



NPWS

An tSeirbhís Páircenna
Náisiúnta agus Fíadhúla
National Parks and Wildlife
Service

**Derogation Number
DER-BAT-2026-60**

**EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES (BIRDS AND NATURAL HABITATS) REGULATIONS, 2011
(S.I. No 477 of 2011)**

DEROGATION

Granted under Regulation 54 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011, hereinafter referred to as “the Habitats Regulations”.

The Minister for Housing, Local Government & Heritage, in exercise of the powers conferred on him by Regulation 54 of the Habitats Regulations hereby grants to **Mairtin Lydon of Unit 702 Kilshane Ave, NW Business Park, Ballycoolen, Dublin 15, D15 E670** a derogation. It is stated that this derogation is issued:

- A. In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment
- B. As there is no satisfactory alternative, and the action authorised by this derogation will not be detrimental to the maintenance of the population of **bats** referred to below at a favourable conservation status in their natural range.

This derogation authorises the following:

1. Roost disturbance
2. Actions authorised within the derogation

The derogation is issued in respect of the following **bat species**:

- **Common Pipistrelle** **Pipistrellus Pipistrellus**
- **Soprano Pipistrelle** **Pipistrellus Pygmaeus**



Terms and Conditions

1. This derogation is granted solely to allow the activities specified in connection with the works located at **Cypress Grove House, Cypress Lawn, Dublin 6W, D6W YV12** for **Mairtin Lydon**
2. All activities authorised by this derogation, and all equipment used in connection herewith, shall be carried out, constructed and maintained (as the case may be) so as to avoid unnecessary injury or distress to any species of **BAT**. Anything done other than in accordance with the terms of this derogation may constitute an offence
3. This derogation may be modified or revoked, for stated reasons, at any time. In addition, the Minister reserves the right to revoke the derogation where updated information indicates that the basis upon which the derogation was granted has materially changed.
4. The mitigation measures outlined in the application report (**Bat fauna impact assessment for a proposed Large-scale Residential Development (LRD) at Cypress Grove House, Templeogue, Co. Dublin.**) together with any changes or clarification agreed in correspondence between NPWS and the agent or applicant, are to be carried out. Strict adherence must be paid to all the proposed measures in the application.
5. The actions which this derogation authorise shall be completed between **29th January – 31st March 2027, inclusive.**
6. The works will be supervised by bat ecologist(s): **Bryan Deegan**
7. The derogation does not provide consent to proceed with any works that may also be subject to another approval (for example, planning permission).
8. The derogation is based upon information provided in the application. Where this information may cease to be accurate due to the passage of time or changes to the circumstances giving rise to the need for the derogation, the derogation holder is responsible for notifying the NPWS.
9. If this derogation expires prior to works permitted under this derogation commencing, a new application must be sought in advance, including the provision of any updated data or reports.
10. This derogation shall be produced for inspection on a request being made on that behalf by a member of An Garda Síochána or an authorised NPWS officer appointed under Regulation 4 of the Habitats Regulations.
11. The local **NPWS Conservation Ranger – Katie Gordon**, Katie.Gordon@npws.gov.ie, must be contacted prior to the commencement of any activity, and if bats are detected on site during the course of the work, under the terms of this derogation.
12. On completion of the actions which this derogation authorises, all recordings of bat species affected will be made using the standardised Returns Form and must be submitted to the NPWS within **four weeks of the expiry of the derogation or the 31st January each year**, should the derogation run for longer than one calendar year. Additionally a report will also be submitted detailing results of works and success of mitigation. **Both documents must be submitted to constitute a derogation return** and should be submitted to wildlife.reports@npws.gov.ie



For the Minister for Housing, Local Government & Heritage

(an officer authorised by the Minister to sign on his behalf)

29 January 2026

Any query in relation to this derogation should be sent to reg54derogations@npws.gov.ie





Derogation Assessment

Name of Applicant: Mairtin Lydon

Location/Name of Project: Cypress Grove House, D6W YV12

Tick the following prohibition as chosen on the application:

(a) Deliberately capture or kill any specimen of the relevant species in the wild	<input type="checkbox"/>
(b) Deliberately disturb these species particularly during the period of breeding, rearing, hibernation and migration	<input type="checkbox"/>
(c) Deliberately take or destroy eggs of the relevant species in the wild	<input type="checkbox"/>
(d) Damage or destroy a breeding or resting place of such an animal, or	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(e) Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of the relevant species taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in Article 12(2) of the Habitats Directive.	<input type="checkbox"/>
(a) Deliberately pick, collect, cut, uproot or destroy any specimen of these species in the wild, or	<input type="checkbox"/>
(b) Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of these species taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in Article 13(1)(b) of the Habitats Directive.	<input type="checkbox"/>

Test 1: A reason(s) listed in Regulation 54 (a)-(e) applies to the proposed activity

i. Tick which reason the applicant claims should be applied to the derogation

(a) In the interests of protecting wild flora and fauna and conserving natural habitats,	<input type="checkbox"/>
(b) To prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and other types of property	<input type="checkbox"/>
(c) In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment,	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(d) For the purpose of research and education, of re-populating and re-introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including artificial propagation of plants, or	<input type="checkbox"/>
(e) To allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule.	<input type="checkbox"/>



ii. Test 1: Conclusion

Please tick the following where it applies:

There is a valid reason(s) listed in Regulation 54 (a)-(e) which applies to the proposed activity:	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please outline your analysis below and state how the applicant has provided evidence to support your conclusion:

The application form and associated documentation provided by the applicant has been reviewed in full. The application relies on regulation 54(2)(c) ‘in the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment’ as the reason chosen for a derogation that they believe applies to the proposed activity.

In the detail provided, it is clear that the applicants are relying on the imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature aspect of Reason C. As outlined in the accompanying report, a derogation is required to assist with proposed works at Cypress Grove House, Templeogue, Dublin 6W.

The objective of these works is the construction of a residential development delivering 171 residential units alongside a crèche and associated works and to assist in these works involves the removal of a tree supporting a bat roost. The report further outlines that the works will “deliver substantial public benefits, through the provision of much-needed housing” and will “help meet local and regional housing demand.” The provision of a crèche is anticipated to provide social benefits and creates a community space supporting local childcare.

The applicants have provided evidence as to the nature and scale of the public interest including those of a social or economic nature for the proposed works and the proposed activity is necessary to achieve these overall objectives. Based on the above this application has passed Test 1 and can now proceed to Test 2. |



Test 2: Absence of a satisfactory alternative

Please tick the following where it applies and add a comment below to support the recommendation:

The applicant has provided satisfactory evidence that alternative solutions have been considered and have given reasons why the proposed approach is the only satisfactory alternative:	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please outline your analysis below and state how the applicant has provided evidence to support your conclusion (If you wish to add additional conditions please complete pg. 6):

This application is being considered under regulation 54(2)(c) “In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment”. The purpose of the application is to facilitate the removal of a tree containing common and soprano pipistrelle roost at Cypress Grove House, Templeogue for the building of a large-scale housing development.

The documentation that was submitted by the applicant has been reviewed, in particular for evidence supporting the consideration of alternative solutions for this development. The alternative solutions suggested by the applicant are:

1. “Do Nothing” – Under the do nothing scenario, the site would remain at its current state and the large-scale development would not be delivered. The project consists of the development of 171 residential units as well as a crèche, which lines with “overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature” due to “Ireland experiencing a severe housing shortage”. The ‘do nothing’ scenario would not facilitate the “critical local and regional housing needs”.
2. “Redesigning development to avoid the roost” – This scenario to retain the tree containing the roost by redesigning the development but due to the location of the tree, this is considered not feasible. This redesign would compromise the functionality of the overall project.
3. “Alternative site location” – Relocating the development to another location was examined but was considered not a viable option. This is due to the project concerns the specific “zoning, layout and redevelopment opportunity” that is available on this site at Cypress Grove House.

Having read the supporting documentation, the applicant has provided satisfactory evidence that alternative solutions were considered for this project. NPWS has reviewed these alternative solutions and agree that no other alternative solutions are available. Based on the above, the application has passed test two and can proceed to test three

Upon completion of your assessment, please return this Recommendation to WLU to continue the application process.



Test 3: Impact of a derogation on conservation status of the species

Please tick the following where it applies and add a comment below to support the recommendation:

The derogation would NOT be detrimental to the maintenance of the populations of the species in question at a favourable conservation status in their natural range.	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please outline your analysis below and state how the applicant has provided evidence to support your conclusion. (If you wish to add additional conditions please complete pg. 6)

A small pipistrelle roost is present within one of the trees due for felling on site. Both common and soprano pipistrelles are widespread and abundant in Ireland and are in favourable conservation status. These species are also adaptable and quick to occupy new roosting features.

Suitable and proportionate mitigation has been proposed, including the provision of alternative roosts in the form of bat boxes. Providing these mitigation measures are implemented, I am satisfied that the works will not be detrimental to the maintenance of the populations of the species in question at a favourable conservation status in their natural range

If the answer above is Yes then the derogation may be granted, providing Tests 1 and 2 have also been met.

Upon completion of your assessment, please return this Recommendation to WLU to continue the application process.



Derogation decision

The application for a derogation under Regulation 54 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations, 2011 (S.I. 477 of 2011), as amended, has been assessed by officials in the Department and the following decision has been made:

Tick box where appropriate:

There is no satisfactory alternative

and the derogation is not detrimental to the maintenance of the populations of the species to which the Habitats Directive relates at a favourable conservation status in their natural range.

Therefore, a derogation may be granted to the applicant, since it is—

(a) in the interests of protecting wild fauna and flora and conserving natural habitats

(b) to prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and other types of property,

(c) in the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment,

(d) for the purpose of research and education, of repopulating and re-introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including the artificial propagation of plants, or

(e) to allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule.

OR This application has been refused as one or more of the conditions set out above have not been met



The following conditions should be attached to the derogation:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.

[add additional conditions where required]

Signed: .

Date: January 29, 2026

Position: Ecologist