

Application for Derogation

Under Regulation 54 & 54A of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011, as amended

Revision 2.0 – July 2025

- This form can be used by any individual or Company applying for a derogation under Regulation 54 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011 ("the Regulations") or any individual applying on behalf of the Minister for Housing, Local Government and Heritage under Regulation 54(A) of the Regulations.
- Note this application form is not for Domestic Dwelling Derogations (bats within private homes) which can be found here > (<u>3D Application Form</u>)
- Please ensure that you answer questions fully in order to avoid delays and/or your application being rejected on the basis that it does not contain sufficient information and detail for the application to be considered further.
- Please read and familiarise yourself with the <u>NPWS Guidance on Applications for</u> Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: Guidance for Applicants
- Please read and familiarise yourself with the <u>European Commission's Guidance</u> document on the strict protection of animal species of Community interest under the <u>Habitats Directive</u>
- Please also note that the responses to these questions are supplementary to the
 documentation required for the NPWS to be in a position to consider your
 application. A complete application should include both the application form and an
 associated report. Failure to supply either will result in your application being
 returned and/or refused.
- In circumstances in which a derogation is given on foot of this application, the Applicant is responsible for ensuring compliance with the conditions of any such derogation, even though they may employ another person to act on their behalf. To carry out any activity without, or not in accordance with, a derogation granted under regulation 54 or 54A of the Regulations constitutes a criminal offence, subject to prosecution.
- If you experience any problems filling in this form, please contact the Wildlife Licensing Unit: reg54derogations@npws.gov.ie
- Please note applications, associated reports and derogations will be published on the NPWS website and/or the Department's Open Data website.
- Where any applicant is applying for a derogation to carry out surveys, please ensure to list all qualified ecologists and trainees under their supervision. See section 1(c) of Part A.

Part A: The Applicant - Personal Details

These questions relate to the person responsible for any proposed works and who will be the **Applicant**. If this application is being submitted on behalf of a third party, please also complete Part B below.

1. (a) Name of Applicant

Title (Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms/Dr)	Forename(s)	Surname	
Mr Thomas Dillon		Dillon	
(b) Company Name, if applicable	Laois County Council		
(c) Address Line 1	Borris-in-Ossory/Mountmellick Municipal District Roads Office, Laois County Council		
Address Line 2	Durrow Library, The Old Courthouse, Chapel Street		
Town	Durrow		
County	Laois		
Eircode	d) Contact number 086-1992531		
(d) Contact number			
(e) Email address			
(f) Address where works are to be carried out if different from (b) above.			
Address Line 1	Address Line 1 Skerry Bridge local road L2095		
Address Line 2	Skerry and Clonaheen		
Town	Mountmellick Laois		
County			
Eircode N/A			

Details of Person Submitting Application on Behalf of Applicant/Derogation Holder

Information relating to the person (e.g. ecologist) responsible for submitting the application on behalf of the applicant should be entered below:

1. (b) Name of Person/Ecologist

Title (Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms/Dr)	Forename(s)	Surname	
Dr Isobel		Abbott	
(b) Company Name	Abbott Ecology		
Address Line 1	Ballinahina		
Address Line 2	White's Cross		
Town			
County	Cork		
Eircode			
(c) Contact number	086-1516391		
(d) Email address	isobelabbott@gmail.com		

(e) Relationship to	Cook assume stand had a sala sistantia Addina Dáalia an habalfa fil a sia Ca. Ca
Applicant	Sub-contracted bat ecologist via AtkinsRéalis on behalf of Laois Co. Co.

For Survey Derogations Only

1. (c) Please Indicate the Names to Appear on the Derogation Along with the Position Held e.g. Supervisor/Trainee

Forename(s)	Surname	Supervisor or Trainee
N/A		

Part B: Species covered by the Derogation

1.	Species of Animal: Please indicate which species is/are the subject of the application:		
	 Bat Otter Kerry Slug Natterjack Toad Dolphin Whale Turtle Porpoise 		
2.	Please detail the exact species (scientific name): Natterer's Bat (<i>Myotis nattereri</i>), and Whiskered Bat (<i>Myotis mystacinus</i>)		
3.	Please provide the maximum number of individuals affected* 10, likely lower number		
4.	Please provide the maximum number of breeding or resting sites affected* 4 crevices with proof of bat use under one bridge, other suitable crevices too		
5.	Please provide the maximum number of eggs to be taken* N/A		
6.	Please provide the maximum number of eggs to be destroyed* N/A		
*If no figures can be provided for the maximum number of individuals, breeding sites, resting places and eggs to be covered by the derogation please provide reasons why.			
	7. Species of Plant: Please indicate which species is/are the subject of the application: • Killarney Fern • Slender Naiad • Marsh Saxifrage 8. If you previously received a derogation for any species of animal or plant, please state dero number and confirm that you have made a return to NPWS on the numbers actually affecte that derogation. Derogation licenses held as follows, and license returns have been made to the NPWS; Two-year nationwide bat survey license: DER/BAT 2023/01 Two-year nationwide bat survey license: DER/BAT 2020/37 Annual bat handling and capture license: C168-2020 Two-year nationwide bat survey license: DER/BAT 2018/11 Two-year bat handling and capture license: C57-2018 Annual nationwide bat survey license: DER-BAT-2025-216 - live derogation, returns to be submitted Annual bat handling and capture license C026-2025 - live derogation, returns to be submitted DER/BAT 2025-41		

DER/BAT 2024-194

DER/BAT 2024-193 DER/BAT 2023-47 - live derogation, returns to be submitted DER/BAT 2021-40 DER/BAT 2020-101 DER/BAT 2019/23 **DER/BAT 2019/08 DER/BAT 2018/67** DER/BAT 2017/146 DER/BAT 2016-92 C163/2017 C152/2017 C11/2016 C168/2016 C128/2016 I have also been involved in other derogation licenses as a sub-contractor or team member without responsibility for submitting license returns.

9. Proposed Dates for Activities: Please indicate the timeframe that you propose to carry out the activities. Dates set by NPWS may differ from dates proposed here. *A derogation will only be issued with a start and end date within a calendar year.*

Start Date:

I September 2025

So September 2026 (requesting that a derogation license be issued for September 2025, and also another covering March to September 2026, in case the repair work cannot

be completed in September 2025)

Part C: Nature of the Derogation.

1. Please tick which prohibition(s) the application for a derogation relates to:

Regulation 51	
Deliberately capture or kill any specimen of the relevant species in the wild	
Deliberately disturb these species particularly during the period of breeding, rearing, hibernation and migration	
Deliberately take or destroy eggs of the relevant species in the wild	
Damage or destroy a breeding or resting place of such an animal, or	\boxtimes
Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of the relevant species taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in Article 12(2) of the Habitats Directive.	
Regulation 52	
Deliberately pick, collect, cut, uproot or destroy any specimen of these species in the wild, or	
Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of these species taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in Article 13(1)(b) of the Habitats Directive.	

Further information should be provided in the format set out in Part E: Template for Supporting Information

Part D: Derogation Tests

Note: The following <u>summary</u> information must be provided by the applicant in all cases, and will be used to determine if a derogation can be provided. Further information must be provided in the format set out in Part E: Template for Supporting Information

Test 1: Reason for the Derogation

a.	In the interests of protecting wild flora and fauna and conserving natural habitats (proceed to 2a)]
b.	To prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and other types of property (proceed to 2b)	
C.	In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment (proceed to 2c)	[
d.	For the purpose of research and education, of re-populating and re-introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including artificial propagation of plants (proceed to 2d)	[[
e.	To allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule (proceed to 2e)	[
Pl	the interests of protecting wild flora and fauna and conserving natural habitats: ease state the wild flora, fauna or habitats that require protection and /or conservation.	
) Pl	ease state the wild flora, fauna or habitats that require protection and /or conservation.	

	N/A
(c)	In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences or primary importance for the environment:
	i) Where the reason is for public health and public safety, summarise the evidence provided to support this reason (e.g. documentary evidence of the risk from a chartered structural engineer tree surgeon, Garda Síochána, qualified health professional etc.)
	Skerry Bridge, Mountmellick, Co. Laois poses a risk to public safety. The following bridge assessment is from an engineer's report to Laois County Council by Mark Murphy Consultancy Ltd. dated 12 June 2024. "The structure was given an Eirspan Rating of 5 i.e. Ultimate damage. The component has failed or is in danger of total failure, possibly affecting the safety of traffic. It is necessary to implement emergency temporary repair work immediately or rehabilitation work without delay after the introduction of load limitation measures."
	"The original arch barrel structure is in a very poor state, the arch has two major diagonal cracks, associated dropped stonework at the crown and significant open horizontal joints all of which are indicative of abnormal movement and settlement of the supporting abutments. The abutments no longer provide adequate support to the arch barrel and has compromised the structures ability to safely carry the required loading. The arch barrel is unfit for use in its current state."
	ii) Where the reason is for "other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment", summarise the nature of the public interest and how this outweighs the conservation interest of the species under strict protection.
	N/A

2b) To prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and other

types of property:

plants:

	above and how the the purpose of such activities overrides the interests of strict protection the species. ¹				
	N/A				
2e)	• •	d conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the simens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are			
		ve of the activity and verify that this reason is being chosen as not match reasons a-d listed above.			
	N/A				
	•	tivity will result in the taking or keeping of limited numbers of it will be applied on a selective basis and to a limited extent, trictly supervised conditions.			
2 . P d s	leemed unsatisfactory. This must i	olutions that have been considered and why these solutions are nclude the option of the "do-nothing" alternative and evidence te that in all cases further information must be provided in the			
	Alternative Solution	Reasons for "Unsatisfactory"			
	Do-Nothing	Without repair, the bridge and the road above it will collapse. This is unsatisfactory due to the public safety risk and the need to			

Test

i) Please summarise the objective(s) of the proposed activities making reference to those listed

permanently close the road. Bat roosts will also be destroyed if the bridge collapses.

¹ Note that this reason may be appropriate for when research involves surveys that may cause disturbance of species under strict protection. But the sole purpose of the surveys should be for research and education or the other reasons listed above under 1d.

Permanently close the road where Skerry Bridge is located	This is unsatisfactory because the road is needed by local people, and there are no feasible alternative means of access to farms/homes/businesses etc.

^{*} Please insert additional rows above if needed

Test 3: Impact of a Derogation on Conservation Status

3. Please summarise the possible impacts on the population of the species that is subject to this application, taking into account all the mitigation and/or compensation measures that are to be undertaken. Evidence that such mitigation has been successful elsewhere should be provided where relevant. Mitigation measures being relied upon must ensure that the derogation will not be detrimental to the maintenance of the populations of the species to which the Habitats Directive relates at a favourable conservation status in their natural range. Note that in all cases further information must be provided in the format set out in Part E: Template for Supporting Information.

Single individuals of both Natterer's Bat and Whiskered Bat were observed roosting in stone crevices in Skerry Bridge between 23-25 July 2025 (see details in bat survey report attached). Natterer's Bat and Whiskered Bat are two of the rarer of Ireland's nine resident bat species. Both species have favourable status in the latest EU Protected Species Assessments. The bridge roosts are minor day roosts, and there is no evidence to suggest that the bridge supports roosts of higher conservation significance, such as maternity colonies of these species. The bridge crevices are suitable for roosting at any time of year, including hibernation, and it can be assumed that a small number of bats could be present at any time. Exclusion of bats from the bridge, under supervision of a bat ecologist, prior to repair works will ensure that bats are not injured or entombed due to the works. The roost exclusion should be conducted outside of the winter months when alternative roosts and foraging resources are more available. As many of the suitable roosting crevices as possible will be retained (whilst still allowing repairs to restore structural integrity of the bridge), and bat boxes will be installed at the bridge. These measures will allow bats to establish roosts in the bridge when works are complete. With these bat mitigation measures, it is considered that the bridge repair works will not be detrimental to the maintenance of the populations of Natterer's Bat and Whiskered Bat at a favourable conservation in their natural range.

Part E: Template for Supporting Information

This application form should provide a summary of the evidence that the applicant has provided. In all cases, it is necessary to provide separate supporting information so that the assessment of the application can be undertaken in a robust and comprehensive manner. Applicants should refer to guidance provided by the NPWS and the European Commission whilst preparing this application form and the supporting information.

It is essential that supporting information is prepared in a consistent manner using the template below so that NPWS officials assessing the application can locate the relevant evidence to determine if the three Tests can be met. Failure to provide sufficient evidence will result in the application being refused.

The structure of the Supporting Information should be as follows:

- 1) Table of Contents
- 2) Introduction
 - a. Objective of the proposed works (for example, as part of construction of a national road, repair of roofing, undertaking surveys etc.)
 - b. Name, qualifications and relevant experience of scientific staff, including trainees, (e.g. ecologist) involved in the preparation of the application and those responsible for carrying out the proposed activity.
 - c. If this application is for the carrying out of surveys that may cause disturbance, qualifications of all involved must be provided and trainees must be clearly identified.
- 3) Background to proposed activity including location, ownership, type of and need for the proposed activity, planning history, policy context, zoning in relevant Development plan (or equivalent), etc.
- 4) Full details of proposed activity to be covered by the derogation (including a site plan). The site may be inspected by an NPWS representative, so the details given should clearly reflect the extent of the project. This information will be used to compare site conditions with the Method Statement.
- 5) Ecological Survey and site assessment (Not required for applications to carry out surveys)
 - a. Pre-existing information on species at location and environs.
 - b. Status of the species in the local/regional area (relevant to the consideration of the impact on the population at the relevant geographic scale (Test 3))
 - c. Objective(s) of survey
 - d. Description of Surveys Area
 - e. Survey methodology (including evidence as to how the methodology represents best practice and is appropriate to the Objective). Methodology should include survey maps, details of timing, climate, equipment used and identify any uncertainties or difficulties encountered.
 - f. Survey results including raw data, any processed or aggregated data, and negative results as appropriate. Photographs and maps must be provided where site-specific features are referred.
 - g. Population size class assessment.
- 6) Evidence to support the Derogation Tests
 - a. Test 1 Reason for Derogation:
 - i. There should be a clear explanation as to why a specific reason(s) has been selected in the application form.

- ii. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published by the NPWS 'Guidance on Applications for Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: Guidance for Applicants' with specific reference to Section 3.1.
- b. Test 2 Absence of Alternative Solutions
 - i. Applicants must list the alternatives to the proposed activity that have been considered, including the do-nothing alternatives in a clear and objective manner. A basic requirement is that these alternatives should be compared in terms of their impact on the species subject to strict protection. It should be clear to NPWS officials as to why the chosen approach has been selected.
 - ii. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published by 'Guidance on Applications for Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: Guidance for Applicants" with specific reference to Section 3.2.
- c. Test 3 Impact of a derogation on Conservation Status
 - i. Applicants should include details of the population at the appropriate geographic scale and an evaluation of how the proposed activity will affect the conservation status both before and after mitigation measures have been applied.
 - ii. Full and detailed descriptions of proposed mitigation measures that are relevant to the potential impact on the target species. Evidence that such mitigation has been successful elsewhere should be provided, where available.
 - iii. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published '<u>Guidance on Applications</u> for Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: <u>Guidance for Applicants</u>" with specific reference to Section 3.3.
- 7) Monitoring the impacts of the derogations
 - a. Applicants must include details of how they propose to verify whether the derogations have been implemented correctly and whether they achieved their objective, using scientifically based evidence, and, if necessary, how the applicant will take corrective measures where required.
 - b. Applicants should provide details of proposed reports to be submitted to the NPWS including the results of monitoring.
 - c. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published by the European Commission "Guidance document on the strict protection of animal species of Community interest under the Habitats Directive" with specific reference to Section 3.4.

Part F. Declaration

I declare that all of the foregoing particulars are, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true and correct. I understand that the deliberate killing, injuring, capturing or disturbing of protected species, or damage or destruction of their breeding sites or resting places or the deliberate taking or destroying of eggs is an offence without a derogation and that it is a legal requirement to comply with the conditions of any derogation I may be granted following this application. I understand that NPWS may visit to check compliance with a derogation.

Please note that under Regulation 5 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011-2021 an authorised officer may enter and inspect any land or premises for the purposes of performing any of their functions under these Regulations or for obtaining any information which they may require for such purposes.

Signature of the Applicant	on behalf of Laois County Council	Date	13 August 2025
Name in BLOCK LETTERS	ISOBEL ABBOTT		

See Privacy Statement at www.npws.ie/licences

npws.ie

