



NPWS

An tSeirbhís Páircenna
Náisiúnta agus Fiadhúla
National Parks and Wildlife
Service

**Derogation Number
DER-BAT-2025-354**

**EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES (BIRDS AND NATURAL HABITATS) REGULATIONS, 2011
(S.I. No 477 of 2011)**

DEROGATION

Granted under Regulation 54 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011, hereinafter referred to as “the Habitats Regulations”.

The Minister for Housing, Local Government & Heritage, in exercise of the powers conferred on him by Regulation 54 of the Habitats Regulations hereby grants to **Eamonn Power of Clonshire Developments Limited, Studio 14, The Atrium, Maritana Gate, Canada Street, Waterford, County Waterford, X91 A250** a derogation. It is stated that this derogation is issued:

- A. In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment
- B. As there is no satisfactory alternative, and the action authorised by this derogation will not be detrimental to the maintenance of the population of **bats** referred to below at a favourable conservation status in their natural range.

This derogation authorises the following:

- 1. Roost disturbance
- 2. Actions authorised within the derogation

The derogation is issued in respect of the following **bat species**:

- | | |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| • Common Pipistrelle | Pipistrellus Pipistrellus |
| • Soprano Pipistrelle | Pipistrellus Pygmaeus |
| • Leisler’s Bat | Nycatalus Leisler |



Terms and Conditions

1. This derogation is granted solely to allow the activities specified in connection with the works located at **New Bloodmill Road, Towlerton, Limerick, County Limerick (Grid Reference: Longitude: -8.5826611, Latitude: 52.6557171) for Eamonn Power**
2. All activities authorised by this derogation, and all equipment used in connection herewith, shall be carried out, constructed and maintained (as the case may be) so as to avoid unnecessary injury or distress to any species of **BAT**. Anything done other than in accordance with the terms of this derogation may constitute an offence
3. This derogation may be modified or revoked, for stated reasons, at any time.
4. The mitigation measures outlined in the application report (**Dusk and Dawn Bat Survey Clonshire Developments Limited, Bloodmill Road, Towlerton, Limerick**) together with any changes or clarification agreed in correspondence between NPWS and the agent or applicant, are to be carried out. Strict adherence must be paid to all the proposed measures in the application.
5. The actions which this derogation authorise shall be completed between **19th November – 31st December 2025, inclusive.**
6. The works will be supervised by bat ecologist(s): **Jane Russell-O'Connor.**
7. Tree felling **should not** take place during bat hibernation period (Dec – Feb)
8. The trees should be felled carefully in sections under supervision of an ecologist, leaving timber to lie after felling for a minimum of 48 hours to allow any bats present to re-locate
9. Bat boxes to be erected on suitable trees nearby
10. If this derogation addresses works that are subject of a planning application, no such works permitted under this derogation can occur until planning permission is granted.
11. If this derogation expires prior to works permitted under this derogation commencing, a new application must be sought in advance, including the provision of any updated data or reports.
12. This derogation shall be produced for inspection on a request being made on that behalf by a member of An Garda Síochána or an authorised NPWS officer appointed under Regulation 4 of the Habitats Regulations.
13. The local **NPWS Regional Manager – Aine Lynch**, aine.lynch@npws.gov.ie, must be contacted prior to the commencement of any activity, and if bats are detected on site during the course of the work, under the terms of this derogation.
14. On completion of the actions which this derogation authorises, all recordings of bat species affected will be made using the standardised Returns form and must be submitted to the NPWS **within four weeks of the expiry date of this derogation**. Included with the Returns form, a report will also be submitted to wildlife.reports@npws.gov.ie detailing results of works and success of mitigation. **Both documents must be submitted to constitute a derogation return.**



NPWS

An tSeirbhís Páirceanna
Náisiúnta agus Fiadhúlra
National Parks and Wildlife
Service

For the Minister for Housing, Local Government & Heritage

(an officer authorised by the Minister to sign on his behalf)

19 November 2025

Any query in relation to this derogation should be sent to reg54derogations@npws.gov.ie





Derogation Assessment

Name of Applicant: Eamonn Power

Location/Name of Project: New Bloodmill Road, Towlerton, Co. Limerick

Tick the following prohibition as chosen on the application:

(a) Deliberately capture or kill any specimen of the relevant species in the wild	☐
(b) Deliberately disturb these species particularly during the period of breeding, rearing, hibernation and migration	☐
(c) Deliberately take or destroy eggs of the relevant species in the wild	☐
(d) Damage or destroy a breeding or resting place of such an animal, or	☒
(e) Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of the relevant species taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in Article 12(2) of the Habitats Directive.	☐
(a) Deliberately pick, collect, cut, uproot or destroy any specimen of these species in the wild, or	☐
(b) Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of these species taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in Article 13(1)(b) of the Habitats Directive.	☐

Test 1: A reason(s) listed in Regulation 54 (a)-(e) applies to the proposed activity

i. Tick which reason the applicant claims should be applied to the derogation

(a) In the interests of protecting wild flora and fauna and conserving natural habitats,	☐
(b) To prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and other types of property	☐
(c) In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment,	☒
(d) For the purpose of research and education, of re-populating and re-introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including artificial propagation of plants, or	☐
(e) To allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule.	☐



ii. Test 1: Conclusion

Please tick the following where it applies:

There is a valid reason(s) listed in Regulation 54 (a)-(e) which applies to the proposed activity:	Yes	☒
	No	☐

Please outline your analysis below and state how the applicant has provided evidence to support your conclusion:

The application form and associated documentation provided by the applicant has been reviewed in full. The application relies on regulation 54(2)(c) 'in the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment' as the reason chosen for a derogation that they believe applies to the proposed activity.

In the detail provided, it is clear that the applicants are relying on the interests of public health and public safety aspect of reason C. As outlined on page four of the accompanying report the derogation is required to facilitate proposed works at a greenfield site at Bloodmill Road, Towlerston, Limerick. The proposed works involve the removal of four diseased ash trees as part of a proposed housing development. Appendix 5 of the report outlines that the identified trees are infected with ash die back condition and will eventually die and fall which could pose a health and safety concern.

The applicants have provided evidence as to the nature and scale of the interests of public health and public safety aspect and the proposed activity is necessary to achieve these overall objectives. Based on the above this application has passed Test 1 and can now proceed to Test 2.



Test 2: Absence of a satisfactory alternative

Please tick the following where it applies and add a comment below to support the recommendation:

The applicant has provided satisfactory evidence that alternative solutions have been considered and have given reasons why the proposed approach is the only satisfactory alternative:	Yes	☒
	No	☐

Please outline your analysis below and state how the applicant has provided evidence to support your conclusion (If you wish to add additional conditions please complete pg. 6):

The documentation submitted by the applicant has been reviewed, including the evidence for alternative solutions.

The purpose of the derogation is to allow the following activity to take place: Felling of four ash trees infected with ash dieback to facilitate a housing development. Report states the trees may be an issue for public safety.

The specific situation that needs to be addressed is the trees contain a bat roost. Up to 25 bats may be present with Soprano Pipistrelle, Common Pipistrelle and Leisler's bat detected. 12-13 is considered the number of bats more likely to be present.

The alternative solutions suggested by the applicant are:

1. "Do-Nothing" scenario: Report considers boughs may fall and injure people as the trees are infected with ash dieback. The trees will eventually die and may fall over.
2. No other alternatives were considered satisfactory.

The applicant has provided satisfactory evidence that alternative solutions have been considered. As outlined on page 10 of the accompanying report.

Based on the assessment of the application documentation, it is regarded that the applicant has considered all available alternative solutions and at this time, no other alternative solutions are apparent.

Having weighed the possible solutions to solve the applicant's problem against the effects of a derogation on the species concerned, it is concluded that the application has passed Test 2 and can proceed to Test 3.

Upon completion of your assessment, please return this Recommendation to WLU to continue the application process.



Test 3: Impact of a derogation on conservation status of the species

Please tick the following where it applies and add a comment below to support the recommendation:

The derogation would NOT be detrimental to the maintenance of the populations of the species in question at a favourable conservation status in their natural range.	Yes	☒
	No	☐

Please outline your analysis below and state how the applicant has provided evidence to support your conclusion. (If you wish to add additional conditions please complete pg. 6)

Small numbers of common pipistrelles, soprano pipistrelles and Leisler's bats were recorded roosting in the tree line due for removal. These species are all widespread and abundant in Ireland. Mitigation measures are proposed including timing of the tree felling to avoid the bat hibernation period, careful felling of the trees in sections and leaving timber to lie after felling to allow any bats present to re-locate; the erection of bat boxes on suitable trees nearby. Providing these measures are implemented there should be no significant impact on the conservation status of the bats in the area.

If the answer above is Yes then the derogation may be granted, providing Tests 1 and 2 have also been met.

Upon completion of your assessment, please return this Recommendation to WLU to continue the application process.



Derogation decision

The application for a derogation under Regulation 54 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations, 2011 (S.I. 477 of 2011), as amended, has been assessed by officials in the Department and the following decision has been made:

Tick box where appropriate:

There is no satisfactory alternative

☒

and the derogation is not detrimental to the maintenance of the populations of the species to which the Habitats Directive relates at a favourable conservation status in their natural range.

☒

Therefore, a derogation may be granted to the applicant, since it is—

(a) in the interests of protecting wild fauna and flora and conserving natural habitats

☐

(b) to prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and other types of property,

☐

(c) in the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment,

☒

(d) for the purpose of research and education, of repopulating and re-introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including the artificial propagation of plants, or

☐

(e) to allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule.

☐

OR This application has been refused as one or more of the conditions set out above have not been met

☐



The following conditions should be attached to the derogation:

1. Tree felling **should not** take place during bat hibernation period (Dec – Feb)
2. The trees should be felled carefully in sections under supervision of an ecologist, leaving timber to lie after felling for a minimum of 48 hours to allow any bats present to re-locate
3. Bat boxes to be erected on suitable trees nearby
- 4.

Kate Greaney

Signed: .

Date: November 19, 2025

Position: Ecologist