



*An Roinn
Ealaíon, Oidhreachta agus Gaeltachta
Department of
Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht*

Land promoting good water quality: Indicator document - Ecosystem Service Modelling & Rule-base development

What the service is..... 3

Service indicator(s) mapped 4


How the map was created..... 4


Scoring..... 5

Data gaps associated with this map during the pilot project 7

Scientific framework for modelling ‘Areas of land to helps to purify water’ 7

Supporting evidence: References.....10





Indicator	CICES classification
AREAS OF LAND PROMOTING GOOD WATER QUALITY - (REGULATION OF WATER QUALITY)	<p>Section: Regulation & Maintenance</p> <p>Classes:</p> <p>Bio-remediation by micro-organisms, algae, plants, and animals;</p> <p>Filtration/sequestration/storage/accumulation by micro-organisms, algae, plants, and animals.</p> <p>CICES IE Sub Classes:</p> <p>Terrestrial & freshwater habitats which provide nutrient retention and pH buffering</p>
Scale	CICES Cascade Level¹
National/Regional/Local	Structure/Function/Service/Benefit/Value

¹ Potschin, M. and R. Haines-Young (2016): Frameworks for ecosystem assessments. In: Potschin, M., Haines-Young, R., Fish, R. and Turner, R.K. (eds) Routledge Handbook of Ecosystem Services. Routledge, London and New York, pp 125-143.

What the service is

Clean water is a key benefit of the ecosystem service ‘the purification of water by the land that effects human health and wellbeing’ and can have significant economic consequences. The chemical composition and appearance of water is influenced by both natural processes and human activities. The ability of soils to filter sediments varies with soil type and management and landform. Steep slopes shed water more rapidly than shallow slopes. Habitats will influence filtration differently primarily depending on the density and structure of the vegetation but also due to their interaction with supported flora. Some species of plants assist with water purification by up-taking ion’s selectively, thereby reducing chemical pollution. Plant roots trap and prevent particulate matter reaching the water courses. This map largely considered particulate matter and water quality issues.

Service indicator(s) mapped

This ecosystem service was mapped using information about habitats, substrate, landform and land management.

Habitats exert an influence on water quality through their effects on below-ground systems (e.g. stabilising soil and preventing erosion, enhancing soil structure and the actions of roots themselves in cation exchange as plants take up minerals from the soil water matrix). The microorganisms which live on the root systems of many wetland species also uptake pollutants from water, effectively purifying it.

During its percolation through the soil, water can interact with the soil chemistry resulting in impurities becoming chelated to the soil particles. Similarly, water also percolates through the underlying geology where impurities may be removed.

Landform has been considered on the basis that steep slopes shed water, while basins collect water. Floodplains may be more susceptible to accumulating impurities as they are deposited by flood water.

Land management can affect water quality both positively and negatively. For example, agricultural practices can determine the level of nitrogen and pesticide inputs into water courses, while targeted wetland creation schemes can be used to filter sewage waste and heavy metal impurities.

Datasets used	Dataset requirement ²
Habitat Asset Register ³	Essential
Teagasc Soils	Essential
NextMap 5m DTM	Essential
Conservation Designations	Beneficial

² 'Essential' datasets are needed to map the service, whilst 'beneficial' datasets will increase model accuracy but are not necessary requirements for mapping.

³ The Habitat Asset Register only contains habitats suitable for national scale mapping; for details, please refer to the project report.

How the map was created

The map has been created using information on soil type from the Teagasc national soils and subsoils datasets, landform in terms of slope angle, and habitats from the derived habitat map. It uses scientific

knowledge to model which areas of land are likely to be filtering sediment and particulate matter from water and which areas of land are potentially having an adverse effect by inputting impurities.

The map should be interpreted as showing ecosystem service information based on the data currently available; when new data become available the maps can be updated. The maps are intended for use at the strategic /national scale; if information is needed about current site-specific water quality a detailed field survey should be undertaken.

Scoring

Significant Effects	Datasets used	Example attributes	Indicative scoring ⁴
Amount of leaf litter, nutrient uptake through roots, vegetation species type, and prevention of surface runoff.	Habitat Asset Register	Broadleaved forest	High
		Natural grasslands	Medium
		Isolated Spartina clumps on mud (5%)	Low
		Arable, Built Environment	Disbenefit
Contribution to surface water runoff or storage.	NextMap 5m DTM	None	High
		None	Medium
		None	Low
		>18°	Disbenefit

⁴ The indicative scoring in this table gives overview-type information on how the individual data layers were incorporated into the ES maps. For full scoring, please refer to the spreadsheet containing the full rules-base.

Significant Effects	Datasets used	Example attributes	Indicative scoring
Capability to immobilise impurities e.g. % clay & organic matter, rate of water infiltration.	Teagasc Soil	AminDW (Acid Deep Well Drained Mineral)	High
		AlluvMIN (Mineral Alluvium)	Medium
		AminPDPT(Acid Poorly Drained Peaty Mineral)	Low
		Cut (Cutover/Cutaway Peat)	Disbenefit
Capability to immobilise impurities e.g. % clay & organic matter, rate of water infiltration.	Teagasc Subsoil	None	High
		None	Medium
		None	Low
		Cutover raised peat	Disbenefit
Rate of water infiltration	Groundwater Recharge	None	High
		None	Medium
		None	Low
		DRY	Disbenefit
Capability to immobilise impurities rate of water infiltration.	Groundwater Aquifers	Locally Important Aquifer – Karstified	High
		Regionally Important Aquifer – Moderately productive bedrock	Medium
		None	Low
		Poor Aquifer – Unproductive bedrock	Disbenefit

Data gaps associated with this map during the pilot project

Livestock rearing is a potential contributor to water pollution; therefore, stocking density would be an important indicator that could be added to the model to assess the distribution of this service throughout land used for grazing.

Similarly, exact locations of point sources of pollution would increase the model accuracy, as they would help to identify areas where the land is of dis-benefit to this service.

To add to this map, drainage channels throughout Ireland could be used (together with the direction of flow within rivers) to model where pollutants are likely to end up and which rivers could be at a risk of pollution. This has not been done, as the current project looks specifically at the contribution of areas of land to the provision of this service, which is independent of drainage channels and river flow.

Spreading of artificial and natural fertilisers (slurry) is a major factor influencing this service, but currently not incorporated into the ES map. Teagasc is currently involved in a project on Nutrient planning, the results of which could be incorporated into future map updates.

This map focusses on risk of sediment being dissolved in the water, not on individual pollutants such as nitrogen or phosphorus.

NOTE – Whilst the Habitat Asset Register (HAR) is based on the best data currently available, it does contain some inherent limitations due to the manner in which LPIS categorises permanent pasture. This may lead to an underestimation of semi-natural grassland and heaths. For details, please refer to the section on data gaps and the section on the preparation of LPIS data for usage in the HAR.

Scientific framework for modelling 'Areas of land that helps to purify water'

Overview:	Water quality is a key ecosystem service that affects human health and wellbeing and can have significant economic consequences (Hallberg, 1987; Gleick, 1993). Water quality is influenced by both natural processes (e.g. filtration in peatland) and human activities (e.g. fertiliser application) (Acreman et al., 2011). There is good evidence on the role that soil type, landform and habitats play in purifying water. The most relevant material is summarised here.
Soil	During its percolation through the soil, water can interact with the soil chemistry and any deposits from human activity taking place on the soil surface. In this way

	<p>pollutants and excess nutrients can be added to or removed from the water (Arya and Paris, 1981; Dominati et al., 2010).</p> <p>Clay soils impede water movement, leading to a slow percolation rate of water through the profile, and quickly become waterlogged (Gupta and Larson, 1979; Winter et al., 1998; Brady and Weil, 2002). When waterlogged, water will run off the surface of soils (Beven and Wood, 1983; Small, 1989; Ward and Robinson, 1990) and collect surface pollutants, which are then incorporated directly into the soil system and water cycle (Withers and Lord, 2002; Heathwaite et al., 2005). Sandy soils drain quickly (Small, 1989; Winter et al., 1998) and hold little water (Gupta and Larson, 1979), but can have a useful filtration effect and form good aquifers (Jones et al., 2011).</p> <p>The underlying mineralogy of the soil has an effect on filtration rates, as the mineral component of the soil acts as an ion exchange site (Ward and Robinson, 1990). Due to the presence of ion exchange sites clay soils have greater capacity to adsorb charged particles from water than sandy soil (Brady and Weil, 2002). Neutral soils have the highest capacity to reduce water pollution during filtering, as at this pH ion exchange capacity is high (Brady and Weil, 2002). Acid soils are less effective as water purifiers, as they have a low ion exchange capacity (Bache et al. 1984).</p> <p>The peat component of the topsoil can be a source of suspended solid particles, which are released into the water (Bardy and Weil 2002, Walling and Fang 2003). Although these are not deleterious to human health, they are now perceived as undesirable and extra effort is needed to remove them from potable water. In eroded systems (or where there is an incomplete Sphagnum layer (Holden et al., 2008)) the suspended solid component of the water running through, and off the peat can be significant (Lucas and Davis, 1961; Evans et al., 2006; Bain et al., 2011).</p> <p>Good information regarding soil composition, particle size, pore spaces, and peat content in Ireland have been recorded by Teagasc (Teagasc Soils Guide³; Teagasc, 2007).</p>
Soil systems	<p>The health, or functional capacity, of soil systems has an influence on water quality (Brussaard, 1997; Wall and Moore, 1999). Soil systems which have active microbial and geochemical interactions are able to react with particulates, metals and nutrients from the water, incorporating them into the soil (Fetter, 1994; Brussaard, 1997; Lavelle, 2006).</p>

³ <http://gis.teagasc.ie/soils/soilguide.php>

<p>Landform</p>	<p>Landform has an influence on water quality regulation. Of particular importance is slope. Steep slopes shed water more rapidly than shallow slopes (Reaney et al., 2011). The water has higher energy and is able to carry more particulate matter within it, picked up from the land surface (Stone and Hilborn, 2000; Reaney et al., 2011).</p> <p>Flood water in the lower reaches of a catchment, can contain high levels of sediment and pollutants from upstream (Middelkoop and Van Der Perk 1998, Small 1989), and pick up additional materials from the flood plain and any urban areas. These pollutants return to the river when flood waters recede (Malmon et al., 2002; Rotherham, 2008).</p>
<p>Habitat</p>	<p>Habitat, through its link to vegetation and soil type, strongly influences water quality. Some species of plants assist with water purification (Baker and Brooks, 1989). Several mechanisms allow plants to take up extra metals and impurities from water and soil (Baker and Brooks, 1989; Raskin et al., 1994). Certain wetland plants (e.g. <i>Phragmites australis</i>) have microbial species associated with their roots that oxygenate the system, which creates conditions that assist metal uptake by the plants (Armstrong et al., 2000; Weis and Weis, 2004). These therefore have the potential to enhance the natural purification process (Shutes, 2001).</p> <p>Below ground features have a positive impact on water quality, especially where roots and their associated microrrhizal communities remove unwanted nutrients and organic content from water (Virginia et al., 1986; Brussaard, 1997; Lavelle et al. 2006). The microrrhizae associations and the macro and micro fauna in mineral soils influence oxygen concentration levels. Increased oxygen availability allows more particulates, metals and nutrients to be taken up by the plants and increases the level of purification (Carter, 2004; Lavelle et al., 2006). Diversity causing full resource utilisation within the root network causes root channels. These allow for more water to filter through the soil column (Mommer et al., 2010). High levels of stygofauna in the groundwater can benefit water quality in aquifers (Boulton et al., 2008). Therefore, the greater the below ground biodiversity, the greater the contribution of the system to purification.</p>
<p>Management</p>	<p>Negative management, leading to reduced water quality regulation, includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overstocking and poor animal management in upland areas leading to soil erosion (Curtis, 1983; Swinton et al., 2007) • Poorly managed use of chemicals in grassland for livestock management (McCracken et al., 2011)

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drainage of peatlands and other wetlands providing a water storage function (Holden et al., 2004; Bain et al., 2011; Alonso et al., 2012) • Extensive use of chemicals in arable and cereal production, especially at sites adjoining water courses (Hallberg, 1987; Heathwaite et al., 2005) • Sediment runoff from Forestry / Forest management activities <p>Positive management, leading to increased water quality regulation includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Restoration of peatlands and other wetlands functioning as water storage areas (Bain et al., 2011; Van der Wal et al., 2011) • Good animal management in upland areas (e.g. stocking densities not too high) (Medina-Roldán et al., 2012) • Well managed use of chemicals in arable and cereal production and the use of buffer strips to prevent spray drift of pesticides, especially at sites adjoining water courses (Heathwaite et al., 2005; Lane et al., 2006)
--	--

Supporting evidence: References

- Acreman, M., Blackwell, M., Durance, I., Everard, M., Morris, J., Spray Diack, C., Ward, R. (2011). UK National Ecosystem Assessment - Chapter 9: Freshwaters - Openwaters, Wetlands and Floodplains. (E. Maltby, & S. Ormerod, Eds.) UK National Ecosystem Assessment. Retrieved from <http://uknea.unep-wcmc.org/Resources/tabid/82/Default.aspx>
- Alonso, I., Weston, K., Gregg, R., & Morecroft, M. (2012). Carbon storage by habitat: Review of the evidence of the impacts of management decisions and condition of carbon stores and sources. (N. England, Ed.) Natural England. Retrieved from <http://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/1412347>
- Arya, L. M., & Paris, J. F. (1981). A physicoempirical model to predict the soil moisture characteristic from particle-size distribution and bulk density data. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 45(6), 1023-1030.
- Bain, C., Bonn, A., Stoneman, R., Chapman, S., Coupar, A., Evans, M., Worrall, F. (2011). IUCN UK Commission of Inquiry on Peatlands. Retrieved from <http://roar.uel.ac.uk/3591/1/IUCN%20UK%20Commission%20of%20Inquiry%20on%20Peatlands%20Full%20Report%20spv%20web.pdf>
- Beven, K., & Wood, E. (1983). Catchment geomorphology and the dynamics of runoff contributing areas. *Journal of Hydrology*, 65(1), 139-158. Retrieved from <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0022169483902147>
- Boulton, A., Fenwick, G., Hancock, P., & Harvey, M. (2008). Biodiversity, functional roles and ecosystem services of groundwater invertebrates. *Invertebrate Systematics*, 22(2), 103-116. Retrieved from <http://www.publish.csiro.au/paper/IS07024>
- Brady, N. C., and R. R. Weil. (2002) In *The Nature and Properties of Soils* (13th Edition). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Carter, M. (2004). Researching structural complexity in agricultural soils. *Soil and tillage research*, 79(1), 1-6. Retrieved from <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S016719870400087X#>
- Curtis, S. E. (1983). *Environmental management in animal agriculture*. Iowa. Iowa State University Press.
- Dominati, E., Patterson, M., & Mackay, A. (2010). A framework for classifying and quantifying the natural capital and ecosystem services of soils. *Ecological Economics*, 69(9), 1858-1868. Retrieved from <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921800910001928#>
- Gleick, P. H. (1993). *Water in Crisis*. Oxford, Oxford University Press.

- Gupta, S., & Larson, W. (1979). Estimating soil water retention characteristics from particle size distribution, organic matter percent, and bulk density. *Water resources research*, 15(6), 1633-1635. Retrieved from <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/WR015i006p01633/full>
- Hallberg, G. (1987). Agricultural chemicals in ground water: extent and implications. *American Journal of Alternative Agriculture*, 2(01), 3-15. Retrieved from <https://journals.cambridge.org/action/loginShibb>
- Heathwaite, A., Quinn, P., & Hewett, C. (2005). Modelling and managing critical source areas of diffuse pollution from agricultural land using flow connectivity simulation. *Journal of Hydrology*, 304(1), 446-461. Retrieved from <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022169404005116#>
- Holden, J., Chapman, P., & Labadz, J. (2004). Artificial drainage of peatlands: hydrological and hydrochemical process and wetland restoration. *Progress in Physical Geography*, 28(1), 95-123. Retrieved from <http://ppg.sagepub.com/content/28/1/95.short>
- Holden, J., Kirkby, M., Lane, S., Milledge, D., Brookes, C., Holden, V., & McDonald, A. (2008). Overland flow velocity and roughness properties in peatlands. *Water Resources Research*, 44(6). Retrieved from <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2007WR006052/full>
- Jones, L., Angus, S., Cooper, A., Doody, P., Everard, M., Garbutt, A., Whitehouse, A. (2011). UK National Ecosystem Assessment - Chapter 11: Coastal Margins. (L. Jones, Ed.) UK National Ecosystem Assessment. Retrieved from <http://uknea.unep-wcmc.org/Resources/tabid/82/Default.aspx>
- Lane, S., Brookes, C., Louise Heathwaite, A., & Reaney, S. (2006). Surveillant science: challenges for the management of rural environments emerging from the new generation diffuse pollution models. *Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 57(2), 239-257. Retrieved from <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1477-9552.2006.00050.x/full>
- Lavelle, P., Decaens, T., Aubert, M., Barot, S., Blouin, M., Bureau, F., Rossi, J. (2006). Soil invertebrates and ecosystem services. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 42, S3-S15. Retrieved from <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1164556306001038>
- McCracken, D., Stoate, C., Goulding, K., Harmer, R., Hess, T., Jenkins, A., Williams, P. (2011). UK National Ecosystem Assessment - Chapter 7: Enclosed Farmland. (L. Firbank, & R. Bradbury, Eds.) UK National Ecosystem Assessment. Retrieved from <http://uknea.unep-wcmc.org/Resources/tabid/82/Default.aspx>
- Medina-Roldán, E., Paz-Ferreiro, J., & Bardgett, R. (2012). Grazing exclusion affects soil and plant communities, but has no impact on soil carbon storage in an upland grassland. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 149, 118-123. Retrieved from <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167880911004385>
- Mommer, L., Van Ruijven, J., De Caluwe, H., Smit-Tiekstra, A., Wagemaker, C., Joop Ouborg, N., De Kroon, H. (2010). Unveiling below-ground species abundance in a biodiversity experiment: a test of vertical niche differentiation among grassland species. *Journal of Ecology*, 98(5), 1117-1127. Retrieved from <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2010.01702.x/full>
- Small, R. (1989). *Geomorphology and Hydrology*. (B. Price, & J. Taylor, Eds.) Longman Modular Geography Series.
- Swinton, S., Lupi, F., Robertson, G., & Hamilton, S. (2007). Ecosystem services and agriculture: cultivating agricultural ecosystems for diverse benefits. *Ecological economics*, 64(2), 245-252. Retrieved from <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921800907005009#>
- Teagasc (2007). Creamer, R., Simo, I., Reidy, B., Carvalho, J., Fealy, R., Hallett, S., Jones, R., Holden, A., Holden, N., Hannam, J., Massey, P., Mayr, T., McDonald, E., O'Rourke, S., Sills, P., Truckell, I., Zawadzka, J. and Schulte, R. Irish Soil Information System – Synthesis Report (2007-S-CD-1-S1). Environmental Protection Agency, Wexford.
- Van der Wal, R., Bonn, A., Monteith, D., Reed, M., Blackstock, K., Hanley, N., Tinch, D. (2011). UK National Ecosystem Assessment - Chapter 5: Mountains, Moorlands and Heaths. (R. Van der Wal, Ed.) UK National Ecosystem Assessment. Retrieved from <http://uknea.unep-wcmc.org/Resources/tabid/82/Default.aspx>
- Ward, R., & Robinson, M. (1989). *Principles of Hydrology*. McGraw-Hill Book Company Europe.
- Winter, T., Harvey, J., Franke, O., & Alley, W. (1998). *Ground Water and Surface Water - A single resource* (Vol. Circular 1139). (T. J. Casadevall, Ed.) U.S. Geological Survey. Retrieved from <http://pubs.usgs.gov/circ/circ1139/pdf/circ1139.pdf>

Withers, P., & Lord, E. (2002). Agricultural nutrient inputs to rivers and groundwaters in the UK: policy, environmental management and research needs. *Science of the Total Environment*, 282, 9-24. Retrieved from <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0048969701009354#>