

Application for Derogation

Under Regulation 54 & 54A of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011, as amended

Revision 2.0 - July 2025

- This form can be used by any individual or Company applying for a derogation under Regulation 54 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011 ("the Regulations") or any individual applying on behalf of the Minister for Housing, Local Government and Heritage under Regulation 54(A) of the Regulations.
- Note this application form is not for Domestic Dwelling Derogations (bats within private homes) which can be found here > (<u>3D Application Form</u>)
- Please ensure that you answer questions fully in order to avoid delays and/or your application being rejected on the basis that it does not contain sufficient information and detail for the application to be considered further.
- Please read and familiarise yourself with the <u>NPWS Guidance on Applications for</u> Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: Guidance for Applicants
- Please read and familiarise yourself with the <u>European Commission's Guidance</u> document on the strict protection of animal species of Community interest under the <u>Habitats Directive</u>
- Please also note that the responses to these questions are supplementary to the
 documentation required for the NPWS to be in a position to consider your
 application. A complete application should include both the application form and an
 associated report. Failure to supply either will result in your application being
 returned and/or refused.
- In circumstances in which a derogation is given on foot of this application, the Applicant is responsible for ensuring compliance with the conditions of any such derogation, even though they may employ another person to act on their behalf. To carry out any activity without, or not in accordance with, a derogation granted under regulation 54 or 54A of the Regulations constitutes a criminal offence, subject to prosecution.
- If you experience any problems filling in this form, please contact the Wildlife Licensing Unit: reg54derogations@npws.gov.ie
- Please note applications, associated reports and derogations will be published on the NPWS website and/or the Department's Open Data website.
- Where any applicant is applying for a derogation to carry out surveys, please ensure to list all qualified ecologists and trainees under their supervision. See section 1(c) of Part A.

Part A: The Applicant - Personal Details

These questions relate to the person responsible for any proposed works and who will be the **Applicant**. If this application is being submitted on behalf of a third party, please also complete Part B below.

1. (a) Name of Applicant

Title (Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms/Dr)	Forename(s)	Surname	
Ms	Edel	Hardiman	
(b) Company Name, if applicable	Enviroplan Consulting Limited		
(c) Address Line 1	Suite 3, Floor 3,		
Address Line 2	Ross House, Victoria Place,		
Town	Eyre Square		
County	Galway		
Eircode	H91 FPK5		
(d) Contact number	091423166		
(e) Email address	edel@enviroplan.ie		
(f) Address where works	are to be carried out if different fro	om (b) above.	
Address Line 1	NATIONWIDE		
Address Line 2			
Town			
County			
Eircode			

Details of Person Submitting Application on Behalf of Applicant/Derogation Holder

Information relating to the person (e.g. ecologist) responsible for submitting the application on behalf of the applicant should be entered below:

1. (b) Name of Person/Ecologist

Title (Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms/Dr)	Forename(s)	Surname	
(b) Company Name			
Address Line 1			
Address Line 2			
Town			
County			
Eircode			
(c) Contact number			
(d) Email address			
(e) Relationship to Applicant			

For Survey Derogations Only

1. (c) Please Indicate the Names to Appear on the Derogation Along with the Position Held e.g. Supervisor/Trainee

Forename(s)	Surname	Supervisor or Trainee
Edel	Hardiman	Supervisor

Part B: Species covered by the Derogation 1. Species of Animal: Please indicate which species is/are the subject of the application:

٠.	Opecies of Annual. Trease indicate which species is/are the subject of the application.					
	 Bat Otter Kerry Slug Natterjack Toad Dolphin Whale Turtle Porpoise 					
2.	Please detail the exact species (scientific name): Multiple/ Undetermined – General nationwide application					
3.	Please provide the maximum number of individuals affected* Unknown					
4.	Please provide the maximum number of breeding or resting sites affected* Unknown					
5.	Please provide the maximum number of eggs to be taken* N/A					
6.	Please provide the maximum number of eggs to be destroyed* N/A					
	*If no figures can be provided for the maximum number of individuals, breeding sites, resting places and eggs to be covered by the derogation please provide reasons why.					
7.	Species of Plant: Please indicate which species is/are the subject of the application:					
	 Killarney Fern Slender Naiad Marsh Saxifrage 					
8.	If you previously received a derogation for any species of animal or plant, please state derogation number and confirm that you have made a return to NPWS on the numbers actually affected by that derogation.					
	My employer, Enviroplan Consulting Limited, carries out bat surveys for a wide range of public and private project developments in Ireland, including largescale housing developments to road development schemes. In order to complete comprehensive ecological assessments, it is vital to identify is there are any bat roost present or absent on the application sites prior to applying for planning permission. Therefore, it is not possible to quantify the number of bat roosts to be inspected.					

Э.	out t	the activities. Dates set by NPWS may differ from dates proposed here. A derogation will issued with a start and end date within a calendar year.	only		
		rt Date: From Issue			
		Date: End of Licence Issue			
Part	: C:	: Nature of the Derogation.			
1.	Plea	ase tick which prohibition(s) the application for a derogation relates to:			
		Regulation 51			
	Del	eliberately capture or kill any specimen of the relevant species in the wild			
	hib	eliberately disturb these species particularly during the period of breeding, rearing, pernation and migration	\boxtimes		
		eliberately take or destroy eggs of the relevant species in the wild			
		amage or destroy a breeding or resting place of such an animal, or			
	the	eep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of e relevant species taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in ticle 12(2) of the Habitats Directive.			
		Regulation 52			
	Deliberately pick, collect, cut, uproot or destroy any specimen of these species in the wild, or				
	the	eep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of esse species taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in Article (1)(b) of the Habitats Directive.			
Fui	rthe	er information should be provided in the format set out in Part E: Template for Supporting Information	r		
Part	D:	: Derogation Tests			
be use	d to	following <u>summary</u> information must be provided by the applicant in all cases, and determine if a derogation can be provided. Further information must be provided in set out in Part E: Template for Supporting Information			
Test 1	: Re	eason for the Derogation			
1. Please tick which reason(s) below explains how this application qualifies under Regulation 54(2)(a-e) or Regulation 54A(2)(a-e) of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations: Please provide a summary of how the application meets the 3 conditions required to provide a derogation. Note that in all cases additional information must be provided (see Part E).					
	a.	In the interests of protecting wild flora and fauna and conserving natural habitats (proceed to 2a)			
	b.	To prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and other types of property (proceed to 2b)			

	C.	In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment (proceed to 2c)	
	d.	For the purpose of research and education, of re-populating and re-introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including artificial propagation of plants (proceed to 2d)	
	е.	To allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule (proceed to 2e)	
2a. ∣	In t	he interests of protecting wild flora and fauna and conserving natural habitats:	
i)	Ple	ease state the wild flora, fauna or habitats that require protection and /or conservation.	_
,	•	ease summarise how the interests of protection and conservation of the species/habitat cerned justify affecting another species under strict protection.	:
		prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and s of property:	othe
	,	Please summarise the nature of the potential damage, why it is considered "serious" and his outweighs the conservation interest of the species under strict protection.	d hov

2c) In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment:

	ase see Part 2c ii for information as this licence is specific to the interest of the nument and fauna, rather than public health.
of a	ere the reason is for "other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including to a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for vironment", summarise the nature of the public interest and how this outweighs asservation interest of the species under strict protection.
within within this wo ensure protect that th (2022) which suitable	e licence is requested for the early stages of proposed developments and projects Ireland. Therefore, the licence is sought to determine if a bat roost is likely present the application site which is protected within legislation therefore it is essential that ould be protected and not destroyed during the course of works. It is required to e appropriate mitigation measures are included within the planning application for the stion of bats. If a derogation licence is not granted, we would be unable to ensure the appropriate guidance outlined in NPWS Guidance Series (2021), Marnell et al. and Collins, (2023) is monitored which could ultimately impact potential roost sites, are under legal protection. Non invasive survey methodologies are not always le for determining roosts as it must factor in weather conditions and the time of year. In the condition of potential roosts cannot be determined by these methods alone.
-	e purpose of research and education, of re-populating and re-introducing these species e breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including artificial propagations:
abo	ase summarise the objective(s) of the proposed activities making reference to those I ove and how the the purpose of such activities overrides the interests of strict protection species. 1
	ow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent

i) Where the reason is for public health and public safety, summarise the evidence provided to support this reason (e.g. documentary evidence of the risk from a chartered structural engineer,

referred to in the First Schedule

taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are

¹ Note that this reason may be appropriate for when research involves surveys that may cause disturbance of species under strict protection. But the sole purpose of the surveys should be for research and education or the other reasons listed above under 1d.

,	ease clearly state the objective of e objective of the activity does not	•	•	s being chosen as
sp	ease summarise how the activity becimens of the species, how it wand how it will be done under strictly	vill be applied on a	a selective basis and to	

Test 2: Absence of Alternative solutions

2. Please summarise the alternative solutions that have been considered and why these solutions are deemed unsatisfactory. This must include the option of the "do-nothing" alternative and evidence should be objective and robust. Note that in all cases further information must be provided in the format set out in Part E: Template for Supporting Information.

Alternative Solution	Reasons for "Unsatisfactory"
Do-Nothing	It is imperative that if there are potential bats roosting within a site that is requesting planning permission, that this roost must be examined and appropriate mitigation measures be recommended to ensure no harm to these protected species. If the roosts were unable to be identified this would have a significant negative effect on bat species and the appropriate guidance would not have been followed.
Non Invasive survey methodologies	Non-invasive survey methodologies are not always suitable for determining roosts as it must factor in weather conditions and the time of year. The location of potential roosts cannot be determined by these methods alone, therefore a licence is required to ensure no detrimental impacts on bat roosts.

* Please insert additional rows above if needed

Test 3: Impact of a Derogation on Conservation Status

3. Please summarise the possible impacts on the population of the species that is subject to this application, taking into account all the mitigation and/or compensation measures that are to be undertaken. Evidence that such mitigation has been successful elsewhere should be provided where relevant. Mitigation measures being relied upon must ensure that the derogation will not be detrimental to the maintenance of the populations of the species to which the Habitats Directive relates at a favourable conservation status in their natural range. Note that in all cases further information must be provided in the format set out in Part E: Template for Supporting Information.

No detrimental effects arising from the surveys are expected and no specific mitigation measures are outlined as the survey methodology will follow strict guidelines which will minimise the risk of any disturbance to bats. The appropriate guidelines include NPWS Guidance Series (2021), Marnell et al. (2022) and Collins, (2023).

Bat survey methodology conducted within this company includes preliminary habitat assessments which involves a habitat walkover which highlight if there are any suitable roost locations, statice detectors are used to record and analyse bat activity, transect surveys which involve a nighttime walkover of the sites to determine activity in the area and emergence surveys which is a non-invasive method to record bat species that are potentially roosting in suitable roost sites.

To ensure a roost is successfully identified, a licence is required as some roost survey techniques involve minimal disturbance for example entering buildings for initial assessment and setting up static detectors, endoscope surveys etc. However, disturbance can be kept to a minimum by following best practise as mentioned above. No impacts would be expected on the conservation status of bats following best practice. Therefore, I am seeking a licence to disturb bats and their roosts as afforded by Article 16 of the EU Habitats Directive, in the course of undertaking bat surveys

Part E: Template for Supporting Information

This application form should provide a summary of the evidence that the applicant has provided. In all cases, it is necessary to provide separate supporting information so that the assessment of the application can be undertaken in a robust and comprehensive manner. Applicants should refer to guidance provided by the NPWS and the European Commission whilst preparing this application form and the supporting information.

It is essential that supporting information is prepared in a consistent manner using the template below so that NPWS officials assessing the application can locate the relevant evidence to determine if the three Tests can be met. Failure to provide sufficient evidence will result in the application being refused.

The structure of the Supporting Information should be as follows:

- 1) Table of Contents
- 2) Introduction
 - a. Objective of the proposed works (for example, as part of construction of a national road, repair of roofing, undertaking surveys etc.)
 - b. Name, qualifications and relevant experience of scientific staff, including trainees, (e.g. ecologist) involved in the preparation of the application and those responsible for carrying out the proposed activity.
 - c. If this application is for the carrying out of surveys that may cause disturbance, qualifications of all involved must be provided and trainees must be clearly identified.
- 3) Background to proposed activity including location, ownership, type of and need for the proposed activity, planning history, policy context, zoning in relevant Development plan (or equivalent), etc.
- 4) Full details of proposed activity to be covered by the derogation (including a site plan). The site may be inspected by an NPWS representative, so the details given should clearly reflect the extent of the project. This information will be used to compare site conditions with the Method Statement.
- 5) Ecological Survey and site assessment (Not required for applications to carry out surveys)
 - a. Pre-existing information on species at location and environs.
 - b. Status of the species in the local/regional area (relevant to the consideration of the impact on the population at the relevant geographic scale (Test 3))
 - c. Objective(s) of survey
 - d. Description of Surveys Area
 - e. Survey methodology (including evidence as to how the methodology represents best practice and is appropriate to the Objective). Methodology should include survey maps, details of timing, climate, equipment used and identify any uncertainties or difficulties encountered.
 - f. Survey results including raw data, any processed or aggregated data, and negative results as appropriate. Photographs and maps must be provided where site-specific features are referred.
 - g. Population size class assessment.
- 6) Evidence to support the Derogation Tests
 - a. Test 1 Reason for Derogation:
 - i. There should be a clear explanation as to why a specific reason(s) has been selected in the application form.

- ii. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published by the NPWS 'Guidance on Applications for Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: Guidance for Applicants' with specific reference to Section 3.1.
- b. Test 2 Absence of Alternative Solutions
 - i. Applicants must list the alternatives to the proposed activity that have been considered, including the do-nothing alternatives in a clear and objective manner. A basic requirement is that these alternatives should be compared in terms of their impact on the species subject to strict protection. It should be clear to NPWS officials as to why the chosen approach has been selected.
 - ii. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published by 'Guidance on Applications for Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: Guidance for Applicants" with specific reference to Section 3.2.
- c. Test 3 Impact of a derogation on Conservation Status
 - i. Applicants should include details of the population at the appropriate geographic scale and an evaluation of how the proposed activity will affect the conservation status both before and after mitigation measures have been applied.
 - ii. Full and detailed descriptions of proposed mitigation measures that are relevant to the potential impact on the target species. Evidence that such mitigation has been successful elsewhere should be provided, where available.
 - iii. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published '<u>Guidance on Applications</u> for Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: <u>Guidance for Applicants</u>" with specific reference to Section 3.3.
- 7) Monitoring the impacts of the derogations
 - a. Applicants must include details of how they propose to verify whether the derogations have been implemented correctly and whether they achieved their objective, using scientifically based evidence, and, if necessary, how the applicant will take corrective measures where required.
 - b. Applicants should provide details of proposed reports to be submitted to the NPWS including the results of monitoring.
 - c. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published by the European Commission "Guidance document on the strict protection of animal species of Community interest under the Habitats Directive" with specific reference to Section 3.4.

Part F. Declaration

I declare that all of the foregoing particulars are, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true and correct. I understand that the deliberate killing, injuring, capturing or disturbing of protected species, or damage or destruction of their breeding sites or resting places or the deliberate taking or destroying of eggs is an offence without a derogation and that it is a legal requirement to comply with the conditions of any derogation I may be granted following this application. I understand that NPWS may visit to check compliance with a derogation.

Please note that under Regulation 5 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011-2021 an authorised officer may enter and inspect any land or premises for the purposes of performing any of their functions under these Regulations or for obtaining any information which they may require for such purposes.

Signature of the Applicant	C/1 /	Date	07/08/25
	Coffeedman		
Name in BLOCK LETTERS	EDEL HARDIMAN		

npws.ie

