

## Derogation Number DER-BAT-2025-353

# EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES (BIRDS AND NATURAL HABITATS) REGULATIONS, 2011 (S.I. No 477 of 2011)

#### **DEROGATION**

Granted under Regulation 54 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011, hereinafter referred to as "the Habitats Regulations".

The Minister for Housing, Local Government & Heritage, in exercise of the powers conferred on him by Regulation 54 of the Habitats Regulations hereby grants to **Michael Browne** of **Knockbrack, Athenry, County Galway, H65 W400** a derogation. It is stated that this derogation is issued:

- A. In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment
- B. As there is no satisfactory alternative, and the action authorised by this derogation will not be detrimental to the maintenance of the population of <u>bats</u> referred to below at a favourable conservation status in their natural range.

This derogation authorises the following:

- 1. Roost disturbance
- 2. Actions authorised within the derogation

The derogation is issued in respect of the following **bat species**:

• Lesser Horseshoe Bat Rhinolophus Hipposideros



#### **Terms and Conditions**

- 1. This derogation is granted solely to allow the activities specified in connection with the works located at **Gortnagreenane**, **Kilgobnet**, **Beaufort**, **County Kerry** for **Michael Browne**
- 2. All activities authorised by this derogation, and all equipment used in connection herewith, shall be carried out, constructed and maintained (as the case may be) so as to avoid unnecessary injury or distress to any species of **BAT**. Anything done other than in accordance with the terms of this derogation may constitute an offence
- 3. This derogation may be modified or revoked, for stated reasons, at any time.
- 4. The mitigation measures outlined in the application report (Supporting Information for an Application for Derogation Under Regulation 54 & 54A of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011 to Demolish a Lesser Horseshoe Bat Maternity Roost in Gortagreenane, Co. Kerry) together with any changes or clarification agreed in correspondence between NPWS and the agent or applicant, are to be carried out. Strict adherence must be paid to all the proposed measures in the application.
- 5. The actions which this derogation authorise shall be completed between 14<sup>th</sup> November 31<sup>st</sup> December 2025, inclusive.
- 6. The works will be supervised by a suitably qualified bat ecologist
- 7. The shed beside the house will be renovated to provide a dedicated bat house as per the latest architect's drawing and including a slate roof for thermal regulation
- 8. No works will be carried out on the house where the bats currently roost until the new bat house is ready for bat occupation
- 9. Demolition of the building currently housing the bats can take place after the new bat house is completed but must only take place between October-March inclusive, i.e. outside the maternity period. The demolition will be supervised by NPWS staff and the structure will be inspected prior to demolition to ensure no bats are present
- 10. The new bat house will be monitored annually by NPWS staff as part of the NPWS LHB monitoring scheme
- 11. If this derogation addresses works that are subject of a planning application, no such works permitted under this derogation can occur until planning permission is granted.
- 12. If this derogation expires prior to works permitted under this derogation commencing, a new application must be sought in advance, including the provision of any updated data or reports.
- 13. This derogation shall be produced for inspection on a request being made on that behalf by a member of An Garda Síochána or an authorised NPWS officer appointed under Regulation 4 of the Habitats Regulations.
- 14. The local NPWS District Conservation Officer Daniel Buckley, <a href="mailto:daniel.buckley@npws.gov.ie">daniel.buckley@npws.gov.ie</a>, must be contacted prior to the commencement of any activity, and if bats are detected on site during the course of the work, under the terms of this derogation.
- 15. On completion of the actions which this derogation authorises, all recordings of bat species affected will be made using the standardised Returns form and must be submitted to the NPWS within four weeks of the expiry date of this derogation. Included with the Returns form, a report will also be submitted to <a href="wildlife.reports@npws.gov.ie">wildlife.reports@npws.gov.ie</a> detailing results of works and success of mitigation. Both documents must be submitted to constitute a derogation return.



## For the Minister for Housing, Local Government & Heritage

Cleire Conten

(an officer authorised by the Minister to sign on his behalf)

### 14 November 2025

Any query in relation to this derogation should be sent to <a href="mailto:reg54derogations@npws.gov.ie">reg54derogations@npws.gov.ie</a>





## **Derogation Assessment**

Name of Applicant: Michael Browne

Location/Name of Project: Gortnagreenane, Beaufort, County Kerry

Tick the following prohibition as chosen on the application:

(a)	Deliberately capture or kill any specimen of the relevant species in the wild	
(b)	Deliberately disturb these species particularly during the period of breeding, rearing, hibernation and migration	
(c)	Deliberately take or destroy eggs of the relevant species in the wild	
(d)	Damage or destroy a breeding or resting place of such an animal, or	$\boxtimes$
(e)	Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of the relevant species taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in Article 12(2) of the Habitats Directive.	
(a)	Deliberately pick, collect, cut, uproot or destroy any specimen of these species in the wild, or	
(b)	Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of these species taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in Article 13(1)(b) of the Habitats Directive.	

## Test 1: A reason(s) listed in Regulation 54 (a)-(e) applies to the proposed activity

i. Tick which reason the applicant claims should be applied to the derogation

(a) In the interests of protecting wild flora and fauna and conserving natural habitats,	
(b) To prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and other types of property	
(c) In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment,	$\boxtimes$
(d) For the purpose of research and education, of re-populating and re-introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including artificial propagation of plants, or	
(e) To allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule.	



#### ii. Test 1: Conclusion

Please tick the following where it applies:

There is a valid reason(s) listed in Regulation 54 (a)-(e) which applies to the	Yes	$\boxtimes$
proposed activity:	No	

## Please outline your analysis below and state how the applicant has provided evidence to support your conclusion:

The application form and associated documentation provided by the applicant has been reviewed in full. The application relies on regulation 54(2)(c) 'in the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment' as the reason chosen for a derogation that they believe applies to the proposed activity.

In the detail provided, it is clear that the applicants are relying on the imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature aspect of Reason C. As outlined on page two of the accompanying report the proposed works located at Gortagreenane, Killorglin, Co. Kerry involve the demolition of a derelict building and the construction of a new dwelling house.

It is outlined in Appendix A, a letter from the Applicants engineers that the existing dwelling is structurally compromised with damage internally and to the roof, which noted is at risk of collapsing at any time. The provision of housing in Ireland is at a critical juncture and the public interest of same can be balanced against the conservation aims of the Directive.

The applicants have provided evidence as to the nature and scale of the public interest including those of a social or economic nature for the proposed works and the proposed activity is necessary to achieve these overall objectives. Based on the above this application has passed Test 1 and can now proceed to Test 2



#### Test 2: Absence of a satisfactory alternative

Please tick the following where it applies and add a comment below to support the recommendation:

The applicant has provided satisfactory evidence that alternative solutions have been considered and have given reasons why the proposed approach is the only satisfactory alternative:		$\boxtimes$

Please outline your analysis below and state how the applicant has provided evidence to support your conclusion (If you wish to add additional conditions please complete pg. 6):

The documentation submitted by the applicant has been reviewed, including the evidence for alternative solutions.

The purpose of the derogation is to allow the following activity to take place: [demolish a condemned derelict dwelling house]

The specific situation that needs to be addressed is [the house is used as a maternity roost by lesser horseshoe bats.

The alternative solutions suggested by the applicant are

- 1. "Do-Nothing" scenario [NPWS regional staff are in agreement with the applicant that this alternative is not satisfactory as the building will continue to fall into disrepair and will no longer be suitable as a maternity roost for bats.]
- 2. Alternative Design [NPWS regional staff are in agreement with the applicant that this alternative is not satisfactory as the building is structurally unsound, it cannot be safely retained under the proposals and so it must be demolished. Therefore, there are no alternative designs that would involve retaining the roost.]

The applicant has provided satisfactory evidence that alternative solutions have clearly been considered. As outlined on page [6] of the accompanying report. Based on the assessment of the application documentation, it is regarded that the applicant has considered all available alternative solutions and at this time no other alternative solutions are apparent.

Having weighed the possible solutions to solve the applicant's problem against the effects of a derogation on the species concerned, it is concluded that the application has passed Test 2 and can proceed to Test 3.

<u>Upon completion of your assessment, please return this Recommendation to WLU to continue the application process.</u>



#### Test 3: Impact of a derogation on conservation status of the species

Please tick the following where it applies and add a comment below to support the recommendation:

The derogation would NOT be detrimental to the maintenance of the populations of the species in question at a favourable conservation status in their natural range.		$\boxtimes$

Please outline your analysis below and state how the applicant has provided evidence to support your conclusion. (If you wish to add additional conditions please complete pg. 6)

The building in question contains a maternity roost of lesser horseshoe bats with up to 55 individuals recorded in recent years. However, the building is in disrepair and structurally unsound. The assessment of local NPWS staff is that this roost is likely to be lost as the building's condition deteriorates further. An adjacent shed is also used occasionally by LHBs but is not currently suitable for a maternity colony. The proposal entails the renovation of this outbuilding into a dedicated bat house for the lesser horseshoe bats. Extensive works are required to bring this building up to standard, including the replacement of the corrugated roof with tiles. Providing these works are completed and the bat house is ready before the bats are excluded from the current roost then I believe it is likely that the LHBs will move into the renovated shed. With full implementation of all proposed mitigation measures there should be no significant impact on the conservation status of the bats on site.

<u>Upon completion of your assessment, please return this Recommendation to WLU to continue the application process.</u>



## **Derogation decision**

The application for a derogation under Regulation 54 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations, 2011 (S.I. 477 of 2011), as amended, has been assessed by officials in the Department and the following decision has been made:

### Tick box where appropriate:

There is no satisfactory alternative	
and the derogation is not detrimental to the maintenance of the populations of the species to which the Habitats Directive relates at a favourable conservation status in their natural range.	
Therefore, a derogation may be granted to the applicant, since it is—	
(a) in the interests of protecting wild fauna and flora and conserving natural habitats	
(b) to prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and other types of property,	
(c) in the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment,	
(d) for the purpose of research and education, of repopulating and re-introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including the artificial propagation of plants, or	
(e) to allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule.	
OR This application has been refused as one or more of the conditions set out above have not been met	



The following conditions should be attached to the derogation:

- 1. The shed beside the house will be renovated to provide a dedicated bat house as per the latest architect's drawing and including a slate roof for thermal regulation.
- 2. No works will be carried out on the house where the bats currently roost until the new bat house is ready for bat occupation.
- 3. Demolition of the building currently housing the bats can take place after the new bat house is completed but must only take place between October-March inclusive, i.e. outside the maternity period. The demolition will be supervised by NPWS staff and the structure will be inspected prior to demolition to ensure no bats are present.
- 4. The new bat house will be monitored annually by NPWS staff as part of the NPWS LHB monitoring scheme.

Signed: Date: November 14, 2025

Position: Ecologist