

Derogation Number DER-BAT-2025-312

EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES (BIRDS AND NATURAL HABITATS) REGULATIONS, 2011 (S.I. No 477 of 2011)

DEROGATION

Granted under Regulation 54 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011, hereinafter referred to as "the Habitats Regulations".

The Minister for Housing, Local Government & Heritage, in exercise of the powers conferred on him by Regulation 54 of the Habitats Regulations hereby grants to **Diane Clancy** of **Avalon, Lagore Road, Dunshaughlin, County Meath, A85 H971** a derogation. It is stated that this derogation is issued:

- A. In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment
- B. As there is no satisfactory alternative, and the action authorised by this derogation will not be detrimental to the maintenance of the population of <u>bats</u> referred to below at a favourable conservation status in their natural range.

This derogation authorises the following:

- 1. Roost disturbance
- 2. Actions authorised within the derogation

The derogation is issued in respect of the following **bat species**:

• Common Pipistrelle Pipistrellus Pipistrellus



Terms and Conditions

- This derogation is granted solely to allow the activities specified in connection with the works located at Coole Lodge, Coole Portroe, Nenagh, County Tipperary, E45 K67 for Diane Clancy
- 2. All activities authorised by this derogation, and all equipment used in connection herewith, shall be carried out, constructed and maintained (as the case may be) so as to avoid unnecessary injury or distress to any species of **BAT**. Anything done other than in accordance with the terms of this derogation may constitute an offence
- 3. This derogation may be modified or revoked, for stated reasons, at any time.
- 4. The mitigation measures outlined in the application report (**Bat Survey Report, Coole Harbour, Co. Tipperary**), together with any changes or clarification agreed in correspondence between NPWS and the agent or applicant, are to be carried out. Strict adherence must be paid to all the proposed measures in the application.
- 5. The actions which this derogation authorise shall be completed between **16**th **September 3**rd **October 2025, inclusive**
- 6. The works will be supervised by bat ecologist: **John Curtain (Rowan Curtain under supervision)**
- 7. If this derogation addresses works that are subject of a planning application, no such works permitted under this derogation can occur until planning permission is granted.
- 8. If this derogation expires prior to works permitted under this derogation commencing, a new application must be sought in advance, including the provision of any updated data or reports.
- 9. This derogation shall be produced for inspection on a request being made on that behalf by a member of An Garda Síochána or an authorised NPWS officer appointed under Regulation 4 of the Habitats Regulations.
- 10. The local **NPWS Regional Manager Aine Lynch**, <u>aine.lynch@npws.gov.ie</u>, must be contacted prior to the commencement of any activity, and if bats are detected on site during the course of the work, under the terms of this derogation.
- 11. On completion of the actions which this derogation authorises, all recordings of bat species affected will be made using the standardised data form provided below and must be submitted to the NPWS within four weeks of the expiry date of this derogation. Included with the below returns form, a report will also be submitted to wildlife.reports@npws.gov.ie detailing results of works and success of mitigation. Both documents must be submitted to constitute a derogation return.



For the Minister for Housing, Local Government & Heritage

Claire Conten

(an officer authorised by the Minister to sign on his behalf)

26 September 2025

Any query in relation to this derogation should be sent to reg54derogations@npws.gov.ie



Derogation Assessment

Name of Applicant: Diane Clancy

Location/Name of Project: Coole Lodge, County Tipperary

Tick the following prohibition as chosen on the application:

(a)	Deliberately capture or kill any specimen of the relevant species in the wild	
(b)	Deliberately disturb these species particularly during the period of	\boxtimes
	breeding, rearing, hibernation and migration	
(c)	Deliberately take or destroy eggs of the relevant species in the wild	
(d)	Damage or destroy a breeding or resting place of such an animal, or	\boxtimes
(e)	Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any	
	specimen of the relevant species taken in the wild, other than those	
	taken legally as referred to in Article 12(2) of the Habitats Directive.	
(a)	Deliberately pick, collect, cut, uproot or destroy any specimen of these	
	species in the wild, or	
(b)	Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any	
	specimen of these species taken in the wild, other than those taken	
	legally as referred to in Article 13(1)(b) of the Habitats Directive.	

Test 1: A reason(s) listed in Regulation 54 (a)-(e) applies to the proposed activity

i. Tick which reason the applicant claims should be applied to the derogation

(a) In the interests of protecting wild flora and fauna and conserving natural habitats,	
(b) To prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and other types of property	
(c) In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment,	\boxtimes
(d) For the purpose of research and education, of re-populating and re-introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including artificial propagation of plants, or	
(e) To allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule.	

ii. Test 1: Conclusion

Please tick the following where it applies:

There is a valid reason(s) listed in Regulation 54 (a)-(e) which applies to	Yes	\boxtimes
the proposed activity:	No	

Please outline your analysis below and state how the applicant has provided evidence to support your conclusion:

The application form and associated documentation provided by the applicant has been reviewed in full. The application relies on regulation 54(2)(c) 'in the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment' as the reason chosen for a derogation that they believe applies to the proposed activity.

In the detail provided, the applicants are relying on the public health and public safety aspect of Reason C. The upgrading of the lodge building is to help alleviate ongoing mental health challenges which are being exacerbated by the presence of bats in the house. The application therefore falls under the public health and public safety aspect of Reason C.

The applicants have provided evidence as to the nature and scale of the public health and public safety reasoning and the proposed activity is necessary to achieve the overall objective. Based on the above this application has passed Test 1 and can now proceed to Test 2.

Test 2: Absence of a satisfactory alternative

Please tick the following where it applies and add a comment below to support the recommendation:

The applicant has provided satisfactory evidence that alternative	Yes	\boxtimes
solutions have been considered and have given reasons why the	No	
proposed approach is the only satisfactory alternative:		

Please outline your analysis below and state how the applicant has provided evidence to support your conclusion (If you wish to add additional conditions please complete pg. 6):

The documentation submitted by the applicant has been reviewed, including the evidence for alternative solutions.

The purpose of the derogation is to allow the following activity to take place: Disturbance and roost destruction of *Pipistrellus pipistrellus* containing one bat, at Coole Lodge, Coole, Portroe, Nenagh, Co. Tipperary E45K67.

The specific situation that needs to be addressed is the presence of a bat roost in the building has presented a mental health challenge to the daughter of the applicant. Diane's daughter Ellie, suffers from anxiety and is currently using medication to help with this condition. She has a fear of bats and the presence of a bat roost in the house is causing serious stress and anxiety and is refusing to return to the lodge.

The alternative solutions suggested by the applicant are:

- 1. "Do-Nothing" scenario: The do-nothing scenario, with leaving the bat roost in place will not resolve the issue of the clients, daughters' anxiety. In addition, the daughters anxiety on this issue is causing stress to the entire household *Regional agree with this assessment*
- 2. Alternative 1: The consultant has tried discussing the importance of bats with the client and the benefits of having bats in the locality. This has not succeeded in allaying her anxiety. The client has pointed out that they have already reduced the scope of their plans for the site thus leaving another roost found in a cabin to the south. A previous derogation licence (DERBAT 2021-83) was granted for the destruction of a roost but this will now be retained Regional agree with this assessment

The applicant has provided satisfactory evidence that alternative solutions have clearly been considered. As outlined in the accompanying report alternative solutions, including the "do-nothing alternative" were examined by the applicant and are not viable options.

Based on the assessment of the application documentation, it is regarded that the applicant has considered all available alternative solutions and at this time, no other alternative solutions are apparent.

Having weighed the possible solutions to solve the applicant's problem against the effects of a derogation on the species concerned, it is concluded that the application has passed Test 2 and can proceed to Test 3.

<u>Upon completion of your assessment, please return this Recommendation to WLU to continue the application process.</u>

Test 3: Impact of a derogation on conservation status of the species

Please tick the following where it applies and add a comment below to support the recommendation:

The derogation would NOT be detrimental to the maintenance of the		\boxtimes
populations of the species in question at a favourable conservation	No	
status in their natural range.		

Please outline your analysis below and state how the applicant has provided evidence to support your conclusion. (If you wish to add additional conditions please complete pg. 6):

The roost in question contains a single common pipistrelle. This species is widespread and very
abundant in Ireland, it is in favourable conservation status and very adaptable. The loss of this minor
roost will have no impact on the conservation status of the species.

If the answer above is Yes then the derogation may be granted, providing Tests 1 and 2 have also been met.

<u>Upon completion of your assessment, please return this Recommendation to WLU to continue the application process.</u>

Derogation decision

The application for a derogation under Regulation 54 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations, 2011 (S.I. 477 of 2011), as amended, has been assessed by officials in the Department and the following decision has been made:

Tick box where appropriate:

There is no satisfactory alternative	\boxtimes
and the derogation is not detrimental to the maintenance of the populations of the species to which the Habitats Directive relates at a favourable conservation status in their natural range.	
Therefore, a derogation may be granted to the applicant, since it is—	
(a) in the interests of protecting wild fauna and flora and conserving natural habitats,	
(b) to prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and other types of property,	
(c) in the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment,	
(d) for the purpose of research and education, of repopulating and re- introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including the artificial propagation of plants, or	
(e) to allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule.	
OR This application has been refused as one or more of the conditions set out above have not been met	

The following conditions should be attached to the derogation:
1. 2. 3. 4.
[add additional conditions where required]

Late Greener

Date: September 26, 2025

Position: Ecologist