Derogation Assessment

Name of Applicant: Peter & Niamh McLoughlin

Location/Name of Project: Ardsallagh, County Meath

Tick the following prohibition as chosen on the application:

(a)	Deliberately capture or kill any specimen of the relevant species in the wild	
(b)	Deliberately disturb these species particularly during the period of	\boxtimes
	breeding, rearing, hibernation and migration	
(c)	Deliberately take or destroy eggs of the relevant species in the wild	
(d)	Damage or destroy a breeding or resting place of such an animal, or	
(e)	Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any	
	specimen of the relevant species taken in the wild, other than those	
	taken legally as referred to in Article 12(2) of the Habitats Directive.	
(a)	Deliberately pick, collect, cut, uproot or destroy any specimen of these	
	species in the wild, or	
(b)	Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any	
	specimen of these species taken in the wild, other than those taken	
	legally as referred to in Article 13(1)(b) of the Habitats Directive.	

Test 1: A reason(s) listed in Regulation 54 (a)-(e) applies to the proposed activity

i. Tick which reason the applicant claims should be applied to the derogation

(a) In the interests of protecting wild flora and fauna and conserving natural habitats,	
(b) To prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and other types of property	
(c) In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment,	\boxtimes
(d) For the purpose of research and education, of re-populating and re-introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including artificial propagation of plants, or	
(e) To allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule.	

ii. Test 1: Conclusion

Please tick the following where it applies:

There is a valid reason(s) listed in Regulation 54 (a)-(e) which applies to	Yes	\boxtimes
the proposed activity:	No	

Please outline your analysis below and state how the applicant has provided evidence to support your conclusion:

The application form and associated documentation provided by the applicant has been reviewed in full. The application relies on regulation 54(2)(c) 'in the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment' as the reason chosen for a derogation that they believe applies to the proposed activity.

In the detail provided it is clear that the applicants are relying on the imperative reasons of

In the detail provided it is clear that the applicants are relying on the imperative reasons of overriding public interest aspect of Reason C in removing the existing bungalow and its replacement with a new dwelling. The provision of housing in Ireland is at a critical juncture and the public interest of same can be balanced against the conservation aims of the Directive.

The applicants have provided evidence as to the nature and scale of the overriding public interest reasoning and the proposed activity is necessary to achieve these overall objectives. Based on the above this application has passed Test 1 and can now proceed to Test 2.

Test 2: Absence of a satisfactory alternative

Please tick the following where it applies and add a comment below to support the recommendation:

The applicant has provided satisfactory evidence that alternative	Yes	\boxtimes
solutions have been considered and have given reasons why the	No	
proposed approach is the only satisfactory alternative:		

Please outline your analysis below and state how the applicant has provided evidence to support your conclusion (If you wish to add additional conditions please complete pg. 6):

This application is being considered under Regulation 54(2)(c) "In the interest of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment". I have reviewed this application and consider that the reasons for the derogation matches reason c. The activity relates to works required to demolish part of a building containing a chimney and attic that is in poor condition, and restore the dwelling by building a new structure that is liveable for a family.

I note that the applicant did consider alternative solutions, including the "do-nothing" option, which if chosen may have a negative effect on the bat species due to the eventual loss of the building if works aren't undertaken to re-build it. They also considered renovation which would include removal of the roof and roost to comply with building regulations. Another option considered was retention of the old building and construction of a new dwelling on the site which is stated to be contrary to planning, therefore not an alternative.

The applicant has stated that the will put mitigation measure in place by building a garage with attic space and installing some bat friendly tiles on the roof, to give the bats an alternative location to roost in coming years.

ı	have considered	all alter	native so	olutions ar	d don't	t bel	lieve t	there a	re any av	ailabl	le.
---	-----------------	-----------	-----------	-------------	---------	-------	---------	---------	-----------	--------	-----

<u>Upon completion of your assessment, please return this Recommendation to WLU to continue the application process.</u>

Test 3: Impact of a derogation on conservation status of the species

Please tick the following where it applies and add a comment below to support the recommendation:

The derogation would NOT be detrimental to the maintenance of the		\boxtimes
populations of the species in question at a favourable conservation		
status in their natural range.		

Please outline your analysis below and state how the applicant has provided evidence to support your conclusion. (If you wish to add additional conditions please complete pg. 6):

I have reviewed the bat report for this application. A minor roost of soprano pipistrelles is present in the building due for demolition. Soprano pipistrelles are widespread and abundant in Ireland; they are in favourable conservation status.
Mitigation is proposed which will ensure no bats are harmed during the demolition of the building. In addition, access to new roosting opportunities will be provided in the new garage.
Providing the proposed mitigation measures are implemented I am satisfied that there should be no negative impact on the conservation status of the bats on site.

<u>If the answer above is Yes then the derogation may be granted, providing Tests 1 and 2 have also been met.</u>

<u>Upon completion of your assessment, please return this Recommendation to WLU to continue the application process.</u>

Derogation decision

The application for a derogation under Regulation 54 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations, 2011 (S.I. 477 of 2011), as amended, has been assessed by officials in the Department and the following decision has been made:

Tick box where appropriate:

There is no satisfactory alternative	\boxtimes
and the derogation is not detrimental to the maintenance of the populations of the species to which the Habitats Directive relates at a favourable conservation status in their natural range.	
Therefore, a derogation may be granted to the applicant, since it is—	
(a) in the interests of protecting wild fauna and flora and conserving natural habitats,	
(b) to prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and other types of property,	
(c) in the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment,	
(d) for the purpose of research and education, of repopulating and re- introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including the artificial propagation of plants, or	
(e) to allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule.	
OR This application has been refused as one or more of the conditions set out above have not been met	

The following conditions should be attached to the derogation:
1.
2.
3.
4.
[add additional conditions where required]

Signed: _____ Date: 01/08/2025

Position: Ecologist _____