

# **Application for Derogation**

# Under Regulation 54 & 54A of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011, as amended

**Revision 2.0 – July 2025** 

- This form can be used by any individual or Company applying for a derogation under Regulation 54 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011 ("the Regulations") or any individual applying on behalf of the Minister for Housing, Local Government and Heritage under Regulation 54(A) of the Regulations.
- Note this application form is not for Domestic Dwelling Derogations (bats within private homes) which can be found here > (<u>3D Application Form</u>)
- Please ensure that you answer questions fully in order to avoid delays and/or your application being rejected on the basis that it does not contain sufficient information and detail for the application to be considered further.
- Please read and familiarise yourself with the <u>NPWS Guidance on Applications for</u> Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: Guidance for Applicants
- Please read and familiarise yourself with the <u>European Commission's Guidance</u> document on the strict protection of animal species of Community interest under the <u>Habitats Directive</u>
- Please also note that the responses to these questions are supplementary to the
  documentation required for the NPWS to be in a position to consider your
  application. A complete application should include both the application form and an
  associated report. Failure to supply either will result in your application being
  returned and/or refused.
- In circumstances in which a derogation is given on foot of this application, the Applicant is responsible for ensuring compliance with the conditions of any such derogation, even though they may employ another person to act on their behalf. To carry out any activity without, or not in accordance with, a derogation granted under regulation 54 or 54A of the Regulations constitutes a criminal offence, subject to prosecution.
- If you experience any problems filling in this form, please contact the Wildlife Licensing Unit: <a href="mailto:reg54derogations@npws.gov.ie">reg54derogations@npws.gov.ie</a>
- Please note applications, associated reports and derogations will be published on the NPWS website and/or the Department's Open Data website.
- Where any applicant is applying for a derogation to carry out surveys, please ensure to list all qualified ecologists and trainees under their supervision. See section 1(c) of Part A.

## Part A: The Applicant - Personal Details

These questions relate to the person responsible for any proposed works and who will be the **Applicant**. If this application is being submitted on behalf of a third party, please also complete Part B below.

## 1. (a) Name of Applicant

Title (Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms/Dr)	Forename(s)	Surname		
<b>(b)</b> Company Name, if applicable	Michael Moore Car Sales Limited			
(c) Address Line 1	Garryhinch			
Address Line 2	Address Line 2  Town Portarlington			
Town				
County Laois				
Eircode	N37 AN27			
(d) Contact number				
(e) Email address	(e) Email address			
(f) Address where works are to be carried out if different from (b) above.				
Address Line 1				
Address Line 2				
Town	Town County			
County				
Eircode				

## Details of Person Submitting Application on Behalf of Applicant/Derogation Holder

Information relating to the person (e.g. ecologist) responsible for submitting the application on behalf of the applicant should be entered below:

## 1. (b) Name of Person/Ecologist

Title (Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms/Dr)	Forename(s)	Surname		
Mr	Donnacha	Woods		
(b) Company Name Gannon + Associates				
Address Line 1	122 Baggot Street Lowe	122 Baggot Street Lower		
Address Line 2				
Town	Dublin 2			
County				
Eircode				
(c) Contact number	<b>c)</b> Contact number 0876274402			
(d) Email address	donnacha@gannonand	donnacha@gannonandassociates.ie		
(e) Relationship to Applicant	Consultant Ecologist			

## For Survey Derogations Only

# 1. (c) Please Indicate the Names to Appear on the Derogation Along with the Position Held e.g. Supervisor/Trainee

Forename(s)	Surname	Supervisor or Trainee
i i		
*	<u>  '</u>	•

# Part B: Species covered by the Derogation

1.	Species of Animal: Please indicate which species is/are the subject of the application:		
	<ul> <li>Bat</li> <li>Otter</li> <li>Kerry Slug</li> <li>Natterjack Toad</li> <li>Dolphin</li> <li>Whale</li> <li>Turtle</li> <li>Porpoise</li> </ul>		
2.	Please detail the exact species (scientific name): Common pipistrelle		
3.	Please provide the maximum number of individuals affected* 5 (likely 1)		
4.	Please provide the maximum number of breeding or resting sites affected* 1		
5.	Please provide the maximum number of eggs to be taken* _   n/a		
6.	Please provide the maximum number of eggs to be destroyed* n/a		
	*If no figures can be provided for the maximum number of individuals, breeding sites, resting places and eggs to be covered by the derogation please provide reasons why.		
7.	Species of Plant: Please indicate which species is/are the subject of the application:		
	<ul> <li>Killarney Fern</li> <li>Slender Naiad</li> <li>Marsh Saxifrage</li> </ul>		
8.	3. If you previously received a derogation for any species of animal or plant, please state derogation number and confirm that you have made a return to NPWS on the numbers actually affected that derogation.		
	DER-BAT-2025-285 (live derogation, return to be submitted)		
	DER-BAT-2022-138 (return completed)		
	Section 35 93/2023 (return completed), Section 35 24/2023 (return completed), Section 35 34/2021 (return completed), Section 9 & 22 (9)(d) 41/2021 (return completed), Section 9 & 22 (9)(d) 42/2021 (return completed)		

Э.	out t	the activities	s. Dates set by NPWS may differ from dates proposed here. A derogation a start and end date within a calendar year.	
		t Date: Date:	07/07/2025 07/07/2026	
Part	: C:	Nature	of the Derogation.	
1.	Plea	ase tick whic	ch prohibition(s) the application for a derogation relates to:	
			Regulation 51	
	Del	liberately ca	pture or kill any specimen of the relevant species in the wild	
	hib	ernation and		
		<u> </u>	ke or destroy eggs of the relevant species in the wild	
		0	stroy a breeding or resting place of such an animal, or t, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of	
	the	relevant sp	ecies taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in the Habitats Directive.	
			Regulation 52	
	wild	d, or	ck, collect, cut, uproot or destroy any specimen of these species in the	
	the	se species t	t, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in Article Habitats Directive.	
Fui	rthei	r informati	ion should be provided in the format set out in Part E: Templa Supporting Information	te for
Part	D:	Derogat	tion Tests	
be use	d to	determine	ummary information must be provided by the applicant in all cases if a derogation can be provided. Further information must be provided. Fart E: Template for Supporting Information	
Test 1	: Rea	ason for th	ne Derogation	
1.	e) d Reg	or Regulatio ulations: Ple	th reason(s) below explains how this application qualifies under Regulation 54A(2)(a-e) of the European Communities (Birds and Natural ease provide a summary of how the application meets the 3 conditions reation. Note that in all cases additional information must be provided (see	Habitats) equired to
	a.	In the inter	rests of protecting wild flora and fauna and conserving natural habitats to 2a)	
	b.		t serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and other types of property <b>(proceed to 2b)</b>	

C	c. In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment (proceed to 2c)		
C	d.	For the purpose of research and education, of re-populating and re-introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including artificial propagation of plants (proceed to 2d)	
€	€.	To allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule (proceed to 2e)	
<b>2a.</b> ∣	n th	ne interests of protecting wild flora and fauna and conserving natural habitats:	
i)	Ple	ease state the wild flora, fauna or habitats that require protection and /or conservation.	_
,		ease summarise how the interests of protection and conservation of the species/habitat erned justify affecting another species under strict protection.	: 
		prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and sof property:	othe
i	,	Please summarise the nature of the potential damage, why it is considered "serious" and nis outweighs the conservation interest of the species under strict protection.	d hov

**2c)** In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment:

i) Where the reason is for public health and public safety, summarise the evidence provided to support this reason (e.g. documentary evidence of the risk from a chartered structural engineer, tree surgeon, Garda Síochána, qualified health professional etc.) The proposed development site encompasses a derelict farmhouse and associated outbuildings & barn. The buildings are in a poor state of repair and there is evidence of previous anti-social behaviour. The farmhouse has evidence of fire damage and previous vandalism. The stairs are and upper floor have collapsed in places and are structurally unsound. There is ongoing deterioration and collapse in the ceilings. The proposed development site is situated close to large population centre of Athlone and there is a high likelihood of further anti-social activity within the buildings on-site, which comprises a significant health and safety risk to the public. Members of the public entering the site and buildings would be at risk of serious injury due to the deteriorated state of the buildings. ii) Where the reason is for "other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment", summarise the nature of the public interest and how this outweighs the conservation interest of the species under strict protection. 2d) For the purpose of research and education, of re-populating and re-introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including artificial propagation of plants: i) Please summarise the objective(s) of the proposed activities making reference to those listed above and how the the purpose of such activities overrides the interests of strict protection of the species. 1 2e) To allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule i) Please clearly state the objective of the activity and verify that this reason is being chosen as the objective of the activity does not match reasons a-d listed above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Note that this reason may be appropriate for when research involves surveys that may cause disturbance of species under strict protection. But the sole purpose of the surveys should be for research and education or the other reasons listed above under 1d.

ii) Please summarise how the activity will result in the taking or keeping of limited numbers specimens of the species, how it will be applied on a selective basis and to a limited externand how it will be done under strictly supervised conditions.	

#### Test 2: Absence of Alternative solutions

2. Please summarise the alternative solutions that have been considered and why these solutions are deemed unsatisfactory. This must include the option of the "do-nothing" alternative and evidence should be objective and robust. Note that in all cases further information must be provided in the format set out in Part E: Template for Supporting Information.

Alternative Solution	Reasons for "Unsatisfactory"	
Do-Nothing	As detailed above, the buildings in their current state comprise a risk to public health and safety. As such, the do-nothing scenario is unsatisfactory	
Demolition of buildings on-site and no new development	The potential for the demolition of the existing buildings on-site with no further development was considered. Under this scenario, the bat roost would similarly be lost and derogation required. This scenario would not allow for new development and is therefore unsatisfactory.	
Renovation of existing buildings	The potential for the renovation of the existing buildings on-site was considered. Under this scenario, significant works would be required to the existing buildings, including complete removal/replacement of existing roofs and interior components. These works would result in the disturbance / loss of the existing bat roost and would themselves require derogation licence. As such, this scenario is unsatisfactory.	

## Test 3: Impact of a Derogation on Conservation Status

3. Please summarise the possible impacts on the population of the species that is subject to this application, taking into account all the mitigation and/or compensation measures that are to be undertaken. Evidence that such mitigation has been successful elsewhere should be provided where relevant. Mitigation measures being relied upon must ensure that the derogation will not be detrimental to the maintenance of the populations of the species to which the Habitats Directive relates at a favourable conservation status in their natural range. Note that in all cases further information must be provided in the format set out in Part E: Template for Supporting Information.

The overall trend for the national population of common pipistrelle in Article 17 reporting (NPWS, 2019) is as follows:

- Range = Favourable
- Population = Favourable
- Habitat for species = Favourable
- Overall Assessment of Conservation Status = Favourable
- Overall trend in Conservation Status = Improving

Mitigation measures have been designed in respect to the demolition works to ensure that there will be no negative impacts to potential roosting bats as a result of the proposed development (see Supporting Information). Alternative roosting habitat will be provided via bat boxes. No significant impacts are anticipated on the local population of common pipistrelle bats.

<sup>\*</sup> Please insert additional rows above if needed

## Part E: Template for Supporting Information

This application form should provide a summary of the evidence that the applicant has provided. In all cases, it is necessary to provide separate supporting information so that the assessment of the application can be undertaken in a robust and comprehensive manner. Applicants should refer to guidance provided by the NPWS and the European Commission whilst preparing this application form and the supporting information.

It is essential that supporting information is prepared in a consistent manner using the template below so that NPWS officials assessing the application can locate the relevant evidence to determine if the three Tests can be met. Failure to provide sufficient evidence will result in the application being refused.

The structure of the Supporting Information should be as follows:

- 1) Table of Contents
- 2) Introduction
  - a. Objective of the proposed works (for example, as part of construction of a national road, repair of roofing, undertaking surveys etc.)
  - b. Name, qualifications and relevant experience of scientific staff, including trainees, (e.g. ecologist) involved in the preparation of the application and those responsible for carrying out the proposed activity.
  - c. If this application is for the carrying out of surveys that may cause disturbance, qualifications of all involved must be provided and trainees must be clearly identified.
- 3) Background to proposed activity including location, ownership, type of and need for the proposed activity, planning history, policy context, zoning in relevant Development plan (or equivalent), etc.
- 4) Full details of proposed activity to be covered by the derogation (including a site plan). The site may be inspected by an NPWS representative, so the details given should clearly reflect the extent of the project. This information will be used to compare site conditions with the Method Statement.
- 5) Ecological Survey and site assessment (Not required for applications to carry out surveys)
  - a. Pre-existing information on species at location and environs.
  - b. Status of the species in the local/regional area (relevant to the consideration of the impact on the population at the relevant geographic scale (Test 3))
  - c. Objective(s) of survey
  - d. Description of Surveys Area
  - e. Survey methodology (including evidence as to how the methodology represents best practice and is appropriate to the Objective). Methodology should include survey maps, details of timing, climate, equipment used and identify any uncertainties or difficulties encountered.
  - f. Survey results including raw data, any processed or aggregated data, and negative results as appropriate. Photographs and maps must be provided where site-specific features are referred.
  - g. Population size class assessment.
- 6) Evidence to support the Derogation Tests
  - a. Test 1 Reason for Derogation:
    - i. There should be a clear explanation as to why a specific reason(s) has been selected in the application form.

- ii. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published by the NPWS 'Guidance on Applications for Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: Guidance for Applicants' with specific reference to Section 3.1.
- b. Test 2 Absence of Alternative Solutions
  - i. Applicants must list the alternatives to the proposed activity that have been considered, including the do-nothing alternatives in a clear and objective manner. A basic requirement is that these alternatives should be compared in terms of their impact on the species subject to strict protection. It should be clear to NPWS officials as to why the chosen approach has been selected.
  - ii. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published by 'Guidance on Applications for Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: Guidance for Applicants" with specific reference to Section 3.2.
- c. Test 3 Impact of a derogation on Conservation Status
  - i. Applicants should include details of the population at the appropriate geographic scale and an evaluation of how the proposed activity will affect the conservation status both before and after mitigation measures have been applied.
  - ii. Full and detailed descriptions of proposed mitigation measures that are relevant to the potential impact on the target species. Evidence that such mitigation has been successful elsewhere should be provided, where available.
  - iii. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published '<u>Guidance on Applications</u> for Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: <u>Guidance for Applicants</u>" with specific reference to Section 3.3.
- 7) Monitoring the impacts of the derogations
  - a. Applicants must include details of how they propose to verify whether the derogations have been implemented correctly and whether they achieved their objective, using scientifically based evidence, and, if necessary, how the applicant will take corrective measures where required.
  - b. Applicants should provide details of proposed reports to be submitted to the NPWS including the results of monitoring.
  - c. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published by the European Commission "Guidance document on the strict protection of animal species of Community interest under the Habitats Directive" with specific reference to Section 3.4.

#### Part F. Declaration

I declare that all of the foregoing particulars are, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true and correct. I understand that the deliberate killing, injuring, capturing or disturbing of protected species, or damage or destruction of their breeding sites or resting places or the deliberate taking or destroying of eggs is an offence without a derogation and that it is a legal requirement to comply with the conditions of any derogation I may be granted following this application. I understand that NPWS may visit to check compliance with a derogation.

Please note that under Regulation 5 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011-2021 an authorised officer may enter and inspect any land or premises for the purposes of performing any of their functions under these Regulations or for obtaining any information which they may require for such purposes.

Signature of the <b>Applicant</b>	on behalf of Michael Moore Car Sales Limited	Date	29/09/2025
Name in <b>BLOCK LETTERS</b>	DONNACHA WOODS		

## **PRIVACY STATEMENT**

See Privacy Statement at www.npws.ie/licences

## npws.ie

