectives are based on best available information at the time of writing. As more information becomes available, targets for attributes may change. These will be updated periodically, as necessary.
2. An appropriate assessment based on these conservation objectives will remain valid even if the targets are subsequently updated, providing they were the most recent objectives available when the assessment was carried out. It is essential that the date and version are included when objectives are cited.
3. Assessments cannot consider an attribute in isolation from the others listed for that habitat or species, or for other habitats and species listed for that site. A plan or project with an apparently small impact on one attribute may have a significant impact on another.
4. Please note that the maps included in this document do not necessarily show the entire extent of the habitats and species for which the site is listed. This should be borne in mind when appropriate assessments are being carried out.
5. When using these objectives, it is essential that the relevant backing/supporting documents are consulted, particularly where instructed in the targets or notes for a particular attribute.

Qualifying Interests

**** indicates a priority habitat under the Habitats Directive***

004175	Deenish Island and Scariff Island SPA
A009	Fulmar *Fulmarus glacialis*
A013	Manx Shearwater *Puffinus puffinus*
A014	Storm Petrel *Hydrobates pelagicus*
A183	Lesser Black-backed Gull *Larus fuscus*
A194	Arctic Tern *Sterna paradisaea*

Please note that this SPA overlaps with Kenmare River SAC (002158). See map 2. The conservation objectives for this site should be used in conjunction with those for the overlapping site as appropriate.

Supporting documents, relevant reports & publications

Supporting documents, NPWS reports and publications are available for download from: www.npws.ie/Publications

NPWS Documents

Year :	2007
Title :	Seabird Productivity at East and South coast colonies in Ireland in 2007: Site accounts
Author :	Trewby, M.; Burt E.; Newton, S.
Series :	Unpublished report to NPWS
Year :	2021
Title :	Estimated foraging ranges of the breeding seabirds of Ireland's marine special protected area network
Author :	Power, A.; McDonnell, P.; Tierney, T.D.
Series :	Published NPWS report
Year :	2021
Title :	A Burrow Nesting Seabird Survey of Deenish Island and Scariff Island.
Author :	Dalton, R.; Healy, T.
Series :	Unpublished Report
Year :	2022
Title :	Rockabill Tern Report, 2022
Author :	Allbrook, D.; Dunne, S.; Fink, A.; Newton, S.
Series :	BirdWatch Ireland Seabird Conservation Report to NPWS
Year :	2022
Title :	Lady's Island Lake Tern Report 2022
Author :	Stubbings, E.; Büche, B.; Murray, T.; Newton, S.
Series :	BirdWatch Ireland Seabird Conservation Report to NPWS
Year :	2022
Title :	A Biosecurity Review of Deenish Island and Scariff Island.
Author :	Dalton, R.; Healy, T.
Series :	Unpublished Report
Year :	2023
Title :	Lady's Island Lake Tern Report 2023
Author :	Stubbings, E.; Büche, B.; Murray, T.; Newton, S.
Series :	BirdWatch Ireland Seabird Conservation Report to NPWS
Year :	2023
Title :	Rockabill Tern Report 2023
Author :	Fihey, A.; Crowley, C.; Fitzgerald, M.; Newton, S.
Series :	BirdWatch Ireland Seabird Conservation Report to NPWS
Year :	2024
Title :	Lady's Island Lake Tern Report 2024
Author :	Stubbings, E.; Büche, B.; Doyle, H.; Burke, B.; Newton, S.
Series :	BirdWatch Ireland Seabird Conservation Report to NPWS
Year :	2024
Title :	Rockabill Tern Report 2024
Author :	Coughlan, K.; Roberts, E.; Streker, R.; Newton, S.
Series :	BirdWatch Ireland Seabird Conservation Report to NPWS

Other References

Year :	1911
Title :	The fulmar petrel breeding in Ireland
Author :	Ussher, R.J.
Series :	The Irish Naturalist, 20(9), pp.149-152
Year :	1914
Title :	Fulmars, Gannets, and Other Sea-Birds on the Skelligs
Author :	Barrington, R. M.
Series :	The Irish Naturalist
Year :	1977
Title :	Handbook of the Birds of Europe, the Middle East and North Africa. The birds of the Western Palearctic, Vol. 1
Author :	Cramp, S.; Simmons, K.E.L.
Series :	Oxford University Press, Oxford
Year :	1980
Title :	The birds of Scariff, Deenish and neighbouring Islands, Co. Kerry.
Author :	Davies, J.C.
Series :	Irish Birds 1: 535-539.
Year :	1990
Title :	The Manx Shearwater
Author :	Brooke, M.
Series :	Poyser, London
Year :	1997
Title :	The status and distribution of breeding sandwich, roseate, common, arctic and little terns in Ireland in 1995
Author :	Hannon, C.; Berrow, S.D.; Newton, S.F.
Series :	Irish Birds, 6: 1-22
Year :	1999
Title :	Diet of the northern fulmar *Fulmarus glacialis*: reliance on commercial fisheries?
Author :	Phillips, R.A.; Petersen, M.K.; Lilliendahl, K.; Solmundsson, J.; Hamer, K.C.; Camphuysen, C.J.; Zonfrillo, B.
Series :	Marine Biology, 135 (1), pp.159-170
Year :	2003
Title :	Implications for seaward extensions to existing breeding seabird colony Special Protection Areas
Author :	McSorley, C.A.; Dean, B.J.; Webb, A.; Reid J.B.
Series :	JNCC Report No. 329
Year :	2004
Title :	Seabird populations of Britain and Ireland
Author :	Mitchell, P.I.; Newton, S.F.; Ratcliffe, N.; Dunn, T.E.
Series :	Poyser, London
Year :	2010
Title :	How Representative is the Current Monitoring of Breeding Seabirds in the UK?
Author :	Cook, A.S.C.P.; Robinson, R.A.
Series :	BTO Research Report No. 573
Year :	2014
Title :	The Lesser Black-backed Gull *Larus fuscus* in England: how to resolve a conservation conundrum
Author :	Ross-Smith, V.H.; Robinson, R.A.; Banks, A.N.; Frayling, T.D.; Gibson, C.C.; Clark, J.A.
Series :	Seabird, 27 (October), pp.41-61

Year :	2019
Title :	Desk-based revision of seabird foraging ranges used for HRA screening
Author :	Woodward, I.; Thaxter, C.B.; Owen, E.; Cook, A.S.C.P.
Series :	BTO Research Report No. 724
Year :	2020
Title :	Arctic tern (*Sterna paradisaea*), version 1.0. In Birds of the World (S. M. Billerman, Editor)
Author :	Hatch, J. J.; Gochfeld, M.; Burger, J.; Garcia, E. F. J.
Series :	Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA
Year :	2020
Title :	Lesser Black-backed Gull (*Larus fuscus*), version 1.0. In Birds of the World (J. del Hoyo, A. Elliott, J. Sargatal, D. A. Christie, and E. de Juana, Editors)
Author :	Burger, J.; Gochfeld, M.; Kirwan, G. M.; Christie,D. A.; de Juana, E
Series :	Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA
Year :	2021
Title :	European Storm-Petrel (*Hydrobates pelagicus*), version 1.1. In Birds of the World (Editor not available)
Author :	Carboneras, C.; Jutglar, F.; Kirwan, G.M.
Series :	Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA
Year :	2023
Title :	Seabirds Count: a census of breeding seabirds in Britain and Ireland (2015-2021)
Author :	Burnell, D.; Perkins, A.J.; Newton, S.F.; Bolton, M.; Tierney, T.D.; Dunn, T.E.
Series :	Lynx Nature Books, Barcelona
Year :	2023
Title :	Manx Shearwater *Puffinus puffinus*
Author :	Lee, D.S.; Haney, J.C.; Carboneras, C.; Jutglar, F.; Kirwan, G.M.
Series :	Birds of the World (N. D. Sly, Editor) Version: 1.1
Year :	2024
Title :	Seabird Population Trends and Causes of Change: 1986–2023, the annual report of the Seabird Monitoring Programme
Author :	Harris, S.J.; Baker, H.; Balmer, D.E.; Bolton, M.; Burton, N.H.K.; Caulfield, E.; Clarke, J.A.E.; Dunn, T.E.; Evans, T.J.; Hereward, H.R.F.; Humphreys, E.M.; Money, S.; O'Hanlon, N.J.
Series :	BTO Research Report 771

A009 Fulmar *Fulmarus glacialis*

To restore the Favourable conservation condition of Fulmar in Deenish Island and Scariff Island SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Breeding population size	Apparently Occupied Sites (AOS)	Long term SPA population trend is stable or increasing	Fulmar were first recorded as a breeding bird in Ireland in 1911, and in Co. Kerry it was first recorded breeding in 1913 on the Skelligs (Ussher, 1911; Barrington, 1914). A minimum of 61 pairs of Fulmar were recorded on Scariff Island in 1969 and the population increased to approximately 150 pairs in 1973 and 1978 (summarised in Davies, 1980). A survey of this SPA conducted in 2000 estimated a population of 385 pairs of Fulmar on Scariff Island (Mitchell et al., 2004). The population was similar in 2018 with 377 pairs on Scariff Island and 24 pairs on Deenish Island giving a total of 401 pairs for the SPA (Burnell et al., 2023), the peak count for this SPA. The most recent population estimate was conducted in 2022 and estimated 248 pairs on Scariff Island and 20 pairs on Deenish Island, indicating the Fulmar population has declined (NPWS internal files). The national population of Fulmar has increased by 89% between 1985 - 1988 and 2015 - 2021 (Burnell et al., 2023)
Productivity rate	Number of fledged young per breeding pair	Sufficient to maintain a stable or increasing population	There was no productivity data available for this species in this SPA. Trewby et al. (2007) reported that the average productivity from Lambay Island SPA was 0.32 (± 0.05 SE) chicks fledged per Apparently Occupied Sites (AOS) in 2007 (246 pairs across three subplots). Further monitoring and research work is required in order to identify a minimum productivity rate for this species at this site and at the national level. An analysis of the breeding success of Fulmar in the United Kingdom over a 25 year period estimated a mean breeding success of 0.39 and speculated this would result in a population decline (Cook and Robinson, 2010). They estimated that a breeding success of 0.5 would allow populations of Fulmar to stabilise and potentially increase
Distribution: extent of available nesting options within the SPA	Numbers and spatial distribution	Sufficient availability of suitable nesting sites throughout the SPA to maintain a stable or increasing population	Distribution encapsulates the number of locations and area of potentially suitable nesting habitat for the breeding population and its availability for use. The suitability and availability of habitat across the SPA may vary through time. This will affect the spatio-temporal patterns of use of the habitats by Fulmar. Typically, Fulmar nest near the tops of grassy cliffs on relatively wide ledges (Mitchell et al., 2004). Nesting Fulmar are primarily concentrated on Scariff Island within this SPA
Forage spatial distribution, extent, abundance and availability	Location, hectares, and forage biomass	Sufficient number of locations, area of suitable habitat and available forage biomass to support the population target	The colonisation of Ireland and Britain by Fulmar over the last two centuries has been largely attributed to their close association with fisheries, but contemporary dietary studies indicate that they also feed on a wide variety of prey, including sandeels, crustaceans, and squid (Phillips et al., 1999). Based on several studies, Woodward et al. (2019) provide estimates (i.e. overall mean; mean of maximum distances across all studies; and maximum distance recorded) of Fulmar foraging ranges from the nest site during the breeding season, which are 135km, 542km, and 2,736km respectively (see Power et al., 2021)

Disturbance at the breeding site	Intensity, frequency, timing and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact on birds at the breeding site	Disturbance events at the nest site/breeding colony level can result in a reduction of overall productivity and even lead to the abandonment of the breeding colony. The impact of any significant disturbance (direct or indirect) to the breeding population will ultimately affect the achievement of targets for population size and/or spatial distribution. Disturbance contributes to increased energetic expenditure, which can result in increased likelihood of mortality or reduced fitness (if energy expenditure is greater than energy gain) and, in turn, negatively impact population trends. Factors such as intensity, frequency, timing, and duration of a (direct or indirect) disturbance source must be taken into account to determine the potential impact upon the targets for population size and spatial distribution
Disturbance at areas ecologically connected to the colony	Intensity, frequency, timing and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact on breeding population	Seabird species can make extensive use of the marine waters adjacent to their breeding colonies for non site-specific maintenance behaviours (e.g. courtship, bathing, preening). Work carried out in the UK found that the highest densities of Fulmar performing these behaviours occurred within 2km of the breeding colony (McSorley et al., 2003)
Barriers to connectivity	Number, location, shape, and area (ha)	Barriers do not significantly impact the population's access to the SPA or other ecologically important sites outside the SPA	Seabirds, particularly during the breeding season, require regular and efficient access to marine waters ecologically connected to the colony in order to forage as well as to engage in other maintenance behaviours. Work carried out in the UK found that the highest densities of Fulmar performing these behaviours occurred within 2km of the breeding colony (McSorley et al., 2003). Based on several studies, Woodward et al. (2019) provide estimates (i.e. overall mean; mean of maximum distances across all studies; and maximum distance recorded) of Fulmar foraging ranges from the nest site during the breeding season, which are 135km, 542km, and 2,736km respectively (see Power et al., 2021)

A013 Manx Shearwater *Puffinus puffinus*

To maintain the Favourable conservation condition of Manx Shearwater in Deenish Island and Scariff Island SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Breeding population size	Apparently Occupied Sites (AOS)	Long term SPA population trend is stable or increasing	Manx Shearwater are nocturnal and nest underground on islands which leads to difficulties in surveying this species and generating accurate population estimates. Survey methods and analytical methods have changed between surveys and are likely to change in the future (Burnell et al., 2023). Therefore, caution is required when comparing estimates. Manx Shearwater are known to have bred on both Scariff and Deenish since at least 1978 (Davies, 1980). A survey conducted in 2000 estimated 1,960 breeding pairs on Scariff Island and an additional 351 pairs on Deenish Island giving an overall total of 2,311 pairs (Mitchell et al., 2004). The most recent survey conducted in 2021 estimated 14,283 pairs on Scariff Island and 1,225 pairs Deenish giving an overall estimate of 15,508 (Dalton and Healy, 2021; Burnell et al., 2023)
Productivity rate	Number of fledged young per breeding pair	Sufficient to maintain a stable or increasing population	There was no productivity data available for this species in this SPA. An analysis of monitoring data from 2021, 2023, and 2024 from Skellig Michael, Co. Kerry produced an estimate of 0.54 presumed fledged chick per active nest (NPWS internal files). In 2023, a productivity rate of 0.60 across three UK colonies was reported (Harris et al., 2024). Invasive mammals such as Brown Rat (*Rattus norvegicus*) and Mink (*Neovison vison*) can have deleterious impacts on Manx Shearwater breeding productivity and numbers. Recent biosecurity work indicated that the islands of this SPA were free of invasive mammals (Dalton and Healy, 2022)
Distribution: extent of available nesting options within the SPA	Numbers and spatial distribution	Sufficient availability of suitable nesting sites throughout the SPA to maintain a stable or increasing population	Manx Shearwater nest in burrows and under boulders. Colonies are typically found on steep grassy slopes on offshore islands where there is reduced predation risk (Lee et al., 2023). The majority of breeding Manx Shearwater are found on Scariff Island
Forage spatial distribution, extent, abundance and availability	Location, hectares, and forage biomass	Sufficient number of locations, area of suitable habitat and available forage biomass to support the population target	Manx Shearwater feed primarily on clupeiform fish such as Sprat (*Sprattus sprattus*) and Herring (*Clupea harengus*) (Lee et al., 2023). At times, squid and other marine invertebrates may form a larger part of their diet (Brooke, 1990). Based on several studies, Woodward et al. (2019) provide estimates (i.e. overall mean, mean of maximum distances across all studies, and maximum distance recorded) of foraging ranges from the nest site during the breeding season, which are 136km, 1,347km, and 2,890km respectively (see Power et al., 2021)
Disturbance at the breeding site	Intensity, frequency, timing and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact on birds at the breeding site	Disturbance events at the nest site/breeding colony level can result in a reduction of overall productivity and even lead to the abandonment of the breeding colony. The impact of any significant disturbance (direct or indirect) to the breeding population will ultimately affect the achievement of targets for population size and/or spatial distribution. Disturbance contributes to increased energetic expenditure, which can result in increased likelihood of mortality or reduced fitness (if energy expenditure is greater than energy gain) and, in turn, negatively impact population trends. Factors such as intensity, frequency, timing, and duration of a (direct or indirect) disturbance source must be taken into account to determine the potential impact upon the targets for population size and spatial distribution

Disturbance at areas ecologically connected to the colony	Intensity, frequency, timing and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact on breeding population	Seabird species can make extensive use of the marine waters adjacent to their breeding colonies for non site-specific maintenance behaviours (e.g. courtship, bathing, preening)
Barriers to connectivity	Number, location, shape, and area (ha)	Barriers do not significantly impact the population's access to the SPA or other ecologically important sites outside the SPA	Seabirds, particularly during the breeding season, require regular and efficient access to marine waters ecologically connected to the colony in order to forage as well as to engage in other maintenance behaviours. Based on several studies, Woodward et al. (2019) provide estimates (i.e. overall mean, mean of maximum distances across all studies, and maximum distance recorded) of foraging ranges from the nest site during the breeding season, which are 136km, 1,347km, and 2,890km respectively (see Power et al., 2021)

A014 Storm Petrel *Hydrobates pelagicus*

To maintain the Favourable conservation condition of Storm Petrel in Deenish Island and Scariff Island SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Breeding population size	Apparently Occupied Sites (AOS)	Long term SPA population trend is stable or increasing	Storm Petrel are small, nocturnal and nest underground on offshore islands which leads to difficulties in surveying this species and generating accurate population estimates. Survey methods and analytical methods for this species have changed between surveys and are likely to change in the future (Burnell et al., 2023). Therefore, caution is required when comparing estimates. Early population estimates for Storm Petrel in this SPA are broad (summarised in Davies, 1980). A population estimate of 5,500 pairs for Scariff Island and 700 pairs for Deenish Island is given in Mitchell et al. (2004) for the period 1998 - 2002. However, this estimate was considered a "best guess" (Burnell et al., 2023). A comprehensive survey conducted in 2021 recorded 10,968 pairs on Scariff Island and an 81 pairs on Deenish giving a combined total of 11,049 pairs (Dalton and Healy, 2021; Burnell et al., 2023)
Productivity rate	Number of fledged young per breeding pair	Sufficient to maintain a stable or increasing population	There was no productivity data available for this species in this SPA. There is a lack of published productivity estimates for this species. On Skellig Michael there is an ongoing programme of work to develop a method to produce robust productivity estimates for Storm Petrel at that site. In the UK there is insufficient data to produce productivity trends due to the difficulties involved in monitoring breeding success for this burrow and crevice nesting species (Harris et al., 2024). Invasive mammals such as Brown Rat (*Rattus norvegicus*) and Mink (*Neovison vison*) can have deleterious impacts on Storm Petrel breeding productivity and numbers. Recent biosecurity work indicated that the islands of this SPA were free of invasive mammals (Dalton and Healy, 2022)
Distribution: extent of available nesting options within the SPA	Numbers and spatial distribution	Sufficient availability of suitable nesting sites throughout the SPA to maintain a stable or increasing population	Distribution encapsulates the number of locations and area of potentially suitable nesting habitat for the breeding population and its availability for use. The suitability and availability of habitat across the SPA may vary through time. This will affect the spatio-temporal patterns of use of the habitats by Storm Petrel. Storm Petrel breed on rocky ground on offshore islands and stacks, and occasionally on headlands (Carboneras et al., 2021). Storm Petrel use a range of nesting habitats, including natural crevices, under rocks and boulders, in stone walls, in self-excavated burrows, and in burrows originally excavated by other species (Cramp and Simmons, 1977)
Forage spatial distribution, extent, abundance and availability	Location, hectares, and forage biomass	Sufficient number of locations, area of suitable habitat and available forage biomass to support the population target	The primary diet of the Storm Petrel is small fish (*Sprattus sprattus*, *Ammodytes marinus*), squid, and crustaceans (Carboneras et al., 2021). Based on several studies, Woodward et al. (2019) estimate a mean-max foraging range of 336km for Storm Petrel from the nest site during the breeding season (see Power et al., 2021)

Disturbance at the breeding site	Intensity, frequency, timing and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact on birds at the breeding site	Disturbance events at the nest site/breeding colony level can result in a reduction of overall productivity and even lead to the abandonment of the breeding colony. The impact of any significant disturbance (direct or indirect) to the breeding population will ultimately affect the achievement of targets for population size and/or spatial distribution. Disturbance contributes to increased energetic expenditure, which can result in increased likelihood of mortality or reduced fitness (if energy expenditure is greater than energy gain) and, in turn, negatively impact population trends. Factors such as intensity, frequency, timing, and duration of a (direct or indirect) disturbance source must be taken into account to determine the potential impact upon the targets for population size and spatial distribution
Disturbance at areas ecologically connected to the colony	Intensity, frequency, timing and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact on breeding population	Seabird species can make extensive use of the marine waters adjacent to their breeding colonies for non site-specific maintenance behaviours (e.g. courtship, bathing, preening), as defined in McSorley et al. (2003)
Barriers to connectivity	Number, location, shape, and area (ha)	Barriers do not significantly impact the population's access to the SPA or other ecologically important sites outside the SPA	Seabirds, particularly during the breeding season, require regular and efficient access to marine waters ecologically connected to the colony in order to forage as well as to engage in other maintenance behaviours. Based on several studies, Woodward et al. (2019) estimate a mean-max foraging range of 336km for Storm Petrel from the nest site during the breeding season (see Power et al., 2021)

A183 Lesser Black-backed Gull *Larus fuscus*

To restore the Favourable conservation condition of Lesser Black-backed Gull in Deenish Island and Scariff Island SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Breeding population size	Number of Apparently Occupied Nests (AON)	Long term SPA population trend is stable or increasing	A survey of Lesser Black-backed Gull in 1969 recorded 12 pairs on Scariff Island and two pairs on Deenish Island (Davies, 1980). The population increased to 128 pairs in 1973, all on Scariff (Davies, 1980). A follow up survey in 1978 found at least 10 pairs breeding on Deenish but none on Scariff (Davies, 1980). An estimated 97 pairs were recorded on Scariff Island in 2000 (Mitchell et al., 2004). A breeding survey in 2018 recorded 71 pairs on Deenish Island and 97 pairs on Scariff Island, a combined total of 168 pairs which is the peak count for this SPA. The most recent survey in 2022 recorded a combined total of 55 pairs, 25 pairs on Deenish Island and 30 pairs on Scariff Island (NPWS internal files). This represents a decrease of 43% since 2000. The natural-nesting population in Ireland has increased by 163% between surveys in 1998 - 2002 and 2015 - 2021 (Burnell et al., 2023)
Productivity rate	Number of fledged young per breeding pair	Sufficient to maintain a stable or increasing population	There was no productivity data available for this species in this SPA. Trewby et al. (2007) reported that the mean productivity of Lesser Black-backed Gull from Lambay Island SPA was 1.66 (± 0.14 SE) chicks fledged per pair in 2007 (18 pairs across three subplots). Further monitoring and research work is required in order to identify a minimum productivity rate for this species at this site and at the national level. Ross-Smith et al. (2014) summarise Lesser Black-backed Gull productivity in some UK colonies, and colonies with productivity rates above 1.0 had increasing population trends
Distribution: extent of available nesting options within the SPA	Numbers and spatial distribution	Sufficient availability of suitable nesting sites throughout the SPA to maintain a stable or increasing population	Distribution encapsulates the number of locations and area of potentially suitable nesting habitat for the breeding population and its availability for use. The suitability and availability of habitat across the SPA may vary through time. Lesser Black-backed Gull nests colonially, often with other gull species on offshore islands and coastal cliffs (Mitchell et al., 2004). Lesser Black-backed Gull have nested on both islands of this SPA
Forage spatial distribution, extent, abundance and availability	Location, hectares, and forage biomass	Sufficient number of locations, area of suitable habitat and available forage biomass to support the population target	The diet of Lesser Black-backed Gull is diverse and opportunistic. This species can forage over both terrestrial and aquatic habitats. Frequent prey items include small fish, aquatic invertebrates, bird's eggs and chicks, trawler discards, rodents, and berries (Burger et al., 2020). Based on several studies, Woodward et al. (2019) provide estimates of foraging ranges from the nest site during the breeding season (i.e. overall mean, mean of maximum distances across all studies, and maximum distance recorded) for Lesser Black-backed Gull, which are 43km, 127km, and 533km respectively (see Power et al., 2021)

Disturbance at the breeding site	Intensity, frequency, timing and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact on birds at the breeding site	Disturbance events at the nest site/breeding colony level can result in a reduction of overall productivity and even lead to the abandonment of the breeding colony. The impact of any significant disturbance (direct or indirect) to the breeding population will ultimately affect the achievement of targets for population size and/or spatial distribution. Disturbance contributes to increased energetic expenditure, which can result in increased likelihood of mortality or reduced fitness (if energy expenditure is greater than energy gain) and, in turn, negatively impact population trends. Factors such as intensity, frequency, timing, and duration of a (direct or indirect) disturbance source must be taken into account to determine the potential impact upon the targets for population size and spatial distribution
Disturbance at areas ecologically connected to the colony	Intensity, frequency, timing and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact on breeding population	Seabird species can make extensive use of the marine waters adjacent to their breeding colonies for non site-specific maintenance behaviours (e.g. courtship, bathing, preening), as defined in McSorley et al. (2003). Additionally, some species may engage in maintenance behaviours outside of the breeding colony but not in the water
Barriers to connectivity	Number, location, shape, and area (ha)	Barriers do not significantly impact the population's access to the SPA or other ecologically important sites outside the SPA	Seabirds, particularly during the breeding season, require regular and efficient access to marine waters ecologically connected to the colony in order to forage as well as to engage in other maintenance behaviours. Based on several studies, Woodward et al. (2019) provide estimates of foraging ranges from the nest site during the breeding season (i.e. overall mean, mean of maximum distances across all studies, and maximum distance recorded) for Lesser Black-backed Gull, which are 43km, 127km, and 533km respectively (see Power et al., 2021)

A194 Arctic Tern *Sterna paradisaea*

To restore the Favourable conservation condition of Arctic Tern in Deenish Island and Scariff Island SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Breeding population size	Number of Apparently Occupied Nests (AON)	Long term SPA population trend is stable or increasing	In the 1970s, small mixed colonies of terns have bred on several of the rocky islets in Derrynane Bay and off Derrynane Harbour but not necessarily using the same islets each year; Arctic Tern was not recorded as a breeding species in this SPA in 1978 (Davies, 1980). These islands were surveyed as part of the all-Ireland tern survey in 1995 and 55 pairs of Arctic Tern were recorded breeding on Deenish Island (Hannon et al., 1997). No breeding Arctic Tern were recorded within this SPA during seabird surveys in 2018 and 2022 (Burnell et al., 2023; NPWS internal files). It has been speculated that the Arctic Tern population has relocated to Two Headed Island which is 4km east at the mouth of Derrynane Bay (Dalton and Healy, 2021)
Productivity rate	Number of fledged young per breeding pair	Sufficient to maintain a stable or increasing population	There was no productivity data available for this species in this SPA. Annual productivity estimates are available from the wardened tern colonies of Rockabill and Lady's Island Lake. Over a three-year period (2022 - 2024) the average productivity estimates were 0.24 and 0.93 chicks per nest respectively (Stubbings et al., 2022, 2023 and 2024; Coughlan et al., 2024, Fihey et al., 2023; and Allbrook et al., 2022). As this species is long-lived there is a possibility that a population could be returning to a nest site annually but not fledging any chicks. Caution should be taken when interpreting the results of tern breeding numbers, especially on offshore islands, without having productivity data
Distribution: extent of available nesting options within the SPA	Numbers and spatial distribution	Sufficient availability of suitable nesting sites throughout the SPA to maintain a stable or increasing population	Distribution encapsulates the number of locations and area of potentially suitable nesting habitat for the breeding population and its availability for use. The suitability and availability of habitat across the SPA may vary through time. This will affect the spatio-temporal patterns of use of the habitats by Arctic Tern. Terns are ground nesting birds. Typically colonies are found in open areas close to the shore, frequently in areas with loose substrate or low vegetation (Hatch et al., 2020). In Ireland all known large colonies are situated on marine or inland islands of varying distances from the mainland/shore
Forage spatial distribution, extent, abundance and availability	Location, hectares, and forage biomass	Sufficient number of locations, area of suitable habitat and available forage biomass to support the population target	Arctic Tern are largely piscivorous. The most frequent fish prey are small, schooling species commonly caught in open water, at tide rips, and over predators (e.g. jellyfish and marine mammals). These are usually 1- or 2-year-old fish from the Clupeidae (herring), Gadidae (cod, pollock) and Ammodytidae (sandeel) families (Hatch et al., 2020). Based on several studies, Woodward et al. (2019) provide estimates (i.e. overall mean, mean of maximum distances across all studies, and maximum distance recorded) of Arctic Tern foraging ranges from the nest site during the breeding season, which are 6km, 26km, and 46km respectively (see Power et al., 2021). Arctic Tern were last recorded breeding on Deenish Island only

Disturbance at the breeding site	Intensity, frequency, timing and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact on birds at the breeding site	Disturbance events at the nest site/breeding colony level can result in a reduction of overall productivity and even lead to the abandonment of the breeding colony. The impact of any significant disturbance (direct or indirect) to the breeding population will ultimately affect the achievement of targets for population size and/or spatial distribution. Disturbance contributes to increased energetic expenditure, which can result in increased likelihood of mortality or reduced fitness (if energy expenditure is greater than energy gain) and, in turn, negatively impact population trends. Factors such as intensity, frequency, timing, and duration of a (direct or indirect) disturbance source must be taken into account to determine the potential impact upon the targets for population size and spatial distribution
Disturbance at areas ecologically connected to the colony	Intensity, frequency, timing and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact on breeding population	Seabird species can make extensive use of the waters adjacent to their breeding colonies for non site-specific maintenance behaviours (e.g. courtship, bathing, preening) as defined in McSorley et al. (2003). Additionally, some species may engage in maintenance behaviours outside of the breeding colony but not in the water. For example, terns may roost on rocky islets or beaches away from the breeding colony
Barriers to connectivity	Number, location, shape, and area (ha)	Barriers do not significantly impact the population's access to the SPA or other ecologically important sites outside the SPA	Seabirds, particularly during the breeding season, require regular access to waters ecologically connected to the colony in order to forage, as well as to engage in other maintenance behaviours. Based on several studies, Woodward et al. (2019) provide estimates (i.e. overall mean, mean of maximum distances across all studies, and maximum distance recorded) of Arctic Tern foraging ranges from the nest site during the breeding season, which are 6km, 26km, and 46km respectively (see Power et al., 2021)



Legend

Deenish Island and Scariff Island SPA 004175

NPWS An tSeirbhís Páirceanna Náisiúnta agus Fiadhúlra National Parks and Wildlife Service

MAP 1:
DEENISH ISLAND AND SCARIFF ISLAND SPA
CONSERVATION OBJECTIVES
SPA DESIGNATION

Map to be read in conjunction with the NPWS Conservation Objectives Document

SITE CODE:
SPA 004175; version 3
CO. KERRY

0 1.25 2.5 5 Kilometres

N

Map version 1
Date: July 2024



NPWS An tSeirbhís Páirceanna Náisiúnta agus Fiadhúlra National Parks and Wildlife Service

MAP 2:
DEENISH ISLAND AND SCARIFF ISLAND SPA
CONSERVATION OBJECTIVES
OVERLAPPING AND ADJACENT SITES

Map to be read in conjunction with the NPWS Conservation Objectives Document

SITE CODE:
SPA 004175; version 3
CO.KERRY

0 2 4 8 Kilometres

N

Map version 1
Date: July 2024