NPWS
An tSeirbhís Páirceanna Náisiúnta agus Fiadhúlra
National Parks and Wildlife Service

Application for Derogation

Under Regulation 54 & 54A of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011, as amended

Revision 2.0 – July 2025



- This form can be used by any individual or Company applying for a derogation under Regulation 54 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011 ("the Regulations") **or** any individual applying on behalf of the Minister for Housing, Local Government and Heritage under Regulation 54(A) of the Regulations.

- Note this application form is not for Domestic Dwelling Derogations (bats within private homes) which can be found here > (3D Application Form)

- Please ensure that you answer questions fully in order to avoid delays and/or your application being rejected on the basis that it does not contain sufficient information and detail for the application to be considered further.

- Please read and familiarise yourself with the NPWS Guidance on Applications for Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: Guidance for Applicants

- Please read and familiarise yourself with the European Commission's Guidance document on the strict protection of animal species of Community interest under the Habitats Directive

- Please also note that the responses to these questions are supplementary to the documentation required for the NPWS to be in a position to consider your application. A complete application should include both the application form and an associated report. Failure to supply either will result in your application being returned and/or refused.

- In circumstances in which a derogation is given on foot of this application, the Applicant is responsible for ensuring compliance with the conditions of any such derogation, even though they may employ another person to act on their behalf. To carry out any activity without, or not in accordance with, a derogation granted under regulation 54 or 54A of the Regulations constitutes a criminal offence, subject to prosecution.

- If you experience any problems filling in this form, please contact the Wildlife Licensing Unit: reg54derogations@npws.gov.ie

- Please note – applications, associated reports and derogations will be published on the NPWS website and/or the Department's Open Data website.

- Where any applicant is applying for a derogation to carry out surveys, please ensure to list all qualified ecologists and trainees under their supervision. See section 1(c) of Part A.

Part A: The Applicant - Personal Details

These questions relate to the person responsible for any proposed works and who will be the **Applicant**.
If this application is being submitted on behalf of a third party, please also complete Part B below.

1. (a) Name of Applicant

Title (Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms/Dr)	Forename(s)	Surname
Mrs	Marie	McNamara
(b) Company Name, if applicable	Tuath Housing Association	
(c) Address Line 1	Tuath Housing Association	
Address Line 2	33 Leeson St Lower	
Town	Dublin 2	
County	Dublin	
Eircode	D02 KD68	
(d) Contact number	[redacted]	
(e) Email address	[redacted]	
(f) Address where works are to be carried out if different from (b) above.		
Address Line 1	Westport Convent	
Address Line 2	Altamount Street	
Town	Westport	
County	Mayo	
Eircode	F28 EW67	

Details of Person Submitting Application on Behalf of Applicant/Derogation Holder

Information relating to the person (e.g. ecologist) responsible for submitting the application on behalf of the applicant should be entered below:

1. (b) Name of Person/Ecologist

Title (Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms/Dr)	Forename(s)	Surname
Mr	Conor	McKinney
(b) Company Name	Starling Ecology	
Address Line 1	5 Haywood Drive	
Address Line 2		
Town	Belfast	
County	Down	
Eircode	BT7 3BA	
(c) Contact number	[redacted]	
(d) Email address	[redacted]	
(e) Relationship to Applicant	Ecological Consultant	

For Survey Derogations Only

1. (c) Please Indicate the Names to Appear on the Derogation Along with the Position Held e.g. Supervisor/Trainee

Forename(s)	Surname	Supervisor or Trainee

Part B: Species covered by the Derogation

1. **Species of Animal:** Please indicate which species is/are the subject of the application:

- Bat ☒
- Otter ☐
- Kerry Slug ☐
- Natterjack Toad ☐
- Dolphin ☐
- Whale ☐
- Turtle ☐
- Porpoise ☐

2. Please detail the exact species (scientific name): *Lesser Horseshoe (Rhinolophus hipposideros)*

3. Please provide the maximum number of individuals affected* 1-7

4. Please provide the maximum number of breeding or resting sites affected* 2

5. Please provide the maximum number of eggs to be taken* N/A

6. Please provide the maximum number of eggs to be destroyed* N/A

*If no figures can be provided for the maximum number of individuals, breeding sites, resting places and eggs to be covered by the derogation please provide reasons why.

N/A

7. **Species of Plant:** Please indicate which species is/are the subject of the application:

- Killarney Fern ☐
- Slender Naiad ☐
- Marsh Saxifrage ☐

8. If you previously received a derogation for any species of animal or plant, please state derogation number and confirm that you have made a return to NPWS on the numbers actually affected by that derogation.

I have been a recipient of a derogation to survey Barn Owls in Co. Donegal for which I made a return but do not have a derogation number.

This derogation is also relevant to DER-BAT-2026-259 for which I am the responsible ecologist

9. **Proposed Dates for Activities:** Please indicate the timeframe that you propose to carry out the activities. Dates set by NPWS may differ from dates proposed here. *A derogation will only be issued with a start and end date within a calendar year.*

Start Date:	1st November 2026
End Date:	15th March 2027

Part C: Nature of the Derogation.

1. Please tick which prohibition(s) the application for a derogation relates to:

Regulation 51	
Deliberately capture or kill any specimen of the relevant species in the wild	☐
Deliberately disturb these species particularly during the period of breeding, rearing, hibernation and migration	☐
Deliberately take or destroy eggs of the relevant species in the wild	☐
Damage or destroy a breeding or resting place of such an animal, or	☒
Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of the relevant species taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in Article 12(2) of the Habitats Directive.	☐
Regulation 52	
Deliberately pick, collect, cut, uproot or destroy any specimen of these species in the wild, or	☐
Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of these species taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in Article 13(1)(b) of the Habitats Directive.	☐

Further information should be provided in the format set out in Part E: Template for Supporting Information

Part D: Derogation Tests

Note: The following <u>summary</u> information must be provided by the applicant in all cases, and will be used to determine if a derogation can be provided. Further information must be provided in the format set out in Part E: Template for Supporting Information

Test 1: Reason for the Derogation

1. Please tick which reason(s) below explains how this application qualifies under Regulation 54(2)(a-e) or Regulation 54A(2)(a-e) of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations: Please provide a summary of how the application meets the 3 conditions required to provide a derogation. Note that in all cases additional information must be provided (see Part E).

a.	In the interests of protecting wild flora and fauna and conserving natural habitats **(proceed to 1a)**	☐
b.	To prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and other types of property **(proceed to 1b)**	☐
c.	In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment **(proceed to 1c)**	☒
d.	For the purpose of research and education, of re-populating and re-introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including artificial propagation of plants **(proceed to 1d)**	☐

e.	To allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule **(proceed to 1e)**	☐

1a. In the interests of protecting wild flora and fauna and conserving natural habitats:

i) Please state the wild flora, fauna or habitats that require protection and /or conservation.

N/A

ii) Please summarise how the interests of protection and conservation of the species/habitat concerned justify affecting another species under strict protection.

N/A

1b) To prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and other types of property:

i) Please summarise the nature of the potential damage, why it is considered “serious” and how this outweighs the conservation interest of the species under strict protection.

N/A

1c) In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment:

i) Where the reason is for public health and public safety, summarise the evidence provided to support this reason (e.g. documentary evidence of the risk from a chartered structural engineer, tree surgeon, Garda Síochána, qualified health professional etc.)

ii) Where the reason is for "other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment", summarise the nature of the public interest and how this outweighs the conservation interest of the species under strict protection.

The proposed development of the former convent at Altamont Street, Westport represents an imperative reason of overriding public interest of a social and economic nature. The site comprises a disused and partially derelict former convent building. Its proposed development will deliver social and economic benefits at a local and regional level, including contribution to housing supply, regeneration of a brownfield peri-urban site, and the beneficial reuse of a redundant heritage building. These objectives are consistent with national planning policy objectives relating to urban regeneration and housing delivery, and with the zoning objectives of the relevant Mayo County Development Plan.

The proposed works require the alteration of features forming a day roost for Lesser Horseshoe. The discovery of a night and day roost on site has resulted in amendments to the development proposals which aim to incorporate the day roost, a roof void, into a new segregated feature on site. Without a derogation, these works could not be carried out lawfully. The reason selected (c) is appropriate as the development serves a long-term public interest in housing and regeneration rather than a purely private or commercial interest. The applicant's architect (MRL Architects) has prepared the development proposal to fulfil planning requirements and to remediate a semi-derelict structure that is no longer in beneficial use.

Lesser Horseshoe are considered to have an increasing short-term and long-term range and population trend but their Favoruable Conservation Status remains "**unfavourable inadequate**"

1d) For the purpose of research and education, of re-populating and re-introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including artificial propagation of plants:

i) Please summarise the objective(s) of the proposed activities making reference to those listed above and how the the purpose of such activities overrides the interests of strict protection of the species. [1]

N/A

[1] Note that this reason may be appropriate for when research involves surveys that may cause disturbance of species under strict protection. But the sole purpose of the surveys should be for research and education or the other reasons listed above under 1d.

1e) To allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule

i) Please clearly state the objective of the activity and verify that this reason is being chosen as the objective of the activity does not match reasons a-d listed above.

N/A

ii) Please summarise how the activity will result in the taking or keeping of limited numbers of specimens of the species, how it will be applied on a selective basis and to a limited extent, and how it will be done under strictly supervised conditions.

N/A

Test 2: Absence of Alternative solutions

2. Please summarise the alternative solutions that have been considered and why these solutions are deemed unsatisfactory. This must include the option of the "do-nothing" alternative and evidence should be objective and robust. Note that in all cases further information must be provided in the format set out in Part E: Template for Supporting Information.

Alternative Solution	Reasons for "Unsatisfactory"
Do-Nothing	The site is a disused, partially derelict former convent in a peri-urban area. Non-development of the site would fail to achieve the public interest objectives of the proposed development (housing delivery, brownfield regeneration) and would not address the ongoing deterioration of the built structure. In addition significant trespassing issues and antisocial behaviour occurs on site, the building itself has substantial health and safety risk to trespassers. This alternative is not satisfactory.
Redesign to avoid all bat roost features	The day and night roost features are located in the main convent building, specifically at a landing within a core part of the building and within the roof void at the top of the building to the northwest. These are integral to the building envelope. Any refurbishment or works to the roof — which are necessary to make the building weathertight and habitable — would inevitably affect these features. It is not

	physically feasible to exclude all roost areas from the scope of works.
Retain roost features in place within refurbished building	The engineering requirements of the proposed works (roof refurbishment, structural repairs) are not compatible with retention of the night roost within the landing. However the proposals can integrate the current day roost on site which is used by between 1 and plausibly 7 bats. A bat loft and an access route has been designed into the development to allow continued use of the site during the operational phase. In addition works will be timed to take place when Lesser Horseshoe are not anticipated to be using the site (ie. Between 1st Nov 26 and 15th March 27). Therefore the roost feature should be ready in time for use in the next summer season.

* Please insert additional rows above if needed

Test 3: Impact of a Derogation on Conservation Status

3. Please summarise the possible impacts on the population of the species that is subject to this application, taking into account all the mitigation and/or compensation measures that are to be undertaken. Evidence that such mitigation has been successful elsewhere should be provided

The Lesser Horseshoe is assessed as Least Concern on the Irish Red List (Marnell et al. 2019), however it's European Status is Near Threatened and it is strictly protected as an Annex II species. The site supports a night and day roost supporting 1-7 bats assessed as having moderate conservation significance per IWM 134 (Marnell et al. 2022).

Impact on conservation status:
A hibernacula has been built as per Bat-Der 334 which offers replacement night roosting. The day roost is proposed to be incorporated into the main fabric of the proposed development by the 15th March ie when the bats would expect to emerge from hibernation. The proposals would therefore mean that there is no anticipated loss of day or night roosting provision for the species in the area.

n.b
The NPWS should also note that this application is adjacent to mitigation measures for a neighbouring application (Bat-Der 334 (2025), former schoolhouse) for which a proposed Lesser Horseshoe hibernacula was identified as a required mitigation measure and occurs in the vicinity of this application site. A consultation is requested to discuss proposed mitigation measures and the need (if any) for a derogation for the commuting corridor in the vicinity of a feature designed to be a hibernacula installed as a mitigation measure for an adjacent development.

where relevant. Mitigation measures being relied upon must ensure that the derogation will not be detrimental to the maintenance of the populations of the species to which the Habitats Directive relates at a favourable conservation status in their natural range. Note that in all cases further information must be provided in the format set out in Part E: Template for Supporting Information.

Part E: Template for Supporting Information

This application form should provide a summary of the evidence that the applicant has provided. In all cases, it is necessary to provide separate supporting information so that the assessment of the application can be undertaken in a robust and comprehensive manner. Applicants should refer to guidance provided by the NPWS and the European Commission whilst preparing this application form and the supporting information.

It is essential that supporting information is prepared in a consistent manner using the template below so that NPWS officials assessing the application can locate the relevant evidence to determine if the three Tests can be met. Failure to provide sufficient evidence will result in the application being refused.

The structure of the Supporting Information should be as follows:

1) Table of Contents

2) Introduction
    a. Objective of the proposed works (for example, as part of construction of a national road, repair of roofing, undertaking surveys etc.)
    b. Name, qualifications and relevant experience of scientific staff, including trainees, (e.g. ecologist) involved in the preparation of the application and those responsible for carrying out the proposed activity.
    c. If this application is for the carrying out of surveys that may cause disturbance, qualifications of all involved must be provided and trainees must be clearly identified.

3) Background to proposed activity including location, ownership, type of and need for the proposed activity, planning history, policy context, zoning in relevant Development plan (or equivalent), etc.

4) Full details of proposed activity to be covered by the derogation (including a site plan). The site may be inspected by an NPWS representative, so the details given should clearly reflect the extent of the project. This information will be used to compare site conditions with the Method Statement.

5) Ecological Survey and site assessment (Not required for applications to carry out surveys)
    a. Pre-existing information on species at location and environs.
    b. Status of the species in the local/regional area (relevant to the consideration of the impact on the population at the relevant geographic scale (Test 3))
    c. Objective(s) of survey
    d. Description of Surveys Area
    e. Survey methodology (including evidence as to how the methodology represents best practice and is appropriate to the Objective). Methodology should include survey maps,

details of timing, climate, equipment used and identify any uncertainties or difficulties encountered.

f. Survey results including raw data, any processed or aggregated data, and negative results as appropriate. Photographs and maps must be provided where site-specific features are referred.
g. Population size class assessment.

6) Evidence to support the Derogation Tests

a. Test 1 - Reason for Derogation:
    i. There should be a clear explanation as to why a specific reason(s) has been selected in the application form.

    ii. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published by the NPWS 'Guidance on Applications for Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: Guidance for Applicants" with specific reference to Section 3.1.
b. Test 2 - Absence of Alternative Solutions
    i. Applicants must list the alternatives to the proposed activity that have been considered, including the do-nothing alternatives in a clear and objective manner. A basic requirement is that these alternatives should be compared in terms of their impact on the species subject to strict protection. It should be clear to NPWS officials as to why the chosen approach has been selected.
    ii. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published by 'Guidance on Applications for Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: Guidance for Applicants" with specific reference to Section 3.2.
c. Test 3 - Impact of a derogation on Conservation Status
    i. Applicants should include details of the population at the appropriate geographic scale and an evaluation of how the proposed activity will affect the conservation status both before and after mitigation measures have been applied.
    ii. Full and detailed descriptions of proposed mitigation measures that are relevant to the potential impact on the target species. Evidence that such mitigation has been successful elsewhere should be provided, where available.
    iii. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published 'Guidance on Applications for Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: Guidance for Applicants" with specific reference to Section 3.3.

7) Monitoring the impacts of the derogations
    a. Applicants must include details of how they propose to verify whether the derogations have been implemented correctly and whether they achieved their objective, using scientifically based evidence, and, if necessary, how the applicant will take corrective measures where required.
    b. Applicants should provide details of proposed reports to be submitted to the NPWS including the results of monitoring.
    c. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published by the European Commission "Guidance document on the strict protection of animal species of Community interest under the Habitats Directive" with specific reference to Section 3.4.

Part F. Declaration

I declare that all of the foregoing particulars are, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true and correct. I understand that the deliberate killing, injuring, capturing or disturbing of protected species, or damage or destruction of their breeding sites or resting places or the deliberate taking or destroying of eggs is an offence without a derogation and that it is a legal requirement to comply with the conditions of any derogation I may be granted following this application. I understand that NPWS may visit to check compliance with a derogation.

Please note that under Regulation 5 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011-2021 an authorised officer may enter and inspect any land or premises for the purposes of performing any of their functions under these Regulations or for obtaining any information which they may require for such purposes.

Signature of the Applicant  **Date** 15/10/26

Name in BLOCK LETTERS CONOR MCKINNEY

PRIVACY STATEMENT

See Privacy Statement at www.npws.ie/licences

npws.ie

Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage

An Roinn Tithíochta,
Rialtais Áitiúil agus Oidhreachta
Department of Housing,
Local Government and Heritage