ISSN 2009-4086

National Parks and Wildlife Service

Conservation Objectives Series

Clare Island SPA 004136

NPWS
An tSeirbhís Páirceanna Náisiúnta agus Fiadhúlra
National Parks and Wildlife Service

National Parks and Wildlife Service,
Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage,

90 King Street North, Dublin 7, D07 N7CV, Ireland.

Web: www.npws.ie
E-mail: natureconservation@npws.gov.ie

Citation:

NPWS (2025) Conservation Objectives: Clare Island SPA 004136. Version 1. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage.

Series Editors: Maria Long and Colin Heaslip
ISSN 2009-4086

Introduction

The overall aim of the Habitats Directive is to maintain or restore the favourable conservation status of habitats and species of community interest. These habitats and species are listed in the Habitats and Birds Directives and Special Areas of Conservation and Special Protection Areas are designated to afford protection to the most vulnerable of them. These two designations are collectively known as the Natura 2000 network.

European and national legislation places a collective obligation on Ireland and its citizens to maintain habitats and species in the Natura 2000 network at favourable conservation condition. The Government and its agencies are responsible for the implementation and enforcement of regulations that will ensure the ecological integrity of these sites.

A site-specific conservation objective aims to define favourable conservation condition for a particular habitat or species at that site.

The maintenance of habitats and species within Natura 2000 sites at favourable conservation condition will contribute to the overall maintenance of favourable conservation status of those habitats and species at a national level.

Favourable conservation status of a habitat is achieved when:

- its natural range, and area it covers within that range, are stable or increasing, and
- the specific structure and functions which are necessary for its long-term maintenance exist and are likely to continue to exist for the foreseeable future, and
- the conservation status of its typical species is favourable.

The favourable conservation status of a species is achieved when:

- population dynamics data on the species concerned indicate that it is maintaining itself on a long-term basis as a viable component of its natural habitats, and
- the natural range of the species is neither being reduced nor is likely to be reduced for the foreseeable future, and
- there is, and will probably continue to be, a sufficiently large habitat to maintain its populations on a long-term basis.

Notes/Guidelines:

1. The targets given in these conservation objectives are based on best available information at the time of writing. As more information becomes available, targets for attributes may change. These will be updated periodically, as necessary.
2. An appropriate assessment based on these conservation objectives will remain valid even if the targets are subsequently updated, providing they were the most recent objectives available when the assessment was carried out. It is essential that the date and version are included when objectives are cited.
3. Assessments cannot consider an attribute in isolation from the others listed for that habitat or species, or for other habitats and species listed for that site. A plan or project with an apparently small impact on one attribute may have a significant impact on another.
4. Please note that the maps included in this document do not necessarily show the entire extent of the habitats and species for which the site is listed. This should be borne in mind when appropriate assessments are being carried out.
5. When using these objectives, it is essential that the relevant backing/supporting documents are consulted, particularly where instructed in the targets or notes for a particular attribute.

Qualifying Interests

**** indicates a priority habitat under the Habitats Directive***

004136	Clare Island SPA
A009	Fulmar *Fulmarus glacialis*
A018	Shag *Phalacrocorax aristotelis*
A182	Common Gull *Larus canus*
A188	Kittiwake *Rissa tridactyla*
A199	Guillemot *Uria aalge*
A200	Razorbill *Alca torda*
A346	Chough *Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax*

Please note that this SPA overlaps with West Connacht Coast SAC (002998) and Clare Island Cliffs SAC (002243). See map 2. The conservation objectives for this site should be used in conjunction with those for the overlapping site(s) as appropriate.

Supporting documents, relevant reports & publications

Supporting documents, NPWS reports and publications are available for download from: www.npws.ie/Publications

NPWS Documents

Year :	2007
Title :	Seabird Productivity at East and South coast colonies in Ireland in 2007: Site accounts
Author :	Trewby, M.; Burt E.; Newton, S.
Series :	Unpublished report to NPWS
Year :	2010
Title :	The seasonal distribution and foraging behaviour of Red-billed Choughs *Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax* in Counties Waterford and Cork, February 2008 to January 2009
Author :	Trewby, M.; Carroll; D.; Mugan, N.; O'Keeffe, D.; Newton, S.
Series :	Unpublished BirdWatch Ireland Report to National Parks & Wildlife Service, Kilcoole, Wicklow
Year :	2015
Title :	Results of a Breeding Survey of Important Cliff-Nesting Seabird Colonies in Ireland 2015 – with an interim analysis on population changes
Author :	Newton, S.; Lewis, L.; Trewby, M.
Series :	Unpublished report by BWI to National Parks and WIldife Service
Year :	2021
Title :	Estimated foraging ranges of the breeding seabirds of Ireland's marine special protected area network
Author :	Power, A.; McDonnell, P.; Tierney, T.D.
Series :	Published NPWS report
Year :	2024
Title :	Status and Distribution of Chough in Ireland: Results of the National Survey 2021
Author :	Colhoun, K.; Rooney, E.; Collins, J.; Keogh, N.P.; Lauder, A.; Heardman, C.; Cummins, S.
Series :	Irish Wildlife Manuals No. 151

Other References

Year :	1900
Title :	The Birds of Ireland: An Account of the Distribution, Migrations and Habits of Birds as Observed in Ireland, with All Additions to the Irish List
Author :	Ussher, R.J.; Warren, R.
Series :	Gurney and Jackson
Year :	1911
Title :	The fulmar petrel breeding in Ireland
Author :	Ussher, R.J.
Series :	The Irish Naturalist, 20(9), pp.149-152
Year :	1965
Title :	The status of the Chough in Ireland
Author :	Cabot, D.
Series :	Irish Naturalists' Journal 15: 95-100
Year :	1966
Title :	Ireland's Birds: their distribution and migrations
Author :	Ruttledge, R.F.
Series :	Published by HF & G Witherby, London

Year :	1983
Title :	The chough in Britain and Ireland
Author :	Bullock, I.; Drewett, D.; Mickleburg, S.
Series :	British Birds, 76: 377–401
Year :	1993
Title :	The second international chough survey in Ireland, 1992
Author :	Berrow, S.D.; Mackie, K.L.; O'Sullivan, O.; Shepherd, K.B.; Mellon, C.; Coveney, J.A.
Series :	Irish Birds, 5: 1-10
Year :	1993
Title :	Seasonal variations in numbers and levels of activity in a communal roost of Choughs *Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax* in central Spain
Author :	Blanco, G.; Fargallo, J.A.; Cuevas, J.A.
Series :	Avocetta, 17: 41-44
Year :	1999
Title :	Diet of the northern fulmar *Fulmarus glacialis*: reliance on commercial fisheries?
Author :	Phillips, R.A.; Petersen, M.K.; Lilliendahl, K.; Solmundsson, J.; Hamer, K.C.; Camphuysen, C.J.; Zonfrillo, B.
Series :	Marine Biology, 135 (1), pp.159-170
Year :	2003
Title :	The status and distribution of choughs *Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax* in the Republic of Ireland 2002/03
Author :	Gray, N.; Thomas, G.; Trewby, M.; Newton, S.F.
Series :	Irish Birds, 7, 147-156
Year :	2003
Title :	Implications for seaward extensions to existing breeding seabird colony Special Protection Areas
Author :	McSorley, C.A.; Dean, B.J.; Webb, A.; Reid J.B.
Series :	JNCC Report No. 329
Year :	2004
Title :	Seabird populations of Britain and Ireland
Author :	Mitchell, P.I.; Newton, S.F.; Ratcliffe, N.; Dunn, T.E.
Series :	Poyser, London
Year :	2005
Title :	Choughs *Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax* breeding in Wales select foraging habitat at different spatial scales
Author :	Whitehead, S.; Johnstone, I.; Wilson, J.
Series :	Bird Study, 52:2, 193-203
Year :	2006
Title :	The breeding season foraging behaviour of choughs *Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax* in three Irish chough important bird areas
Author :	Trewby, M., Gray, N., Cummins, S., Thomas, G. & Newton, S.
Series :	Unpublished BirdWatch Ireland Report, Kilcoole, Wicklow
Year :	2006
Title :	Linking territory quality and reproductive success in the chough (*Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax*): implications for conservation management of an endangered population
Author :	Kerbiriou, C.; Gourmelon, F.; Jiguet, F.; Le Viol, I.; Frédéric Bioret, F.; Julliard, R.
Series :	Ibis, 148 (2), pp.352-364

Year :	2010
Title :	How Representative is the Current Monitoring of Breeding Seabirds in the UK?
Author :	Cook, A.S.C.P.; Robinson, R.A.
Series :	BTO Research Report No. 573
Year :	2011
Title :	Aspects of the feeding ecology and breeding biology of the red-billed chough (*Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax*) in Ireland
Author :	Boylan, M.
Series :	PhD Thesis, National University of Ireland, Cork.
Year :	2017
Title :	Productivity of the Black-legged Kittiwake *Rissa tridactyla* required to maintain numbers
Author :	Coulson, J.C.
Series :	Bird Study 64: 84-89
Year :	2018
Title :	Breeding status of red-billed choughs *Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax* in the UK and Isle of Man in 2014
Author :	Hayhow, D.B.; Johnstone, I.; Moore, A.S.; Mucklow, C.; Stratford, A.; Šúr, M.; Eaton, M.A.
Series :	Bird Study, 65(4), 458-470
Year :	2019
Title :	Adverse effects of routine bovine health treatments containing triclabendazole and synthetic pyrethroids on the abundance of dipteran larvae in bovine faeces
Author :	Gilbert, G.; MacGillivray, F.S.; Robertson, H.L.; Jonsson, N.N.
Series :	Nature Scientific Reports 9, 4315
Year :	2019
Title :	Desk-based revision of seabird foraging ranges used for HRA screening
Author :	Woodward, I.; Thaxter, C.B.; Owen, E.; Cook, A.S.C.P.
Series :	BTO Research Report No. 724
Year :	2020
Title :	Black-legged Kittiwake (*Rissa tridactyla*), version 1.0. In Birds of the World (S. M. Billerman, Editor)
Author :	Hatch, S. A.; Robertson, G. J.; Baird, P. H.
Series :	Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA
Year :	2020
Title :	Razorbill (*Alca torda*), version 1.0. In Birds of the World (S. M. Billerman, Editor)
Author :	Lavers, J.; Hipfner, J. M.; G. Chapdelaine, G.
Series :	Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA
Year :	2021
Title :	Common Murre (*Uria aalge*), version 2.0. In Birds of the World (S. M. Billerman, P. G. Rodewald, and B. K. Keeney, Editors)
Author :	Ainley, D. G.; Nettleship, D. N.; Storey, A. E.
Series :	Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA
Year :	2021
Title :	Common Gull (*Larus canus*), version 1.1. In Birds of the World (S. M. Billerman, Editor)
Author :	Moskoff, W.; Bevier, L.R.; Rasmussen, P.C.
Series :	Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA
Year :	2021
Title :	European Shag (*Gulosus aristotelis*), version 1.2. In Birds of the World (B. K. Keeney, Editor)
Author :	Orta, J., Garcia, E. F. J.; Jutglar, F.; Kirwan, G. M.; Boesman, P. F. D.
Series :	Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA

Year :	2022
Title :	Chough *Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax* counts at a Waterford coastal roost
Author :	McGrath, D.
Series :	Irish Birds 44: 103-107
Year :	2023
Title :	Seabirds Count: a census of breeding seabirds in Britain and Ireland (2015-2021)
Author :	Burnell, D.; Perkins, A.J.; Newton, S.F.; Bolton, M.; Tierney, T.D.; Dunn, T.E.
Series :	Lynx Nature Books, Barcelona
Year :	2024
Title :	European Shag (*Phalacrocorax aristotelis*)
Author :	JNCC
Series :	https://jncc.gov.uk/our-work/european-shag-phalacrocorax-aristotelis/
Year :	2024
Title :	Seabird Population Trends and Causes of Change: 1986–2023, the annual report of the Seabird Monitoring Programme
Author :	Harris, S.J.; Baker, H.; Balmer, D.E.; Bolton, M.; Burton, N.H.K.; Caulfield, E.; Clarke, J.A.E.; Dunn, T.E.; Evans, T.J.; Hereward, H.R.F.; Humphreys, E.M.; Money, S.; O'Hanlon, N.J.
Series :	BTO Research Report 771

A009 Fulmar *Fulmarus glacialis*

To restore the Favourable conservation condition of Fulmar in Clare Island SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Breeding population size	Apparently Occupied Sites (AOS)	Long term SPA population trend is stable or increasing	Fulmar were first recorded as a breeding bird in Ireland in 1911 in Co. Mayo (Ussher, 1911). It is likely that Clare Island was colonised shortly after given the significant rate at which the Fulmar population and range has expanded in Ireland since. This SPA is large with approximately 10km of cliffs and as a result the seabird colonies are difficult to survey. A complete survey of the SPA was conducted in 1999 and recorded 4,029 pairs of breeding Fulmar (Mitchell et al., 2004). Clare Island was the largest Fulmar colony in Ireland at this time accounting for 12% of the national population. The most recent survey in 2015 recorded 2,647 pairs, a decrease of 34% since 1999 (Burnell et al., 2023). The Clare Island colony at this time was the third largest in the country, accounting for 8% of the national population. This declining trend contrasts with the national population estimate which has increased by 89% over the period 1985 - 2021 (Burnell et al., 2023)
Productivity rate	Number of fledged young per breeding pair	Sufficient to maintain a stable or increasing population	There was no productivity data available for this species in this SPA. Trewby et al. (2007) reported that the average productivity from Lambay Island SPA was 0.32 (± 0.05 SE) chicks fledged per Apparently Occupied Sites (AOS) in 2007 (246 pairs across three subplots). Further monitoring and research work is required in order to identify a minimum productivity rate for this species at this site and at the national level. An analysis of the breeding success of Fulmar in the United Kingdom over a 25 year period estimated a mean breeding success of 0.39 and speculated this would result in a population decline (Cook and Robinson, 2010). They estimated that a breeding success of 0.5 would allow populations of Fulmar to stabilise and potentially increase
Distribution: extent of available nesting options within the SPA	Numbers and spatial distribution	Sufficient availability of suitable nesting sites throughout the SPA to maintain a stable or increasing population	Distribution encapsulates the number of locations and area of potentially suitable nesting habitat for the breeding population and its availability for use. The suitability and availability of habitat across the SPA may vary through time. This will affect the spatio-temporal patterns of use of the habitats by Fulmar. Typically, Fulmar nest near the tops of grassy cliffs on relatively wide ledges (Mitchell et al., 2004). Nesting Fulmar are widely distributed along the coastline of this SPA
Forage spatial distribution, extent, abundance and availability	Location, hectares, and forage biomass	Sufficient number of locations, area of suitable habitat and available forage biomass to support the population target	The colonisation of Ireland and Britain by Fulmar over the last two centuries has been largely attributed to their close association with fisheries, but contemporary dietary studies indicate that they also feed on a wide variety of prey, including sandeels, crustaceans, and squid (Phillips et al., 1999). Based on several studies, Woodward et al. (2019) provide estimates (i.e. overall mean; mean of maximum distances across all studies; and maximum distance recorded) of Fulmar foraging ranges from the nest site during the breeding season, which are 135km, 542km, and 2,736km respectively (see Power et al., 2021)

Disturbance at the breeding site	Intensity, frequency, timing and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact on birds at the breeding site	Disturbance events at the nest site/breeding colony level can result in a reduction of overall productivity and even lead to the abandonment of the breeding colony. The impact of any significant disturbance (direct or indirect) to the breeding population will ultimately affect the achievement of targets for population size and/or spatial distribution. Disturbance contributes to increased energetic expenditure, which can result in increased likelihood of mortality or reduced fitness (if energy expenditure is greater than energy gain) and, in turn, negatively impact population trends. Factors such as intensity, frequency, timing, and duration of a (direct or indirect) disturbance source must be taken into account to determine the potential impact upon the targets for population size and spatial distribution
Disturbance at areas ecologically connected to the colony	Intensity, frequency, timing and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact on breeding population	Seabird species can make extensive use of the marine waters adjacent to their breeding colonies for non site-specific maintenance behaviours (e.g. courtship, bathing, preening). Work carried out in the UK found that the highest densities of Fulmar performing these behaviours occurred within 2km of the breeding colony (McSorley et al., 2003)
Barriers to connectivity	Number, location, shape, and area (ha)	Barriers do not significantly impact the population's access to the SPA or other ecologically important sites outside the SPA	Seabirds, particularly during the breeding season, require regular and efficient access to marine waters ecologically connected to the colony in order to forage as well as to engage in other maintenance behaviours. Work carried out in the UK found that the highest densities of Fulmar performing these behaviours occurred within 2km of the breeding colony (McSorley et al., 2003). Based on several studies, Woodward et al. (2019) provide estimates (i.e. overall mean; mean of maximum distances across all studies; and maximum distance recorded) of Fulmar foraging ranges from the nest site during the breeding season, which are 135km, 542km, and 2,736km respectively (see Power et al., 2021)

A018 Shag *Phalacrocorax aristotelis*

To restore the Favourable conservation condition of Shag in Clare Island SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Breeding population size	Number of Apparently Occupied Nests (AON)	Long term SPA population trend is stable or increasing	Ussher and Warren (1900) noted that Shag were numerous in Co. Mayo. This SPA is large with approximately 10km of cliffs and as a result the seabird colonies are difficult to survey. Complete surveys of the SPA were conducted in 1999 and 2015 (Mitchell et al., 2004; Burnell et al., 2023). The survey conducted in 1999 recorded 89 pairs of breeding Shag (NPWS internal files). The most recent survey in 2015 recorded 78 pairs of breeding Shag, a decrease of 12% since 1999 (Burnell et al., 2023). Within the same time period the national population of Shag has increased by 40% (Burnell et al., 2023)
Productivity rate	Number of fledged young per breeding pair	Sufficient to maintain a stable or increasing population	There was no productivity data available for this species in this SPA. Trewby et al. (2007) reported that the average productivity from Lambay Island SPA was 1.69 (± 0.08 SE) chicks fledged per AON in 2007 (135 pairs across five subplots). Further monitoring and research work is required in order to identify a minimum productivity rate for this species at this site and at the national level. Shag productivity in Scotland has averaged 1.28 chicks fledged per pair between 1986 and 2019 (JNCC, 2024). In this time period the Scottish population of Shag has decreased 47% (Burnell et al., 2023). However, the cause of decline may not be related to productivity rate but rather due to significant losses of the adult population during "wrecks" in some winters during this time period (JNCC, 2024)
Distribution: extent of available nesting options within the SPA	Numbers and spatial distribution	Sufficient availability of suitable nesting sites throughout the SPA to maintain a stable or increasing population	Distribution encapsulates the number of locations and area of potentially suitable nesting habitat for the breeding population and its availability for use. The suitability and availability of habitat across the SPA may vary through time. This will affect the spatio-temporal patterns of use of the habitats by Shag. Typically this species breeds on sea cliffs, rocks and stacks (Orta et al., 2021). Nesting Shag are widely distributed along this SPA with the largest colony located on the southern coast of the island
Forage spatial distribution, extent, abundance and availability	Location, hectares, and forage biomass	Sufficient number of locations, area of suitable habitat and available forage biomass to support the population target	The diet of Shag is almost exclusively fish, taken chiefly near the sea bed or at intermediate depths, and principally of the families Ammodytidae (sandeels), Gadidae, Clupeidae, Cottidae, and Labridae, but a wide range of other species can be taken, perhaps opportunistically (Orta et al., 2021). Based on several studies, Woodward et al. (2019) provide estimates of foraging ranges from the nest site during the breeding season (i.e. overall mean, mean of maximum distances across all studies, and maximum distance recorded) for Shag, which are 9km, 13km, and 46km respectively (see Power et al., 2021)

Disturbance at the breeding site	Intensity, frequency, timing and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact on birds at the breeding site	Disturbance events at the nest site/breeding colony level can result in a reduction of overall productivity and even lead to the abandonment of the breeding colony. The impact of any significant disturbance (direct or indirect) to the breeding population will ultimately affect the achievement of targets for population size and/or spatial distribution. Disturbance contributes to increased energetic expenditure, which can result in increased likelihood of mortality or reduced fitness (if energy expenditure is greater than energy gain) and, in turn, negatively impact population trends. Factors such as intensity, frequency, timing, and duration of a (direct or indirect) disturbance source must be taken into account to determine the potential impact upon the targets for population size and spatial distribution
Disturbance at areas ecologically connected to the colony	Intensity, frequency, timing and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact on breeding population	Seabird species can make extensive use of the marine waters adjacent to their breeding colonies for non site-specific maintenance behaviours (e.g. courtship, bathing, preening), as defined in McSorley et al. (2003)
Barriers to connectivity	Number, location, shape, and area (ha)	Barriers do not significantly impact the population's access to the SPA or other ecologically important sites outside the SPA	Seabirds, particularly during the breeding season, require regular and efficient access to marine waters ecologically connected to the colony in order to forage as well as to engage in other maintenance behaviours. Woodward et al. (2019) provide estimates of foraging ranges from the nest site during the breeding season (i.e. overall mean, mean of maximum distances across all studies, and maximum distance recorded) for Shag, which are 9km, 13km, and 46km respectively (see Power et al., 2021)

A182 Common Gull *Larus canus*

To restore the Favourable conservation condition of Common Gull in Clare Island SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Breeding population size	Number of Apparently Occupied Nests (AON)	Long term SPA population trend is stable or increasing	This SPA is large with approximately 10km of cliffs and as a result the seabird colonies are difficult to survey. Complete surveys of the SPA were conducted in 1999 and 2015 (Mitchell et al., 2004; Burnell et al., 2023). The survey conducted in 1999 recorded 39 pairs of breeding Common Gull (Newton et al., 2015). The most recent survey in 2015 did not record any breeding Common Gull within the SPA indicating a population collapse (Newton et al., 2015). A small number of Common Gull were recorded breeding on Clare Island in 2015 but outside of the SPA. The national population of Common Gull has increased by 89% between surveys in 1998 - 2002 and 2015 - 2021 (Burnell et al., 2023)
Productivity rate	Number of fledged young per breeding pair	Sufficient to maintain a stable or increasing population	There was no productivity data available for this species in this SPA. A lack of comprehensive Irish data precludes the identification of a minimum productivity rate for this species at the site and at the national level. Common Gull productivity in Scotland between 2000 and 2020 was below 0.6 chicks per breeding pair; in this time period the Scottish population of Common Gull was decreasing (Harris et al., 2024)
Distribution: extent of available nesting options within the SPA	Numbers and spatial distribution	Sufficient availability of suitable nesting sites throughout the SPA to maintain a stable or increasing population	Distribution encapsulates the number of locations and area of potentially suitable nesting habitat for the breeding population and its availability for use. The suitability and availability of habitat areas may vary through time. This will affect the spatio-temporal patterns of use of the habitats by Common Gull. Common Gull breeding near marine environments typically nest on small inshore rocky stacks, islets and islands, grassy and rocky slopes, sand dunes, and the foreshore (Moskoff et al., 2021). Nesting Common Gull in 1999 were entirely located on the southern coast of the island
Forage spatial distribution, extent, abundance and availability	Location, hectares, and forage biomass	Sufficient number of locations, area of suitable habitat and available forage biomass to support the population target	Diet varies by location and season. Birds foraging in marine environments feed on fish and marine invertebrates (Moskoff et al., 2021). Based on several studies, Woodward et al. (2019) estimate that the maximum foraging range of a Common Gull from the nest site during the breeding season is 50km (see Power et al., 2021)
Disturbance at the breeding site	Intensity, frequency, timing and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact on birds at the breeding site	Disturbance events at the nest site/breeding colony level can result in a reduction of overall productivity and even lead to the abandonment of the breeding colony. The impact of any significant disturbance (direct or indirect) to the breeding population will ultimately affect the achievement of targets for population size and/or spatial distribution. Disturbance contributes to increased energetic expenditure, which can result in increased likelihood of mortality or reduced fitness (if energy expenditure is greater than energy gain) and, in turn, negatively impact population trends. Factors such as intensity, frequency, timing, and duration of a (direct or indirect) disturbance source must be taken into account to determine the potential impact upon the targets for population size and spatial distribution

Disturbance at areas ecologically connected to the colony	Intensity, frequency, timing and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact on breeding population	Seabird species can make extensive use of the marine waters adjacent to their breeding colonies for non site-specific maintenance behaviours (e.g. courtship, bathing, preening) as defined in McSorley et al. (2003). Additionally, some species may engage in maintenance behaviours outside of the breeding colony but not in the water
Barriers to connectivity	Number, location, shape, and area (ha)	Barriers do not significantly impact the population's access to the SPA or other ecologically important sites outside the SPA	Seabirds, particularly during the breeding season, require regular and efficient access to marine waters ecologically connected to the colony in order to forage, as well as, to engage in other maintenance behaviours. Based on several studies, Woodward et al. (2019) estimate that the maximum foraging range of a Common Gull from the nest site during the breeding season is 50km (see Power et al., 2021)

A188 Kittiwake *Rissa tridactyla*

To restore the Favourable conservation condition of Kittiwake in Clare Island SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Breeding population size	Number of Apparently Occupied Nests (AON)	Long term SPA population trend is stable or increasing	This SPA is large with approximately 10km of cliffs and as a result the seabird colonies are difficult to survey. Complete surveys of the SPA were conducted in 1999 and 2015 (Mitchell et al., 2004; Burnell et al., 2023). The survey conducted in 1999 recorded 1,785 pairs of Kittiwake (Newton et al., 2015). The most recent survey in 2015 recorded 817 pairs of Kittiwake, a decrease of 54% since 1999 (Burnell et al., 2023). This is similar to the national trend which has seen a decrease of 36% between 1999 - 2002 and 2015 - 2021 (Burnell et al., 2023)
Productivity rate	Number of fledged young per breeding pair	Sufficient to maintain a stable or increasing population	There was no productivity data available for this species in this SPA. Trewby et al. (2007) reported that the average productivity rate from Lambay Island SPA was 0.65 (± 0.07 SE) chicks fledged per AON in 2007 (316 pairs across three subplots). Further monitoring and research work is required in order to identify a minimum productivity rate for this species at this site and at the national level. Coulson (2017) established, based on data from UK Kittiwake colonies during the period 1985 - 2015, that 0.8 fledglings per pair were needed to maintain the size of these colonies. Coulson (2017) also noted that this level of productivity is not a fixed value and changes if the adult mortality rate changes
Distribution: extent of available nesting options within the SPA	Numbers and spatial distribution	Sufficient availability of suitable nesting sites throughout the SPA to maintain a stable or increasing population	Distribution encapsulates the number of locations and area of potentially suitable nesting habitat for the breeding population and its availability for use. The suitability and availability of habitat across the SPA may vary through time. This will affect the spatio-temporal patterns of use of the habitats by Kittiwake. Typically, this species is a cliff-nester on ledges of offshore islands, sea stacks, or inaccessible areas of coastal mainland (Hatch et al., 2020). Nesting Kittiwake are found mainly on the western coast of this SPA
Forage spatial distribution, extent, abundance and availability	Location, hectares, and forage biomass	Sufficient number of locations, area of suitable habitat and available forage biomass to support the population target	Kittiwake is a surface feeding seabird and primarily piscivorous (e.g. sandeels, herring, gadoids), with some invertebrates (e.g. euphausids, amphipods) in the diet also recorded (Hatch et al., 2020). Woodward et al. (2019) provide estimates (i.e. overall mean, mean of maximum distances across all studies, and maximum distance recorded) of Kittiwake foraging ranges from the nest site during the breeding season, which are 55km, 156km, and 770km respectively (see Power et al., 2021)
Disturbance at the breeding site	Intensity, frequency, timing and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact on birds at the breeding site	Disturbance events at the nest site/breeding colony level can result in a reduction of overall productivity and even lead to the abandonment of the breeding colony. The impact of any significant disturbance (direct or indirect) to the breeding population will ultimately affect the achievement of targets for population size and/or spatial distribution. Disturbance contributes to increased energetic expenditure, which can result in increased likelihood of mortality or reduced fitness (if energy expenditure is greater than energy gain) and, in turn, negatively impact population trends. Factors such as intensity, frequency, timing, and duration of a (direct or indirect) disturbance source must be taken into account to determine the potential impact upon the targets for population size and spatial distribution

Disturbance at areas ecologically connected to the colony	Intensity, frequency, timing and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact on breeding population	Seabird species can make extensive use of the marine waters adjacent to their breeding colonies for non site-specific maintenance behaviours (e.g. courtship, bathing, preening), as defined in McSorley et al. (2003)
Barriers to connectivity	Number, location, shape, and area (ha)	Barriers do not significantly impact the population's access to the SPA or other ecologically important sites outside the SPA	Seabirds, particularly during the breeding season, require regular and efficient access to marine waters ecologically connected to the colony in order to forage as well as to engage in other maintenance behaviours. Woodward et al. (2019) provide estimates (i.e. overall mean, mean of maximum distances across all studies, and maximum distance recorded) of Kittiwake foraging ranges from the nest site during the breeding season, which are 55km, 156km, and 770km respectively (see Power et al., 2021)

A199 Guillemot *Uria aalge*

To restore the Favourable conservation condition of Guillemot in Clare Island SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Breeding population size	Individuals (IND)	Long term SPA population trend is stable or increasing	Guillemot have been breeding on Clare Island since at least the 1800s (Ussher and Warren, 1900) and it was described as a notable breeding colony by Ruttledge (1966). This SPA is large with approximately 10km of cliffs and as a result the seabird colonies are difficult to survey. Complete surveys of the SPA were conducted in 1999 and 2015 (Mitchell et al., 2004; Burnell et al., 2023). The survey conducted in 1999 recorded 2,280 Guillemot individuals (Newton et al., 2015). The most recent survey in 2015 recorded 2,078 individuals, a decrease of 9% since 1999 (Burnell et al., 2023). Within the same time period the national population of Guillemot has increased by 28% (Burnell et al., 2023)
Productivity rate	Number of fledged young per breeding pair	Sufficient to maintain a stable or increasing population	There was no productivity data available for this species in this SPA. Trewby et al. (2007) reported the mean Guillemot productivity from Lambay Island SPA was 0.74 (± 0.06 SE) chicks fledged per Apparently Occupied Sites (AOS) in 2007 (355 pairs across five subplots). Further monitoring and research work is required in order to identify a minimum productivity rate for this species at this site and at the national level. An analysis of the breeding success of Guillemot in the United Kingdom over a 25 year period determined that a breeding success of 0.66 would result in an increasing population (Cook and Robinson, 2010)
Distribution: extent of available nesting options within the SPA	Numbers and spatial distribution	Sufficient availability of suitable nesting sites throughout the SPA to maintain a stable or increasing population	Distribution encapsulates the number of locations and area of potentially suitable nesting habitat for the breeding population and its availability for use. The suitability and availability of habitat across the SPA may vary through time. This will affect the spatio-temporal patterns of use of the habitats by Guillemot. Ledges on sea cliffs and sloping island surfaces are the preferred habitat for this species (Ainley et al., 2021). Nesting Guillemot are found mainly on the western coast of this SPA
Forage spatial distribution, extent, abundance and availability	Location, hectares, and forage biomass	Sufficient number of locations, area of suitable habitat and available forage biomass to support the population target	The diet of Guillemot consists of micronektonic prey, 2 - 25cm in length (mainly 6 - 10cm), including fish, euphausiids, large copepods, and squid. In summer, when adults are provisioning chicks, prey is predominantly fish. This contrasts with a more diverse diet during the non-breeding period, with euphausiids in particular being more important (Ainley et al., 2021). Based on several studies, Woodward et al. (2019) provides estimates of foraging ranges from the nest site during the breeding season (i.e. overall mean, mean of maximum distances across all studies, and maximum distance recorded) for Guillemot, which are 33km, 73km, and 338km respectively (see Power et al., 2021)

Disturbance at the breeding site	Intensity, frequency, timing and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact on birds at the breeding site	Disturbance events at the nest site/breeding colony level can result in a reduction of overall productivity and even lead to the abandonment of the breeding colony. The impact of any significant disturbance (direct or indirect) to the breeding population will ultimately affect the achievement of targets for population size and/or spatial distribution. Disturbance contributes to increased energetic expenditure, which can result in increased likelihood of mortality or reduced fitness (if energy expenditure is greater than energy gain) and, in turn, negatively impact population trends. Factors such as intensity, frequency, timing, and duration of a (direct or indirect) disturbance source must be taken into account to determine the potential impact upon the targets for population size and spatial distribution
Disturbance at areas ecologically connected to the colony	Intensity, frequency, timing and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact on breeding population	Seabird species can make extensive use of the marine waters adjacent to their breeding colonies for non site-specific maintenance behaviours (e.g. courtship, bathing, preening) as defined in McSorley et al. (2003). Studies in the UK found the highest densities of Guillemot performing these behaviours occurred within 1km of the breeding colony (McSorley et al., 2003)
Barriers to connectivity	Number, location, shape, and area (ha)	Barriers do not significantly impact the population's access to the SPA or other ecologically important sites outside the SPA	Seabirds, particularly during the breeding season, require regular and efficient access to marine waters ecologically connected to the colony in order to forage as well as to engage in other maintenance behaviours. Studies in the UK found the highest densities of Guillemot performing these behaviours occurred within 1km of the breeding colony (McSorley et al., 2003). Based on several studies, Woodward et al. (2019) provides estimates of foraging ranges from the nest site during the breeding season (i.e. overall mean, mean of maximum distances across all studies, and maximum distance recorded) for Guillemot, which are 33km, 73km, and 338km respectively (see Power et al., 2021)

A200 Razorbill *Alca torda*

To maintain the Favourable conservation condition of Razorbill in Clare Island SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Breeding population size	Individuals (IND)	Long term SPA population trend is stable or increasing	Razorbill have been breeding on Clare Island since at least the 1800s (Ussher and Warren, 1900) and it was described as a notable breeding colony by Ruttledge (1966). This SPA is large with approximately 10km of cliffs and as a result the seabird colonies are difficult to survey. Complete surveys of the SPA were conducted in 1999 and 2015 (Mitchell et al., 2004; Burnell et al., 2023). The survey conducted in 1999 recorded 528 Razorbill individuals (Mitchell et al., 2004). The most recent survey in 2015 recorded 599 individuals, an increase of 13% since 1999 (Burnell et al., 2023). Similarly, within the same time period the national population of Razorbill has increased by 19% (Burnell et al., 2023)
Productivity rate	Number of fledged young per breeding pair	Sufficient to maintain a stable or increasing population	There was no productivity data available for this species in this SPA. Trewby et al. (2007) reported that the average productivity from Lambay Island SPA was 0.65 (± 0.03 SE) chicks fledged per Apparently Occupied Sites (AOS) in 2007 (270 pairs across six subplots). Further monitoring and research work is required in order to identify a minimum productivity rate for this species at this site and at the national level. An analysis of the breeding success of Razorbill in the United Kingdom over a 25 year period determined that a breeding success of 0.55 would result in a slowly decreasing population (Cook and Robinson, 2010)
Distribution: extent of available nesting options within the SPA	Numbers and spatial distribution	Sufficient availability of suitable nesting sites throughout the SPA to maintain a stable or increasing population	Distribution encapsulates the number of locations and area of potentially suitable nesting habitat for the breeding population and its availability for use. The suitability and availability of habitat across the SPA may vary through time. This will affect the spatio-temporal patterns of use of the habitats by the species. Razorbill breed in rocky coastal regions on steep mainland cliffs and rocky offshore islands (Lavers et al., 2020). Razorbill are found mainly on the western coast of this SPA
Forage spatial distribution, extent, abundance and availability	Location, hectares, and forage biomass	Sufficient number of locations, area of suitable habitat and available forage biomass to support the population target	The diet of Razorbill comprises of schooling fish including herring and sandeels. Crustaceans and polychaetes may also be important in adult diets (Lavers et al., 2020). Based on several studies, Woodward et al. (2019) provide estimates of foraging ranges from the nest site during the breeding season (i.e. overall mean, mean of maximum distances across all studies, and maximum distance recorded) for Razorbill which are 61km, 89km, and 313km respectively
Disturbance at the breeding site	Intensity, frequency, timing and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact on birds at the breeding site	Disturbance events at the nest site/breeding colony level can result in a reduction of overall productivity and even lead to the abandonment of the breeding colony. The impact of any significant disturbance (direct or indirect) to the breeding population will ultimately affect the achievement of targets for population size and/or spatial distribution. Disturbance contributes to increased energetic expenditure, which can result in increased likelihood of mortality or reduced fitness (if energy expenditure is greater than energy gain) and, in turn, negatively impact population trends. Factors such as intensity, frequency, timing, and duration of a (direct or indirect) disturbance source must be taken into account to determine the potential impact upon the targets for population size and spatial distribution

Disturbance at areas ecologically connected to the colony	Intensity, frequency, timing and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact on breeding population	Seabird species can make extensive use of the marine waters adjacent to their breeding colonies for non site-specific maintenance behaviours (e.g. courtship, bathing, preening) as defined in McSorley et al. (2003). Studies in the UK found the highest densities of Razorbill performing these behaviours occurred within 1km of the breeding colony (McSorley et al., 2003)
Barriers to connectivity	Number, location, shape, and area (ha)	Barriers do not significantly impact the population's access to the SPA or other ecologically important sites outside the SPA	Seabirds, particularly during the breeding season, require regular and efficient access to marine waters ecologically connected to the colony in order to forage as well as to engage in other maintenance behaviours. Studies in the UK found the highest densities of Razorbill performing these behaviours occurred within 1km of the breeding colony (McSorley et al., 2003). Based on several studies, Woodward et al. (2019) provide estimates of foraging ranges from the nest site during the breeding season (i.e. overall mean, mean of maximum distances across all studies, and maximum distance recorded) for Razorbill which are 61km, 89km, and 313km respectively

A346 Chough *Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax*

To restore the Favourable conservation condition of Chough in Clare Island SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Population size	Number of breeding pairs	Breeding population is increasing	A review of 1992 and 2002/03 national survey data, including count units and survey methods applied, was undertaken (NPWS internal files). The range of population estimates for the SPA are set out using 'confirmed and probable' breeding pairs only and 'all breeding pair' categories for each national survey since 1992, with 5 - 11 in 1992; 11 - 16 in 2002/03 and 4 - 8 in 2021. Applying stricter 2021 survey criteria (Hayhow et al., 2018; Colhoun et al., 2024) retrospectively to 1992 and 2002/03 records, which exclude records with no breeding evidence (NBE) as per Colhoun et al. (2024), updates these original estimates to 3 - 8 (1992), 11 - 12 pairs (2002/03) and 4 - 8 pairs (2021)
Population trend	Percentage change	Population trend stable or increasing	The breeding component of the population, as opposed to non-breeding flock birds, is considered a more reliable metric to reflect population change (Trewby et al., 2006). Using available data from the 1992 (Berrow et al., 1993), 2002/03 (Gray et al., 2003) and 2021 (Colhoun et al., 2024) national surveys, the population trend for the site is declining in the short term (i.e. 2002/03 - 2021) and declining/stable in the longer term (1992 - 2021) based on assessments of change in the numbers of known 'confirmed' and 'probable' pair records only; and including all 'possible' breeding pair records for the site, applying 2021 criteria (Colhoun et al., 2024). For the county, the population is decreasing, with pair totals of 81 in 1963 (Cabot, 1965); 73 - 75 in 1983 (Bullock et al., 1983); 65 in 1992 (Berrow et al., 1993); 63 in 2002/03 (Gray et al., 2003); and 57 (excluding NBEs) in 2021 (Colhoun et al., 2024)
Productivity rate	Number of fledged young per confirmed pair	Sufficient to maintain population size target	Most of the population nest along coastal cliffs or in sea caves. In most instances, due to the inaccessible nature of nesting locations, estimates of breeding productivity and success are based on numbers of fledged young seen with adults post-fledging, unless records are for man-made/artificial sites e.g. cattle sheds, old buildings and castles etc. Some studies have provided estimates of productivity and/or success, (e.g. Berrow et al., 1993; Gray et al., 2003; Boylan, 2011; Trewby et al., 2006). Overall, there is a lack of robust representative Irish data to determine a more quantitative target for breeding productivity
Foraging habitat: quality and quantity	Hectares (ha)	Maintain sufficient quality and quantity of coastal grassland and other relevant habitats to support the population of Chough at the level of breeding pairs referred to in the attribute above	Studies in Ireland (e.g. Trewby et al., 2006), Wales (e.g. Whitehead et al., 2005) and elsewhere (e.g. Kerbiriou et al., 2006) have shown that breeding Chough spend most of their time foraging near nest sites (April - June inclusive). Coastal pairs tend to commute along the coast from breeding sites, rather than inland (Trewby et al., 2006). Proximity of suitably-sized feeding areas to nest sites is likely to positively support breeding success (Kerbiriou et al., 2006). Grazed habitats with short swards of <5cm are typically preferred and areas of bare ground, where soils are easier to probe e.g. paths, along with earth banks and stone banks. Maritime vegetation on cliffs, especially in spring, is also favoured. Thus, sufficient foraging habitat within 350m of the coastline, where Chough are known to breed, is essential to support breeding pairs

Distribution of roosting sites	Spatial distribution	The distribution of preferred roosts is maintained	Post-breeding, Chough are highly social, forming mobile flocks that can travel several kilometres to feed (McGrath, 2022). Family groups form 'nursery' flocks in July, returning to nest sites to roost, but by summer's end, these flocks begin to converge pre-dusk, along with non-breeding sub-adults, at communal nocturnal roost sites, leaving post-dawn (Trewby et al., 2010; Blanco et al., 1993). Roosts tend to be close to good foraging habitat like grazed dune systems, with peak attendance in late summer or early autumn, post-breeding. In late summer, post-fledging flocks from Clare Island are suspected to gather at a large communal roost at Carrigskeewaun (Dooaghtry) to the south (NPWS internal files)
Disturbance	Intensity, timing, frequency and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact upon Chough in the SPA	Factors such as intensity, frequency, timing, duration of a (direct or indirect) disturbance source and location (e.g. if access to preferred food sources is restricted), must be taken into account to determine the potential impact upon the targets for population size, population trend, productivity rate and distribution of roosting sites. Further, site fidelity (e.g. pairs to nest sites while breeding, or flocks to roost sites at other times), weather (e.g. prolonged cold spells) and predation/competition should also be factored in. Coastal breeding pairs spend up to 80% of their time within 350m of the nest site (Trewby et al., 2006). Impacts are likely to be highest near nest sites (e.g. on coastal cliffs where available foraging habitats are more limited in total area) and at roost sites



Keem
Dooagh
Keel
Bun an Churraigh
Toin re Gaoth
Srahmore
Dumha Eige
Gob an Choire
N59
Furnace
An Doirín
Mallaranny
Carrowbeg
Newport
Clare Island
N59
Carraholly
Westp
Leckanvy
Murrisk
Kilsallagh
Louisburgh
Carrownisky
Liscarney
Inishturk
Killadoon
Cregganbaun
Drummin
N59
Inishbofin
Glassillaun

Legend

Clare Island SPA 004136

NPWS An tSeirbhís Páirceanna Náisiúnta agus Fiadhúlra National Parks and Wildlife Service

MAP 1:
CLARE ISLAND SPA
CONSERVATION OBJECTIVES
SPA DESIGNATION

Map to be read in conjunction with the NPWS Conservation Objectives Document

SITE CODE:
SPA 004136; version 3
CO. MAYO

0 1.25 2.5 5 Kilometres

N

Map version 1
Date: July 2024



NPWS An tSeirbhís Páirceanna Náisiúnta agus Fiadhúlra National Parks and Wildlife Service

MAP 2:
CLARE ISLAND SPA
CONSERVATION OBJECTIVES
OVERLAPPING AND ADJACENT SITES

Map to be read in conjunction with the NPWS Conservation Objectives Document

SITE CODE:
SPA 004136; version 3
CO. MAYO

0 5 10 20 Kilometres

N

Map version 1
Date: July 2024