ISSN 2009-4086

National Parks and Wildlife Service

Conservation Objectives Series

Aughris Head SPA 004133



National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage,

90 King Street North, Dublin 7, D07 N7CV, Ireland.

Web: www.npws.ie E-mail: natureconservation@npws.gov.ie

Citation:

NPWS (2025) Conservation Objectives: Aughris Head SPA 004133. Version 1. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage.

> Series Editors: Maria Long and Colin Heaslip ISSN 2009-4086

Introduction

The overall aim of the Habitats Directive is to maintain or restore the favourable conservation status of habitats and species of community interest. These habitats and species are listed in the Habitats and Birds Directives and Special Areas of Conservation and Special Protection Areas are designated to afford protection to the most vulnerable of them. These two designations are collectively known as the Natura 2000 network.

European and national legislation places a collective obligation on Ireland and its citizens to maintain habitats and species in the Natura 2000 network at favourable conservation condition. The Government and its agencies are responsible for the implementation and enforcement of regulations that will ensure the ecological integrity of these sites.

A site-specific conservation objective aims to define favourable conservation condition for a particular habitat or species at that site.

The maintenance of habitats and species within Natura 2000 sites at favourable conservation condition will contribute to the overall maintenance of favourable conservation status of those habitats and species at a national level.

Favourable conservation status of a habitat is achieved when:

- its natural range, and area it covers within that range, are stable or increasing, and
- the specific structure and functions which are necessary for its long-term maintenance
- exist and are likely to continue to exist for the foreseeable future, and
- the conservation status of its typical species is favourable.

The favourable conservation status of a species is achieved when:

• population dynamics data on the species concerned indicate that it is maintaining itself on a long-term basis as a viable component of its natural habitats, and

• the natural range of the species is neither being reduced nor is likely to be reduced for the foreseeable future, and

• there is, and will probably continue to be, a sufficiently large habitat to maintain its populations on a long-term basis.

Notes/Guidelines:

1. The targets given in these conservation objectives are based on best available information at the time of writing. As more information becomes available, targets for attributes may change. These will be updated periodically, as necessary.

2. An appropriate assessment based on these conservation objectives will remain valid even if the targets are subsequently updated, providing they were the most recent objectives available when the assessment was carried out. It is essential that the date and version are included when objectives are cited.

3. Assessments cannot consider an attribute in isolation from the others listed for that habitat or species, or for other habitats and species listed for that site. A plan or project with an apparently small impact on one attribute may have a significant impact on another.

4. Please note that the maps included in this document do not necessarily show the entire extent of the habitats and species for which the site is listed. This should be borne in mind when appropriate assessments are being carried out.

5. When using these objectives, it is essential that the relevant backing/supporting documents are consulted, particularly where instructed in the targets or notes for a particular attribute.

Qualifying Interests

* indicates a priority habitat under the Habitats Directive

A188 Kittiwake Rissa tridactyla

Supporting documents, relevant reports & publications

Supporting documents, NPWS reports and publications are available for download from: www.npws.ie/Publications

NPWS Documents

Year :	2006		
Title :	Seabird Productivity on the West Coast of Ireland in 2006		
Author :	Hall, M.E.; Newton, S.; Suddaby, D.; Trewby, M.		
Series :	Seabird Monitoring Project Report 2006. Unpublished report to National Parks and Wildlife Service		
Year :	2021		
Title :	Estimated foraging ranges of the breeding seabirds of Ireland's marine special protected area network		
Author :	Power, A.; McDonnell, P.; Tierney, T.D.		
Series :	Published NPWS report		

Other References

Year :	1900		
Title :	The Birds of Ireland: An Account of the Distribution, Migrations and Habits of Birds as Observed in Ireland, with All Additions to the Irish List		
Author :	Ussher, R.J.; Warren, R.		
Series :	Gurney and Jackson		
Year :	1954		
Title :	The Birds of Ireland. Their Migrations and Habits. Assessed by G.R. Humphreys		
Author :	Kennedy, P.G.; Ruttledge R.F.; Scroope, C.F.		
Series :	London: Oliver and Boyd		
Year :	1991		
Title :	The status of seabirds in Britain and Ireland		
Author :	Lloyd, C.; Tasker, M.L.; Partridge, K.		
Series :	Poyser Monographs Volume: 50		
Year :	2003		
Title :	Implications for seaward extensions to existing breeding seabird colony Special Protection Areas		
Author :	McSorley, C.A.; Dean, B.J.; Webb, A.; Reid J.B.		
Series :	JNCC Report No. 329		
Year :	2017		
Year : Title :	-		
	2017		
Title :	2017 Productivity of the Black-legged Kittiwake <i>Rissa tridactyla</i> required to maintain numbers		
Title : Author :	2017 Productivity of the Black-legged Kittiwake <i>Rissa tridactyla</i> required to maintain numbers Coulson, J.C.		
Title : Author : Series :	2017 Productivity of the Black-legged Kittiwake <i>Rissa tridactyla</i> required to maintain numbers Coulson, J.C. Bird Study 64: 84-89		
Title : Author : Series : Year :	2017 Productivity of the Black-legged Kittiwake <i>Rissa tridactyla</i> required to maintain numbers Coulson, J.C. Bird Study 64: 84-89 2019		
Title : Author : Series : Year : Title :	2017 Productivity of the Black-legged Kittiwake <i>Rissa tridactyla</i> required to maintain numbers Coulson, J.C. Bird Study 64: 84-89 2019 Desk-based revision of seabird foraging ranges used for HRA screening		
Title : Author : Series : Year : Title : Author :	2017 Productivity of the Black-legged Kittiwake <i>Rissa tridactyla</i> required to maintain numbers Coulson, J.C. Bird Study 64: 84-89 2019 Desk-based revision of seabird foraging ranges used for HRA screening Woodward, I.; Thaxter, C.B.; Owen, E.; Cook, A.S.C.P.		
Title : Author : Series : Year : Title : Author : Series :	2017 Productivity of the Black-legged Kittiwake <i>Rissa tridactyla</i> required to maintain numbers Coulson, J.C. Bird Study 64: 84-89 2019 Desk-based revision of seabird foraging ranges used for HRA screening Woodward, I.; Thaxter, C.B.; Owen, E.; Cook, A.S.C.P. BTO Research Report No. 724		
Title : Author : Series : Year : Title : Author : Series : Year :	 2017 Productivity of the Black-legged Kittiwake <i>Rissa tridactyla</i> required to maintain numbers Coulson, J.C. Bird Study 64: 84-89 2019 Desk-based revision of seabird foraging ranges used for HRA screening Woodward, I.; Thaxter, C.B.; Owen, E.; Cook, A.S.C.P. BTO Research Report No. 724 2020 Black-legged Kittiwake (<i>Rissa tridactyla</i>), version 1.0. In Birds of the World (S. M. Billerman, 		
Title : Author : Series : Year : Title : Author : Series : Year : Title :	2017 Productivity of the Black-legged Kittiwake <i>Rissa tridactyla</i> required to maintain numbers Coulson, J.C. Bird Study 64: 84-89 2019 Desk-based revision of seabird foraging ranges used for HRA screening Woodward, I.; Thaxter, C.B.; Owen, E.; Cook, A.S.C.P. BTO Research Report No. 724 2020 Black-legged Kittiwake (<i>Rissa tridactyla</i>), version 1.0. In Birds of the World (S. M. Billerman, Editor)		
Title : Author : Series : Year : Title : Author : Series : Year : Title : Author :	 2017 Productivity of the Black-legged Kittiwake <i>Rissa tridactyla</i> required to maintain numbers Coulson, J.C. Bird Study 64: 84-89 2019 Desk-based revision of seabird foraging ranges used for HRA screening Woodward, I.; Thaxter, C.B.; Owen, E.; Cook, A.S.C.P. BTO Research Report No. 724 2020 Black-legged Kittiwake (<i>Rissa tridactyla</i>), version 1.0. In Birds of the World (S. M. Billerman, Editor) Hatch, S. A.; Robertson, G. J.; Baird, P. H. 		
Title : Author : Series : Year : Title : Author : Series : Year : Title : Author : Series :	 2017 Productivity of the Black-legged Kittiwake <i>Rissa tridactyla</i> required to maintain numbers Coulson, J.C. Bird Study 64: 84-89 2019 Desk-based revision of seabird foraging ranges used for HRA screening Woodward, I.; Thaxter, C.B.; Owen, E.; Cook, A.S.C.P. BTO Research Report No. 724 2020 Black-legged Kittiwake (<i>Rissa tridactyla</i>), version 1.0. In Birds of the World (S. M. Billerman, Editor) Hatch, S. A.; Robertson, G. J.; Baird, P. H. Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA 		
Title : Author : Series : Year : Title : Author : Series : Year : Title : Author : Series : Year : Year :	 2017 Productivity of the Black-legged Kittiwake <i>Rissa tridactyla</i> required to maintain numbers Coulson, J.C. Bird Study 64: 84-89 2019 Desk-based revision of seabird foraging ranges used for HRA screening Woodward, I.; Thaxter, C.B.; Owen, E.; Cook, A.S.C.P. BTO Research Report No. 724 2020 Black-legged Kittiwake (<i>Rissa tridactyla</i>), version 1.0. In Birds of the World (S. M. Billerman, Editor) Hatch, S. A.; Robertson, G. J.; Baird, P. H. Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA 2023 		

Conservation Objectives for : Aughris Head SPA [004133]

A188 Kittiwake *Rissa tridactyla*

To restore the Favourable conservation condition of Kittiwake in Aughris Head SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Breeding population size	Number of Apparently Occupied Nests (AON)	Long term SPA population trend is stable or increasing	Ussher and Warren (1900) noted that Aughris Head was home to great numbers of breeding Kittiwake and Kennedy et al. (1954) described the site as the only known colony in Co. Sligo at that time. The population was monitored almost annually in the 1970s and ranged between 403 and 862 pairs with the population increasing in that time (Hall et al., 2006). The population was at its peak between 198 and 1984 with estimates ranging between 907 and 1,064 pairs, the highest counts on record for this site (Hall et al., 2006). An estimated 678 pairs of Kittiwake bred here in 1985 (Lloyd et al., 1991). Th population was similar in 1997 with 760 pairs recorded (Hall et al., 2006). The most recent population estimate of 527 pairs in 2015 represents a decline of 31% since 1997. This is similar to the national trend which has seen a decrease of 36% between 1999 - 2002 and 2015 - 2021 (Burnell et al., 2023)
Productivity rate	Number of fledged young per breeding pair	Sufficient to maintain a stable or increasing population	Hall et al. (2006) reported that the average productivity rate from this SPA was 0.65 (± 0.08 SI chicks fledged per AON in 2006 (190 pairs across three subplots). Further monitoring and research work is required in order to identify a minimum productivity rate for this species at this site and at the national level. Coulson (2017) established, base on data from UK Kittiwake colonies during the perio 1985 - 2015, that 0.8 fledglings per pair were needed to maintain the size of these colonies. Coulson (2017) also noted that this level of productivity is not a fixed value and changes if the adult mortality rate changes
Distribution: extent of available nesting options within the SPA	Numbers and spatial distribution	Sufficient availability of suitable nesting sites throughout the SPA to maintain a stable or increasing population	Distribution encapsulates the number of locations and area of potentially suitable nesting habitat for the breeding population and its availability for use. The suitability and availability of habitat across the SPA may vary through time. This will affect the spatio-temporal patterns of use of the habitats by Kittiwake. Typically, this species is a cliff-nester on ledges of offshore islands, sea stacks, or inaccessit areas of coastal mainland (Hatch et al., 2020). Within this SPA in 2015 nesting Kittiwake were found primarily on the western cliffs
Forage spatial distribution, extent, abundance and availability	Location, hectares, and forage biomass	Sufficient number of locations, area of suitable habitat and available forage biomass to support the population target	Kittiwake is a surface feeding seabird and primarily piscivorous (e.g. sandeels, herring, gadoids), with some invertebrates (e.g. euphausids, amphipods) i the diet also recorded (Hatch et al., 2020). Woodward et al. (2019) provide estimates (i.e. overall mean, mean of maximum distances across studies, and maximum distance recorded) of Kittiwake foraging ranges from the nest site during the breeding season, which are 55km, 156km, and 770km respectively (see Power et al., 2021)

Disturbance at the breeding site	Intensity, frequency, timing and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact on birds at the breeding site	Disturbance events at the nest site/breeding colony level can result in a reduction of overall productivity and even lead to the abandonment of the breeding colony. The impact of any significant disturbance (direct or indirect) to the breeding population will ultimately affect the achievement of targets for population size and/or spatial distribution. Disturbance contributes to increased energetic expenditure, which can result in increased likelihood of mortality or reduced fitness (if energy expenditure is greater than energy gain) and, in turn, negatively impact population trends. Factors such as intensity, frequency, timing, and duration of a (direct or indirect) disturbance source must be taken into account to determine the potential impact upon the targets for population size and spatial distribution
Disturbance at areas ecologically connected to the colony	Intensity, frequency, timing and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact on breeding population	Seabird species can make extensive use of the marine waters adjacent to their breeding colonies for non site-specific maintenance behaviours (e.g. courtship, bathing, preening), as defined in McSorley et al. (2003)
Barriers to connectivity	Number, location, shape, and area (ha)	Barriers do not significantly impact the population's access to the SPA or other ecologically important sites outside the SPA	require regular and efficient access to marine waters ecologically connected to the colony in order to

