



NPWS

An tSeirbhís Páircenna
Náisiúnta agus Fíadhúla
National Parks and Wildlife
Service

**Derogation Number
DER-BAT-2026-50**

**EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES (BIRDS AND NATURAL HABITATS) REGULATIONS, 2011
(S.I. No 477 of 2011)**

DEROGATION

Granted under Regulation 54 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011, hereinafter referred to as “the Habitats Regulations”.

The Minister for Housing, Local Government & Heritage, in exercise of the powers conferred on him by Regulation 54 of the Habitats Regulations hereby grants to **Tom Gordon of Inishoo Management, Westport Estate, Westport, County Mayo, F28 TW64** a derogation. It is stated that this derogation is issued:

- A. In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment
- B. As there is no satisfactory alternative, and the action authorised by this derogation will not be detrimental to the maintenance of the population of **bats** referred to below at a favourable conservation status in their natural range.

This derogation authorises the following:

1. Roost disturbance
2. Actions authorised within the derogation

The derogation is issued in respect of the following **bat species**:

- | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| • Brown Long-Eared Bat | Plecotus Auritus |
| • Natterer’s Bat | Myotis Nattereri |
| • Common Pipistrelle | Pipistrellus Pipistrellus |
| • Soprano Pipistrelle | Pipistrellus Pygmaeus |
| • Nathusius’ pipistrelle | Pipistrellus nathusii |
| • Leisler’s Bat | Nycatalus Leisler |
| • Daubenton’s Bat | Myotis Daubentonii |
| • Whiskered Bat | Myotis Mystacinus |



Terms and Conditions

1. This derogation is granted solely to allow the activities specified in connection with the works located at **Westport Estate, Westport, County Mayo, F28 TW64 for Tom Gordon**
2. All activities authorised by this derogation, and all equipment used in connection herewith, shall be carried out, constructed and maintained (as the case may be) so as to avoid unnecessary injury or distress to any species of **BAT**. Anything done other than in accordance with the terms of this derogation may constitute an offence
3. This derogation may be modified or revoked, for stated reasons, at any time. In addition, the Minister reserves the right to revoke the derogation where updated information indicates that the basis upon which the derogation was granted has materially changed.
4. The mitigation measures outlined in the application report (**Report on the current status of Chiroptera at Westport Estate for the purposes of monitoring the impacts of restoration works.**) together with any changes or clarification agreed in correspondence between NPWS and the agent or applicant, are to be carried out. Strict adherence must be paid to all the proposed measures in the application.
5. The actions which this derogation authorise shall be completed between **22nd January – 31st December 2026, inclusive.**
6. The works will be supervised by a qualified bat ecologist.
7. If this derogation addresses works that are subject of a planning application, no such works permitted under this derogation can occur until planning permission is granted.
8. If this derogation expires prior to works permitted under this derogation commencing, a new application must be sought in advance, including the provision of any updated data or reports.
9. This derogation shall be produced for inspection on a request being made on that behalf by a member of An Garda Síochána or an authorised NPWS officer appointed under Regulation 4 of the Habitats Regulations.
10. The local **NPWS District Conservation Officer – Irene O’Brien**, irene.obrien@npws.gov.ie, must be contacted prior to the commencement of any activity, and if bats are detected on site during the course of the work, under the terms of this derogation.
11. On completion of the actions which this derogation authorises, all recordings of bat species affected will be made using the standardised Returns form and must be submitted to the NPWS **within four weeks of the expiry date of this derogation**. Included with the Returns form, a report will also be submitted to wildlife.reports@npws.gov.ie detailing results of works and success of mitigation. **Both documents must be submitted to constitute a derogation return.**



For the Minister for Housing, Local Government & Heritage

(an officer authorised by the Minister to sign on his behalf)

22 January 2026

Any query in relation to this derogation should be sent to reg54derogations@npws.gov.ie





Derogation Assessment

Name of Applicant: Tom Gordon

Location/Name of Project: Westport Estate, Westport, County Mayo

Tick the following prohibition as chosen on the application:

(a) Deliberately capture or kill any specimen of the relevant species in the wild	<input type="checkbox"/>
(b) Deliberately disturb these species particularly during the period of breeding, rearing, hibernation and migration	<input type="checkbox"/>
(c) Deliberately take or destroy eggs of the relevant species in the wild	<input type="checkbox"/>
(d) Damage or destroy a breeding or resting place of such an animal, or	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(e) Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of the relevant species taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in Article 12(2) of the Habitats Directive.	<input type="checkbox"/>
(a) Deliberately pick, collect, cut, uproot or destroy any specimen of these species in the wild, or	<input type="checkbox"/>
(b) Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of these species taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in Article 13(1)(b) of the Habitats Directive.	<input type="checkbox"/>

Test 1: A reason(s) listed in Regulation 54 (a)-(e) applies to the proposed activity

i. Tick which reason the applicant claims should be applied to the derogation

(a) In the interests of protecting wild flora and fauna and conserving natural habitats,	<input type="checkbox"/>
(b) To prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and other types of property	<input type="checkbox"/>
(c) In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment,	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(d) For the purpose of research and education, of re-populating and re-introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including artificial propagation of plants, or	<input type="checkbox"/>
(e) To allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule.	<input type="checkbox"/>



ii. Test 1: Conclusion

Please tick the following where it applies:

There is a valid reason(s) listed in Regulation 54 (a)-(e) which applies to the proposed activity:	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please outline your analysis below and state how the applicant has provided evidence to support your conclusion:

The application form and associated documentation provided by the applicant has been reviewed in full. The application relies on regulation 54(2)(c) *‘in the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment’* as the reason chosen for a derogation that they believe applies to the proposed activity.

In the detail provided, it is clear that the applicants are relying on the imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature aspect of Reason C. As outlined in the accompanying report titled “Bat Survey 2025”, the derogation is required to assist with proposed works at Westport Estate, between Westport Town and Clew Bay.

The objective of the proposed works involves the restoration and renovation of Westport house, the coach house and outbuildings to include a new hotel and museum plus enhancements to the walled garden.

The report outlines how this project is one of the key objectives of the Failte Ireland Clew Bay Destination and Experience Development Plan to increase both tourism and employment for the area. The report highlights the tourism benefit to the local economy through increased visitors and tourist spending and projects the creation of over 100 direct jobs for local employment. The restoration of the walled gardens will also increase local biodiversity through native plants and woodland.

The applicants have provided evidence as to the nature and scale of the overriding public interest reasoning and the proposed activity is necessary to achieve these overall objectives. Based on the above this application has passed Test 1 and can now proceed to Test 2 |



Test 2: Absence of a satisfactory alternative

Please tick the following where it applies and add a comment below to support the recommendation:

The applicant has provided satisfactory evidence that alternative solutions have been considered and have given reasons why the proposed approach is the only satisfactory alternative:	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please outline your analysis below and state how the applicant has provided evidence to support your conclusion (If you wish to add additional conditions please complete pg. 6):

Based on the assessment of the application documentation, it is regarded that the applicant has considered all available alternative solutions and at this time no other alternative solutions are apparent.

The objective of the proposed works involves the restoration and renovation of Westport house, the coach house and outbuildings to include a new hotel and museum plus enhancements to the walled garden.

There will be significant tourism benefit to the local economy through increased visitors and tourist spending and projects the creation of over 100 direct jobs for local employment. The restoration of the walled gardens will also increase local biodiversity through native plants and woodland. There is no alternative solution to this work, as the site is in line for development and work needs to be carried out in some of these areas.

The do nothing approach is not an option here.

The applicants have provided evidence as to the nature and scale of the overriding public interest reasoning and the proposed activity is necessary to achieve these overall objectives. Based on the above this. Having weighed the possible solutions to solve the applicant’s problem against the effects of a derogation on the species concerned, it is concluded that the application has passed Test 2 and can proceed to Test 3

Having met with the applicants on site and after a walk through with the ecologist, I am satisfied that the applicant has provided sufficient evidence to meet Test 2 and that the project proposal is indeed within the realms of overriding public in interest for socio and economic interests not only for the area of Westport but for the county of Mayo.

I am also satisfied that disturbance to bat roost will be minimal and temporary and that the proposed mitigation measures and the timing of the work will be over seen by an ecologist and therefore should not cause any major disturbance to roosting bat species. Therefore, I can approve this application.

Upon completion of your assessment, please return this Recommendation to WLU to continue the application process.



Test 3: Impact of a derogation on conservation status of the species

Please tick the following where it applies and add a comment below to support the recommendation:

The derogation would NOT be detrimental to the maintenance of the populations of the species in question at a favourable conservation status in their natural range.	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please outline your analysis below and state how the applicant has provided evidence to support your conclusion. (If you wish to add additional conditions please complete pg. 6)

Westport House and estate provides roosting for several bat species including pipistrelles and Myotis species. However, no significant summer (maternity) roost or large hibernation roost was recorded during the bat surveys. Individual bats and minor roosts are present in various buildings and walls around the estate at various times of the year. Comprehensive surveys have been completed and a detailed programme of mitigation measures has been drawn up.

The proposed mitigation measures include restrictive timing of works in certain areas, the retention and improvement of bat access to roosting features post-works, the erection of bat boxes around the estate together with a sympathetic lighting and landscaping plan.

With the full implementation of these mitigation measures I am satisfied that the derogation would not be detrimental to the maintenance of the populations of the bat species in question at a favourable conservation status in their natural range

If the answer above is Yes then the derogation may be granted, providing Tests 1 and 2 have also been met.

Upon completion of your assessment, please return this Recommendation to WLU to continue the application process.



Derogation decision

The application for a derogation under Regulation 54 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations, 2011 (S.I. 477 of 2011), as amended, has been assessed by officials in the Department and the following decision has been made:

Tick box where appropriate:

There is no satisfactory alternative

and the derogation is not detrimental to the maintenance of the populations of the species to which the Habitats Directive relates at a favourable conservation status in their natural range.

Therefore, a derogation may be granted to the applicant, since it is—

(a) in the interests of protecting wild fauna and flora and conserving natural habitats

(b) to prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and other types of property,

(c) in the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment,

(d) for the purpose of research and education, of repopulating and re-introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including the artificial propagation of plants, or

(e) to allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule.

OR This application has been refused as one or more of the conditions set out above have not been met



The following conditions should be attached to the derogation:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.

[add additional conditions where required]

Signed: .

Date: January 22, 2026

Position: Ecologist