ISSN 2009-4086

National Parks and Wildlife Service

Conservation Objectives Series

Duvillaun Islands SPA 004111



National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage,

90 King Street North, Dublin 7, D07 N7CV, Ireland.

Web: www.npws.ie E-mail: natureconservation@npws.gov.ie

Citation:

NPWS (2025) Conservation Objectives: Duvillaun Islands SPA 004111. Version 1. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage.

> Series Editors: Maria Long and Colin Heaslip ISSN 2009-4086

Introduction

The overall aim of the Habitats Directive is to maintain or restore the favourable conservation status of habitats and species of community interest. These habitats and species are listed in the Habitats and Birds Directives and Special Areas of Conservation and Special Protection Areas are designated to afford protection to the most vulnerable of them. These two designations are collectively known as the Natura 2000 network.

European and national legislation places a collective obligation on Ireland and its citizens to maintain habitats and species in the Natura 2000 network at favourable conservation condition. The Government and its agencies are responsible for the implementation and enforcement of regulations that will ensure the ecological integrity of these sites.

A site-specific conservation objective aims to define favourable conservation condition for a particular habitat or species at that site.

The maintenance of habitats and species within Natura 2000 sites at favourable conservation condition will contribute to the overall maintenance of favourable conservation status of those habitats and species at a national level.

Favourable conservation status of a habitat is achieved when:

- its natural range, and area it covers within that range, are stable or increasing, and
- the specific structure and functions which are necessary for its long-term maintenance
- exist and are likely to continue to exist for the foreseeable future, and
- the conservation status of its typical species is favourable.

The favourable conservation status of a species is achieved when:

• population dynamics data on the species concerned indicate that it is maintaining itself on a long-term basis as a viable component of its natural habitats, and

• the natural range of the species is neither being reduced nor is likely to be reduced for the foreseeable future, and

• there is, and will probably continue to be, a sufficiently large habitat to maintain its populations on a long-term basis.

Notes/Guidelines:

1. The targets given in these conservation objectives are based on best available information at the time of writing. As more information becomes available, targets for attributes may change. These will be updated periodically, as necessary.

2. An appropriate assessment based on these conservation objectives will remain valid even if the targets are subsequently updated, providing they were the most recent objectives available when the assessment was carried out. It is essential that the date and version are included when objectives are cited.

3. Assessments cannot consider an attribute in isolation from the others listed for that habitat or species, or for other habitats and species listed for that site. A plan or project with an apparently small impact on one attribute may have a significant impact on another.

4. Please note that the maps included in this document do not necessarily show the entire extent of the habitats and species for which the site is listed. This should be borne in mind when appropriate assessments are being carried out.

5. When using these objectives, it is essential that the relevant backing/supporting documents are consulted, particularly where instructed in the targets or notes for a particular attribute.

Qualifying Interests

* indicates a priority habitat under the Habitats Directive			
004111	Duvillaun Islands SPA		
A009	Fulmar Fulmarus glacialis		
A014	Storm Petrel Hydrobates pelagicus		
A045	Barnacle Goose <i>Branta leucopsis</i>		

Please note that this SPA overlaps with Duvillaun Islands SAC (000495) and West Connacht Coast SAC (002998). See map 2. The conservation objectives for this site should be used in conjunction with those for the overlapping sites as appropriate.

Supporting documents, relevant reports & publications

Supporting documents, NPWS reports and publications are available for download from: www.npws.ie/Publications

NPWS Documents

Year :	2007		
Title :	Seabird Productivity at East and South coast colonies in Ireland in 2007: Site accounts		
Author :	Trewby, M.; Burt E.; Newton, S.		
Series :	Unpublished report to NPWS		
Year :	2013		
Title :	A review of the SPA network of sites in the Republic of Ireland		
Author :	NPWS		
Series :	Published Report		
Year :	2015		
Title :	Results of a Breeding Survey of Important Cliff⊡Nesting Seabird Colonies in Ireland 2015 – with an interim analysis on population changes		
Author :	Newton, S.; Lewis, L.; Trewby, M.		
Series :	Unpublished report by BWI to National Parks and WIIdife Service		
Year :	2019		
Title :	Irish wetland bird survey: waterbird status and distribution 2009/10-2015/16		
Author :	Lewis, L.J.; Burke, B.; Fitzgerald, N.; Tierney, T.D.; Kelly, S.		
Series :	Irish Wildlife Manuals No. 106		
Year :	2021		
Title :	Estimated foraging ranges of the breeding seabirds of Ireland's marine special protected area network		
Author :	Power, A.; McDonnell, P.; Tierney, T.D.		
Series :	Published NPWS report		

Other References

Year :	1900
Title :	The Birds of Ireland: An Account of the Distribution, Migrations and Habits of Birds as Observed in Ireland, with All Additions to the Irish List
Author :	Ussher, R.J.; Warren, R.
Series :	Gurney and Jackson
Year :	1911
Title :	The fulmar petrel breeding in Ireland
Author :	Ussher, R.J.
Series :	The Irish Naturalist, 20(9), pp.149-152
Year :	1973
Title :	Population Dynamics of Barnacle Geese, Branta leucopsis, in Ireland
Author :	Cabot, D.
Series :	Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy. Section B: Biological, Geological, and Chemical Science, 73, 415–443
Year :	1977
Title :	Handbook of the Birds of Europe, the Middle East and North Africa. The birds of the Western Palearctic, Vol. 1
Author :	Cramp, S.; Simmons, K.E.L.
Series :	Oxford University Press, Oxford

Year :	1991		
Title :	The status of seabirds in Britain and Ireland		
Author :	Lloyd, C.; Tasker, M.L.; Partridge, K.		
Series :	Poyser Monographs Volume: 50		
Year :	1995		
Title :	Impacts of hunting disturbance on waterbirds - a review		
Author :	Madsen, J.; Fox, A.D.		
Series :	Wildlife Biology 1(4):193-207		
Year :	1999		
Title :	Diet of the northern fulmar Fulmarus glacialis: reliance on commercial fisheries?		
Author :	Phillips, R.A.; Petersen, M.K.; Lilliendahl, K.; Solmundsson, J.; Hamer, K.C.; Camphuysen, C.J.; Zonfrillo, B.		
Series :	Marine Biology, 135 (1), pp.159-170		
Year :	1999		
Title :	Managing grassland for wild geese in Britain: a review		
Author :	Vickery, J.; Gill, J.		
Series :	Biological Conservation, 89(1), pp.93-106		
Year :	2003		
Title :	Implications for seaward extensions to existing breeding seabird colony Special Protection Areas		
Author :	McSorley, C.A.; Dean, B.J.; Webb, A.; Reid J.B.		
Series :	JNCC Report No. 329		
Year :	2004		
Title :	Seabird populations of Britain and Ireland		
Author :	Mitchell, P.I.; Newton, S.F.; Ratcliffe, N.; Dunn, T.E.		
Series :	Poyser, London		
Year :	2010		
Title :	How Representative is the Current Monitoring of Breeding Seabirds in the UK?		
Author :	Cook, A.S.C.P.; Robinson, R.A.		
Series :	BTO Research Report No. 573		
Year :	2019		
Title :	Desk-based revision of seabird foraging ranges used for HRA screening		
Author :	Woodward, I.; Thaxter, C.B.; Owen, E.; Cook, A.S.C.P.		
Series :	BTO Research Report No. 724		
Year :	2019 Report under Article 12 of the Rinde Directive Period 2012 2018		
Title :	Report under Article 12 of the Birds Directive Period 2013-2018		
Author :	EEA		
Series :	European Environment Agency. European Topic Centre on Biological Diversity. Pp 1-9. https://cdr.eionet.europa.eu/Converters/run_conversion? file=ie/eu/art12/envxztxxq/IE_birds_reports_20191031-130157.xml&conv=612&source=remote		
Year :	2021		
Title :	European Storm-Petrel (<i>Hydrobates pelagicus</i>), version 1.1. In Birds of the World (Editor not available)		
Author :	Carboneras, C.; Jutglar, F.; Kirwan, G.M.		
Series :	Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA		

Year :	2023		
Title :	Seabirds Count: a census of breeding seabirds in Britain and Ireland (2015-2021)		
Author :	Burnell, D.; Perkins, A.J.; Newton, S.F.; Bolton, M.; Tierney, T.D.; Dunn, T.E.		
Series :	Lynx Nature Books, Barcelona		
Year :	2023		
Title :	Home range of a long-distance migrant, the Greenland Barnacle Goose <i>Branta leucopsis</i> , throughout the annual cycle		
Author :	Doyle, S.; Cabot, D.; Griffin, L.; Kane, A.; Colhoun, K.; Redmond, C.; Walsh, A.; McMahon, B.J.		
Series :	Bird Study, 70(1-2), pp.37-46		
Year :	2024		
Title :	Seabird Population Trends and Causes of Change: 1986–2023, the annual report of the Seabird Monitoring Programme		
Author :	Harris, S.J.; Baker, H.; Balmer, D.E.; Bolton, M.; Burton, N.H.K.; Caulfield, E.; Clarke, J.A.E.; Dunn, T.E.; Evans, T.J.; Hereward, H.R.F.; Humphreys, E.M.; Money, S.; O'Hanlon, N.J.		
Series :	BTO Research Report 771		

A009 Fulmar *Fulmarus glacialis*

To restore the Favourable conservation condition of Fulmar in Duvillaun Islands SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Breeding population size	Apparently Occupied Sites (AOS)	Long term SPA population trend is stable or increasing	Fulmar were first recorded as a breeding bird in Ireland in 1911 in Co. Mayo (Ussher, 1911). It is likely that the Duvillaun Islands were colonised shortly after, given the significant rate at which the Fulmar population and range has expanded in Ireland since. A breeding population of 811 pairs was recorded on the Duvillaun Islands in 1987 (Lloyd et al., 1991). This population decreased to 638 pairs in 2000, all on Duvillaun More (Mitchell et al., 2004). The most recent population estimate from 2015 saw the population decline further to 54 pairs (Newton et al., 2015), an overall decrease of 33% since 1987. This declining trend contrasts with the national population estimate which has increased by 89% between 1985 and 2021 (Burnel et al., 2023)
Productivity rate	Number of fledged young per breeding pair	Sufficient to maintain a stable or increasing population	There was no productivity data available for this species in this SPA. Trewby et al. (2007) reported that the average productivity from Lambay Island SPA was $0.32 (\pm 0.05 \text{ SE})$ chicks fledged per Apparently Occupied Sites (AOS) in 2007 (246 pair across three subplots). Further monitoring and research work is required in order to identify a minimum productivity rate for this species at this site and at the national level. An analysis of the breeding success of Fulmar in the United Kingdom over a 25 year period estimated a mean breeding success of 0.39 and speculated this would result in population decline (Cook and Robinson, 2010). The estimated that a breeding success of 0.5 would allow populations of Fulmar to stabilise and potentially increase
Distribution: extent of available nesting options within the SPA	Numbers and spatial distribution	Sufficient availability of suitable nesting sites throughout the SPA to maintain a stable or increasing population	Distribution encapsulates the number of locations and area of potentially suitable nesting habitat for the breeding population and its availability for use. The suitability and availability of habitat across the SPA may vary through time. This will affect the spatio-temporal patterns of use of the habitats by Fulmar. Typically, Fulmar nest near the tops of grassy cliffs on relatively wide ledges (Mitchell et a 2004). Nesting Fulmar are found on the cliffs of Duvillaun More within this SPA
Forage spatial distribution, extent, abundance and availability	Location, hectares, and forage biomass	Sufficient number of locations, area of suitable habitat and available forage biomass to support the population target	The colonisation of Ireland and Britain by Fulmar over the last two centuries has been largely attributed to their close association with fisheries, but contemporary dietary studies indicate that they also feed on a wide variety of prey, including sandeels, crustaceans, and squid (Phillips et al., 1999). Based on several studies, Woodward et al. (2019) provide estimates (i.e. overall mean; mean maximum distances across all studies; and maximum distance recorded) of Fulmar foraging ranges from the nest site during the breeding season, which are 135km, 542km, and 2,736km respectively (see Power et al., 2021)

Disturbance at the breeding site	Intensity, frequency, timing and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact on birds at the breeding site	Disturbance events at the nest site/breeding colony level can result in a reduction of overall productivity and even lead to the abandonment of the breeding colony. The impact of any significant disturbance (direct or indirect) to the breeding population will ultimately affect the achievement of targets for population size and/or spatial distribution. Disturbance contributes to increased energetic expenditure, which can result in increased likelihood of mortality or reduced fitness (if energy expenditure is greater than energy gain) and, in turn, negatively impact population trends. Factors such as intensity, frequency, timing, and duration of a (direct or indirect) disturbance source must be taken into account to determine the potential impact upon the targets for population size and spatial distribution
Disturbance at areas ecologically connected to the colony	Intensity, frequency, timing and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact on breeding population	Seabird species can make extensive use of the marine waters adjacent to their breeding colonies for non site-specific maintenance behaviours (e.g. courtship, bathing, preening). Work carried out in the UK found that the highest densities of Fulmar performing these behaviours occurred within 2km of the breeding colony (McSorley et al., 2003)
Barriers to connectivity	Number, location, shape, and area (ha)	Barriers do not significantly impact the population's access to the SPA or other ecologically important sites outside the SPA	require regular and efficient access to marine waters ecologically connected to the colony in order to

A014 Storm Petrel *Hydrobates pelagicus*

To restore the Favourable conservation condition of Storm Petrel Duvillaun Islands SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Breeding population size	Apparently Occupied Sites (AOS)	Long term SPA population trend is stable or increasing	Storm Petrel are small, nocturnal and nest underground on offshore islands which leads to difficulties in surveying this species and generating accurate population estimates. Survey methods an analytical methods for this species have changed between surveys and are likely to change in the future, requiring the use of new technology and innovative approaches (Burnell et al., 2023). Therefore, caution is required when comparing population estimates. Storm Petrel have been breeding in Mayo since at least the 1800s (Ussher and Warren, 1900). In 2001 an estimated 950 pairs nested on Duvillaun Beg (Mitchell et al., 2004) and 100 - 200 pairs were estimated to have bred on Duvillaun More in 2002. More recently 98 and 35 pairs were recorded on Duvillaun Beg in 2019 and Duvillaun More in 2021 respectively (NPWS interna files). The reported population of Storm Petrel in Ireland has increased by 10% between 1998 - 200 and 2015 - 2021, indicating a stable population (Burnell et al., 2023)
Productivity rate	Number of fledged young per breeding pair	Sufficient to maintain a stable or increasing population	There was no productivity data available for this species in this SPA. There is a lack of published productivity estimates for this species. On Skellig Michael there is an ongoing programme of work to develop a method to produce robust productivity estimates for Storm Petrel at that site. In the UK there is insufficient data to produce productivity trends due to the difficulties involved in monitoring breeding success for this burrow and crevice nestir species (Harris et al., 2024)
Distribution: extent of available nesting options within the SPA	Numbers and spatial distribution	Sufficient availability of suitable nesting sites throughout the SPA to maintain a stable or increasing population	Distribution encapsulates the number of locations and area of potentially suitable nesting habitat for the breeding population and its availability for use. The suitability and availability of habitat across the SPA may vary through time. This will affect the spatio-temporal patterns of use of the habitats by Strom Petrel. Storm Petrel breed on rocky ground of offshore islands and stacks, and occasionally on headlands (Carboneras et al., 2021). Storm Petrel use a range of nesting habitats, including natural crevices, under rocks and boulders, in stone walls, self-excavated burrows, and in burrows originally excavated by other species (Cramp and Simmons, 1977). Storm Petrel have been recorded breeding of Duvillaun Beg and Duvillaun More within this SPA
Forage spatial distribution, extent, abundance and availability	Location, hectares, and forage biomass	Sufficient number of locations, area of suitable habitat and available forage biomass to support the population target	The primary diet of the Storm Petrel is small fish (<i>Sprattus sprattus, Ammodytes marinus</i>), squid, and crustaceans (Carboneras et al., 2021). Based of several studies, Woodward et al. (2019) estimate a mean-max foraging range of 336km for Storm Petr from the nest site during the breeding season (see Power et al., 2021)

Disturbance at the breeding site	Intensity, frequency, timing and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact on birds at the breeding site	Disturbance events at the nest site/breeding colony level can result in a reduction of overall productivity and even lead to the abandonment of the breeding colony. The impact of any significant disturbance (direct or indirect) to the breeding population will ultimately affect the achievement of targets for population size and/or spatial distribution. Disturbance contributes to increased energetic expenditure, which can result in increased likelihood of mortality or reduced fitness (if energy expenditure is greater than energy gain) and, in turn, negatively impact population trends. Factors such as intensity, frequency, timing, and duration of a (direct or indirect) disturbance source must be taken into account to determine the potential impact upon the targets for population size and spatial distribution
Disturbance at areas ecologically connected to the colony	Intensity, frequency, timing and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact on breeding population	Seabird species can make extensive use of the marine waters adjacent to their breeding colonies for non site-specific maintenance behaviours (e.g. courtship, bathing, preening), as defined in McSorley et al. (2003)
Barriers to connectivity	Number, location, shape, and area (ha)	Barriers do not significantly impact the population's access to the SPA or other ecologically important sites outside the SPA	Seabirds, particularly during the breeding season, require regular and efficient access to marine waters ecologically connected to the colony in order to forage as well as to engage in other maintenance behaviours. Based on several studies, Woodward et al. (2019) estimate a mean-max foraging range of 336km for Storm Petrel from the nest site during the breeding season (see Power et al., 2021)

Conservation Objectives for : Duvillaun Islands SPA [004111]

A045 Barnacle Goose Branta leucopsis

To maintain the Favourable conservation condition of Barnacle Goose in Duvillaun Islands SPA, which is defined by the following list of attributes and targets:

Attribute	Measure	Target	Notes
Winter population trend	Percentage change in number of individuals	Long term winter population trend is stable or increasing	The national population of wintering Barnacle Goose in Ireland has increased by 102% from 1993 - 2018 (Lewis et al., 2019) as monitored by the International Census of Greenland Barnacle Goose. During the baseline assessments to inform SPA designation, 2,849 Barnacle Goose were estimated to be using this SPA, Inishglora and Inishkeeragh SPA, Inishkea Islands SPA and Termoncarragh Lake and Annagh Machair SPA (4 year mean of census counts for baseline period 1993 - 2003; see NPWS, 2013). More recent data showed a population of 2,991 Barnacle Goose used these SPAs during the period 2013 - 2023 (4 year mean of census counts from the International Census of Greenland Barnacle Goose). This represents a population increase of 5% since the baseline period, less than the national trend
Winter spatial distribution	Hectares, time and intensity of use	Sufficient number of locations, area, and availability (in terms of timing and intensity of use) of suitable habitat to support the population target	Distribution encapsulates the number of locations and area of potentially suitable habitat for the wintering population and its availability for use. The suitability and availability of habitat areas are likely to vary throughout the season, for example, due to variation in land management practices or the abundance of resources available (due to natural variation and other factors). This will affect the spatio-temporal patterns of use of the habitats by the wintering population
Disturbance at wintering site	Intensity, frequency, timing and duration	Disturbance occurs at levels that do not significantly impact the achievement of targets for population trend and spatial distribution	The impact of any significant disturbance (direct or indirect) to the wintering population will ultimately affect the achievement of targets for population trend and/or spatial distribution. Disturbance contributes to increased energetic expenditure which can result in increased likelihood of winter mortality or reduced fitness (if energy expenditure is greater than energy gain) and, in turn, negatively impact population trends (see, for example, Madsen and Fox, 1995). Factors such as intensity, frequency, timing and duration of a (direct or indirect) disturbance source must be taken into account to determine the potential impact upon the targets for population trend and spatial distribution
Barriers to connectivity and site use			Barriers limiting the population's access to this SPA or ecologically important sites outside the SPA will ultimately affect the achievement of targets for population trend and/or spatial distribution. Factors such as the number, location, shape and area of potential barriers must be taken into account to determine their potential impact. Access to ecologically important sites outside the SPA must also be considered as a single SPA may not satisfy all the ecological requirements of the wintering population, and it may require access to other SPAs or sites for certain activities, such as foraging when preferred foraging areas are unavailable due to disturbance, extensive flooding, or other factors

Forage spatial distribution, extent and abundance	Location, hectares, and forage biomass	Sufficient number of locations, area of suitable habitat and available forage biomass to support the population target	This species is a grazing herbivore. Historically, in Ireland, foraging habitat included salt marsh, but currently the species is typically associated with open coastal pasture, mostly improved and semi- improved agricultural grasslands. Barnacle Goose grazes on leaves, stems, rhizomes, roots and seeds, with grass and <i>Plantago/Bellis/Festuca</i> swards comprising preferred food sources (Cabot, 1973). This species selects a preferred sward height of <10cm but birds can feed on swards >15cm if preferred areas are depleted (based on birds in Islay, see Vickery and Gill, 1999). Birds are highly likely to exhibit foraging site fidelity and may be found foraging on offshore islands as well as commuting to forage on the mainland. Maximum foraging distance is approximately 7km for wintering birds (Doyle et al., 2023)
Roost spatial distribution and extent	Location and hectares of roosting habitat	Sufficient number of locations, area and availability of suitable roosting habitat to support the population target	Roosting is a critical ecological requirement for the wintering population. When roosting, this species uses open habitats (primarily pastures) that provide wide sightlines for the birds and which are typically adjacent to water bodies; thus, offshore islands are commonly used. Birds exhibit strong roost site fidelity (Doyle et al., 2023). Daytime roosting is also a common behaviour, where birds minimise activity levels to conserve energy, while benefitting from the vigilance of other flock members. A lack of sufficient and suitable roosting habitats can result in increased mortality risk, whether indirectly (e.g. via increased energy expenditure travelling to/from roost sites) or directly (e.g. via increased predation risk), or reduction in site use; this would ultimately affect the achievement of targets for population trend and/or spatial distribution
Supporting habitat: area and quality	Hectares and quality	Sufficient area of utilisable habitat available in ecologically important sites outside the SPA	The wintering population can make extensive use of suitable habitats in important areas outside the SPA for foraging and roosting. The extent, availability and quality of these supporting habitats may be of importance for the resilience of the SPA population. Suitable supporting habitats include those highlighted in the attributes for foraging and roosting habitat



