

Application for Derogation

Under Regulation 54 & 54A of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011, as amended

Revision 2.0 – July 2025

- This form can be used by any individual or Company applying for a derogation under Regulation 54 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011 ("the Regulations") or any individual applying on behalf of the Minister for Housing, Local Government and Heritage under Regulation 54(A) of the Regulations.
- Note this application form is not for Domestic Dwelling Derogations (bats within private homes) which can be found here > (<u>3D Application Form</u>)
- Please ensure that you answer questions fully in order to avoid delays and/or your application being rejected on the basis that it does not contain sufficient information and detail for the application to be considered further.
- Please read and familiarise yourself with the <u>NPWS Guidance on Applications for</u> Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: <u>Guidance for Applicants</u>
- Please read and familiarise yourself with the <u>European Commission's Guidance</u> document on the strict protection of animal species of Community interest under the <u>Habitats Directive</u>
- Please also note that the responses to these questions are supplementary to the
 documentation required for the NPWS to be in a position to consider your
 application. A complete application should include both the application form and an
 associated report. Failure to supply either will result in your application being
 returned and/or refused.
- In circumstances in which a derogation is given on foot of this application, the Applicant is responsible for ensuring compliance with the conditions of any such derogation, even though they may employ another person to act on their behalf. To carry out any activity without, or not in accordance with, a derogation granted under regulation 54 or 54A of the Regulations constitutes a criminal offence, subject to prosecution.
- If you experience any problems filling in this form, please contact the Wildlife Licensing Unit: reg54derogations@npws.gov.ie
- Please note applications, associated reports and derogations will be published on the NPWS website and/or the Department's Open Data website.
- Where any applicant is applying for a derogation to carry out surveys, please ensure to list all qualified ecologists and trainees under their supervision. See section 1(c) of Part A.

Part A: The Applicant - Personal Details

These questions relate to the person responsible for any proposed works and who will be the **Applicant**. If this application is being submitted on behalf of a third party, please also complete Part B below.

1. (a) Name of Applicant

Title (Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms/Dr)	Forename(s)	Surname
(b) Company Name, if applicable	RPS (a Tetra Tech Company)	
(c) Address Line 1	RPS (a Tetra Tech Company)	
Address Line 2	West Pier Business Campus	
Town	Dun Laoghaire	
County	Dublin	
Eircode	A96 N6T7	
(d) Contact number	+353 1 488 2900	
(e) Email address	Miles.newman@rps.tetratech.co	om
(f) Address where works	are to be carried out if different for	rom (b) above.
Address Line 1	Throughout the Republic of Irela	and
Address Line 2		
Town		
County		
Eircode		

Details of Person Submitting Application on Behalf of Applicant/Derogation Holder

Information relating to the person (e.g. ecologist) responsible for submitting the application on behalf of the applicant should be entered below:

1. (b) Name of Person/Ecologist

Title (Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms/Dr)	Forename(s)	Surname	
Dr.	Miles	Newman	
(b) Company Name	RPS (a Tetra Tech Compan	у)	
Address Line 1	RPS (a Tetra Tech Compan	у)	
Address Line 2	West Pier Business Campus		
Town	Dun Laoghaire		
County	Dublin		
Eircode	A96 N6T7		
(c) Contact number	+353 1 488 2900		
(d) Email address	Miles.newman@rps.tetratech.com		
(e) Relationship to Applicant	Employee		

For Survey Derogations Only

1. (c) Please Indicate the Names to Appear on the Derogation Along with the Position Held e.g. Supervisor/Trainee

Forename(s)	Surname	Supervisor or Trainee
Miles	Newman	Supervisor
Robert	Rowlands	Supervisor
William	Lishman	Supervisor
Aoife	Edgely	Trainee
Julie	[Larkin]	Supervisor
Siobhán	Atkinson	Supervisor
Thomas	Drinnan	Trainee
Hannah	Fearon	Supervisor
[Lorna]	Gill	Supervisor
Declan	McGovern	Supervisor
[PJ	Maguire	Trainee
Rachel	Shaw	Trainee
Rajshree	Anand	Trainee
Aisling	O'Sullivan	Trainee
Brónagh	Boylan	Trainee
Colin	Keane	Supervisor
Conor	Maloney	Supervisor
[Fionn]	O'Donoghue	Trainee
James	Glackin	Supervisor
Katrina	Walsh	Trainee
Micheal	Suttle	Supervisor
Rosalind	Graves	Supervisor
Roisin	Murphy	Trainee
Vineth	Sumanapala	Trainee

Part B: Species covered by the Derogation

١.	Species of Animal: Please indicate which species is/are the subject of the application.
	 Bat Otter Kerry Slug Natterjack Toad Dolphin Whale Turtle Porpoise
2.	Please detail the exact species (scientific name): All resident Irish species, including: Myotis daubentoniid, Myotis mystacinus, Myotis nattereri, Nytalus leisleri, Pipistrellus nathusii, Pipistrellus pipistrellus, Pipistrellus pygmaeus, Plecotus auratus, and Rhinolophus hipposideros. To also include vagrant species.
3.	Please provide the maximum number of individuals affected* Unknown
4.	Please provide the maximum number of breeding or resting sites affected* Unknown
5.	Please provide the maximum number of eggs to be taken**N/A
6.	Please provide the maximum number of eggs to be destroyed* **N/A
	*If no figures can be provided for the maximum number of individuals, breeding sites, resting places and eggs to be covered by the derogation please provide reasons why.
	Surveys will be undertaken to identify suitability of previously unknown bat roosting sites. This survey may include endoscopic searches of suitable features in structures and vegetation. Once a roosting site is confirmed, no further disturbance will be undertaken under this derogation licence.
7.	Species of Plant: Please indicate which species is/are the subject of the application:
	 Killarney Fern Slender Naiad Marsh Saxifrage
8.	If you previously received a derogation for any species of animal or plant, please state derogation number and confirm that you have made a return to NPWS on the numbers actually affected by that derogation.
	Licenses held by members of the RPS ecology team:
	Bats
	DER/BAT 2023-116 (survey licence) (Amended 18/01/2024-31/12/2024);
	DER/BAT 2023-116 (survey licence);12/10/2023-31/12/2023;
	DER-BAT-2020-44 (survey licence): 22/5/2020-22/05/2021:

DER/BAT 2019-25 (survey licence); 28/03/2019-28/3/2020; DER/BAT 2017-144 (amended); 27/04/2017-10/11/2018.

Other

Licence No. 185/2022 (Section 9 and 23 (6) (B) – Licence to photograph /Film Wild Animals) – Marine Species. Expires 31/12/2022

Licence No. 69/2021 (Section 9 and 23 (6) (B) – Licence to photograph /Film Wild Animals). Expired 31/12/2021

Licence No. 01/2020 (Section 9 and 23 (6) (B) – Licence to photograph /Film Wild Animals). Expired 31/12/2020

Licence 55/2019 (Section 9 and 23 (6) (B) – Licence to photograph /Film Wild Animals. (Badger and Otter). Expired 31st December 2019.

Licence No. 061/2018 Amended 2 – Sections 9 and 22 (6)(c) (expired 31 August 2018).

Permission: 326/2018 Amended 2 – Section 42 (expired 31 August 2018).

'Letter on non-opposition' with regards to Badger Sett exclusion of 6 entrances for consented Data Centre in Clonee, Co. Meath (WLU letter dated 21/08/2018)

'Letter on non-opposition' with regards to Otter holt/Badger Sett exclusion of 4 entrances for consented M7 road scheme (Sallins bypass), Co. Kildare (WLU letter dated 2018)

'Letter on non-opposition' with regards to Otter holt/Badger Sett exclusion of 3 entrances for consented M7 road scheme (Sallins link road), Co. Kildare (WLU letter dated 2018).

9. Proposed Dates for Activities: Please indicate the timeframe that you propose to carry out the activities. Dates set by NPWS may differ from dates proposed here. A derogation will only be issued with a start and end date within a calendar year.

Start Date:	14/01/2025	
End Date:	31/12/2025	

Part C: Nature of the Derogation.

1. Please tick which prohibition(s) the application for a derogation relates to:

Regulation 51	
Deliberately capture or kill any specimen of the relevant species in the wild	
Deliberately disturb these species particularly during the period of breeding, rearing, hibernation and migration	
Deliberately take or destroy eggs of the relevant species in the wild	
Damage or destroy a breeding or resting place of such an animal, or	
Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of the relevant species taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in Article 12(2) of the Habitats Directive.	
Regulation 52	

Deliberately pick, collect, cut, uproot or destroy any specimen of these species in the	
wild, or	'
Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of	
these species taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in Article	l l
13(1)(b) of the Habitats Directive.	

Further information should be provided in the format set out in Part E: Template for Supporting Information

Part D: Derogation Tests

Note: The following <u>summary</u> information must be provided by the applicant in all cases, and will be used to determine if a derogation can be provided. Further information must be provided in the format set out in Part E: Template for Supporting Information

Test 1: Reason for the Derogation

1. Please tick which reason(s) below explains how this application qualifies under Regulation 54(2)(a-e) or Regulation 54A(2)(a-e) of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations: Please provide a summary of how the application meets the 3 conditions required to provide a derogation. Note that in all cases additional information must be provided (see Part E).

a.	In the interests of protecting wild flora and fauna and conserving natural habitats (proceed to 2a)	
b.	To prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and other types of property (proceed to 2b)	
C.	In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment (proceed to 2c)	
d.	For the purpose of research and education, of re-populating and re-introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including artificial propagation of plants (proceed to 2d)	
e.	To allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule (proceed to 2e)	

2a. In the interests of protecting wild flora and fauna and conserving natural habitats:

Please state the wild flora			

N/A		

ii) Please summarise how the interests of protection and conservation of the species/habitat concerned justify affecting another species under strict protection.

Ī	N/A			

- **2b)** To prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and other types of property:
 - i) Please summarise the nature of the potential damage, why it is considered "serious" and how this outweighs the conservation interest of the species under strict protection.

N/A		

- **2c)** In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment:
 - i) Where the reason is for public health and public safety, summarise the evidence provided to support this reason (e.g. documentary evidence of the risk from a chartered structural engineer, tree surgeon, Garda Síochána, qualified health professional etc.)

It is proposed that this application qualifies under Regulation 54(2)(c) of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations. The Applications for Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: guidance for applicants document (Version 1, dated 1 July 2025) states that:

"It may be appropriate to choose Reason C for scenarios such as carrying out surveys to inform impact assessments of development proposals, where such surveys have the potential to commit one of the offences under Regulation 51 and 52 of the Regulations." In this case, there is potential to commit an offence under Regulation 5 (Deliberately disturb these species particularly during the period of breeding, rearing, hibernation and migration).

With regard to this application, the nature of the public interest is:

- complying with national planning policies. Specifically the National Planning Framework Project Ireland 2040 highlights:
- "The importance of our biodiversity is not restricted to legally protected areas and there are a range of measures in place to protect species and habitats more broadly. In this regard, the Habitats Directive contains obligations to protect certain species wherever they occur, while the Birds Directive contains protections for all birds, and they may only be disturbed or controlled subject to licence or derogation, as appropriate."
- supporting economic or social development (nationally important infrastructure development projects, employment, regeneration, mineral extraction, housing etc.)"

	With regard to the conservation interest of the species under strict protection: • By undertaking these assessments using an endoscopic, in a minimally invasive manner, we consider that such assessments will not be detrimental to the maintenance of bat populations at a favourable conservation status in their natural range, as is required						
	under Section 54 (2) of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations.						
	ii) Where the reason is for "other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment", summarise the nature of the public interest and how this outweighs the conservation interest of the species under strict protection.						
	N/A						
2 d)	For the purpose of research and education, of re-populating and re-introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including artificial propagation of plants:						
	 i) Please summarise the objective(s) of the proposed activities making reference to those listed above and how the purpose of such activities overrides the interests of strict protection of the species. ¹ 						
	N/A						
2e)	To allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule						
	i) Please clearly state the objective of the activity and verify that this reason is being chosen as the objective of the activity does not match reasons a-d listed above.						
	N/A						
L							

¹ Note that this reason may be appropriate for when research involves surveys that may cause disturbance of species under strict protection. But the sole purpose of the surveys should be for research and education or the other reasons listed above under 1d.

ii) Plea	ase summaris	e how the ac	tivity will	result in	the ta	king or	keeping	of limite	d numbe	ers of
spec	cimens of the	species, how	it will be	applied	on a s	selective	basis a	nd to a l	imited ex	ktent,
and	how it will be	done under s	trictly sup	ervised c	conditi	ons.				

N/A			

Test 2: Absence of Alternative solutions

2. Please summarise the alternative solutions that have been considered and why these solutions are deemed unsatisfactory. This must include the option of the "do-nothing" alternative and evidence should be objective and robust. Note that in all cases further information must be provided in the format set out in Part E: Template for Supporting Information.

Alternative Solution	Reasons for "Unsatisfactory"				
Do-Nothing	Results in RPS ecologists being unable to undertake internal investigations of potentially suitable roost features (using endoscopic investigation).				
Daytime visual inspections	Results of such surveys are not always as conclusive in determining bat presence/absence, particularly if the potentially suitable roost feature(s) is difficult to see.				
Emergence surveys	Results of such surveys are not always as conclusive in determining bat presence/absence, particularly if the potentially suitable roost feature(s) is difficult to see.				

^{*} Please insert additional rows above if needed

Test 3: Impact of a Derogation on Conservation Status

3. Please summarise the possible impacts on the population of the species that is subject to this application, taking into account all the mitigation and/or compensation measures that are to be undertaken. Evidence that such mitigation has been successful elsewhere should be provided where relevant. Mitigation measures being relied upon must ensure that the derogation will not be detrimental to the maintenance of the populations of the species to which the Habitats Directive

relates at a favourable conservation status in their natural range. Note that in all cases further information must be provided in the format set out in Part E: Template for Supporting Information.

As stated, and in-keeping with relevant guidance, we consider endoscopic inspections of buildings and tree-climbing represent the most comprehensive assessments to confirm the presence/likely absence of bat roosts. When performing an internal inspection, we will ensure we minimise light and sound to ensure bats are minimally disturbed, while still being thorough and determining the presence/likely absence of bats. Furthermore, we will remain mindful of bat welfare by slowly inserting the endoscope into the suitable roost feature, to ensure that if bats are present, they will be disturbed as little as possible.

By undertaking these assessments using an endoscopic, in a minimally invasive manner, we consider that such assessments will not be detrimental to the maintenance of bat populations at a favourable conservation status in their natural range, as is required under Section 54 (2) of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations.

We will remain cognisant of the guidance provided by Marnell *et al.* (2022) and Collins (2023) when undertaking any assessments. There are no site-specific roost location for which this application applies. All surveys carried out will be non-destructive and minimally invasive. Furthermore, once a roost is confirmed as occupied, no further disturbance will be carried out under this derogation licence. Any further disturbance to a known roost will be the subject of a separate derogation licence application.

Part E: Template for Supporting Information

This application form should provide a summary of the evidence that the applicant has provided. In all cases, it is necessary to provide separate supporting information so that the assessment of the application can be undertaken in a robust and comprehensive manner. Applicants should refer to guidance provided by the NPWS and the European Commission whilst preparing this application form and the supporting information.

It is essential that supporting information is prepared in a consistent manner using the template below so that NPWS officials assessing the application can locate the relevant evidence to determine if the three Tests can be met. Failure to provide sufficient evidence will result in the application being refused.

The structure of the Supporting Information should be as follows:

- 1) Table of Contents
- 2) Introduction
 - a. Objective of the proposed works (for example, as part of construction of a national road, repair of roofing, undertaking surveys etc.)
 - b. Name, qualifications and relevant experience of scientific staff, including trainees, (e.g. ecologist) involved in the preparation of the application and those responsible for carrying out the proposed activity.
 - c. If this application is for the carrying out of surveys that may cause disturbance, qualifications of all involved must be provided and trainees must be clearly identified.
- 3) Background to proposed activity including location, ownership, type of and need for the proposed activity, planning history, policy context, zoning in relevant Development plan (or equivalent), etc.
- 4) Full details of proposed activity to be covered by the derogation (including a site plan). The site may be inspected by an NPWS representative, so the details given should clearly reflect the extent of the project. This information will be used to compare site conditions with the Method Statement.
- 5) Ecological Survey and site assessment (Not required for applications to carry out surveys)
 - a. Pre-existing information on species at location and environs.
 - b. Status of the species in the local/regional area (relevant to the consideration of the impact on the population at the relevant geographic scale (Test 3))
 - c. Objective(s) of survey
 - d. Description of Surveys Area
 - e. Survey methodology (including evidence as to how the methodology represents best practice and is appropriate to the Objective). Methodology should include survey maps, details of timing, climate, equipment used and identify any uncertainties or difficulties encountered.
 - f. Survey results including raw data, any processed or aggregated data, and negative results as appropriate. Photographs and maps must be provided where site-specific features are referred.
 - g. Population size class assessment.
- 6) Evidence to support the Derogation Tests
 - a. Test 1 Reason for Derogation:
 - i. There should be a clear explanation as to why a specific reason(s) has been selected in the application form.

- ii. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published by the NPWS 'Guidance on Applications for Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: Guidance for Applicants" with specific reference to Section 3.1.
- b. Test 2 Absence of Alternative Solutions
 - i. Applicants must list the alternatives to the proposed activity that have been considered, including the do-nothing alternatives in a clear and objective manner. A basic requirement is that these alternatives should be compared in terms of their impact on the species subject to strict protection. It should be clear to NPWS officials as to why the chosen approach has been selected.
 - ii. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published by 'Guidance on Applications for Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: Guidance for Applicants" with specific reference to Section 3.2.
- c. Test 3 Impact of a derogation on Conservation Status
 - i. Applicants should include details of the population at the appropriate geographic scale and an evaluation of how the proposed activity will affect the conservation status both before and after mitigation measures have been applied.
 - ii. Full and detailed descriptions of proposed mitigation measures that are relevant to the potential impact on the target species. Evidence that such mitigation has been successful elsewhere should be provided, where available.
 - iii. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published '<u>Guidance on Applications</u> for Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: <u>Guidance for Applicants</u>" with specific reference to Section 3.3.
- 7) Monitoring the impacts of the derogations
 - a. Applicants must include details of how they propose to verify whether the derogations have been implemented correctly and whether they achieved their objective, using scientifically based evidence, and, if necessary, how the applicant will take corrective measures where required.
 - b. Applicants should provide details of proposed reports to be submitted to the NPWS including the results of monitoring.
 - c. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published by the European Commission "Guidance document on the strict protection of animal species of Community interest under the Habitats Directive" with specific reference to Section 3.4.

Part F. Declaration

I declare that all of the foregoing particulars are, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true and correct. I understand that the deliberate killing, injuring, capturing or disturbing of protected species, or damage or destruction of their breeding sites or resting places or the deliberate taking or destroying of eggs is an offence without a derogation and that it is a legal requirement to comply with the conditions of any derogation I may be granted following this application. I understand that NPWS may visit to check compliance with a derogation.

Please note that under Regulation 5 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011-2021 an authorised officer may enter and inspect any land or premises for the purposes of performing any of their functions under these Regulations or for obtaining any information which they may require for such purposes.

Signature of the Applicant	Miles Vermon	Date	27/08/2025
Name in BLOCK LETTERS	MILES NEWMAN		

PRIVACY STATEMENT
See Privacy Statement at www.npws.ie/licences

npws.ie

