NPWS
An tSeirbhís Páirceanna Náisiúnta agus Fiadhúlra
National Parks and Wildlife Service

Application for Derogation

Under Regulation 54 & 54A of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011, as amended

Revision 2.0 – July 2025



- This form can be used by any individual or Company applying for a derogation under Regulation 54 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011 ("the Regulations") **or** any individual applying on behalf of the Minister for Housing, Local Government and Heritage under Regulation 54(A) of the Regulations.

- Note this application form is not for Domestic Dwelling Derogations (bats within private homes) which can be found here > (3D Application Form)

- Please ensure that you answer questions fully in order to avoid delays and/or your application being rejected on the basis that it does not contain sufficient information and detail for the application to be considered further.

- Please read and familiarise yourself with the NPWS Guidance on Applications for Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: Guidance for Applicants

- Please read and familiarise yourself with the European Commission's Guidance document on the strict protection of animal species of Community interest under the Habitats Directive

- Please also note that the responses to these questions are supplementary to the documentation required for the NPWS to be in a position to consider your application. A complete application should include both the application form and an associated report. Failure to supply either will result in your application being returned and/or refused.

- In circumstances in which a derogation is given on foot of this application, the Applicant is responsible for ensuring compliance with the conditions of any such derogation, even though they may employ another person to act on their behalf. To carry out any activity without, or not in accordance with, a derogation granted under regulation 54 or 54A of the Regulations constitutes a criminal offence, subject to prosecution.

- If you experience any problems filling in this form, please contact the Wildlife Licensing Unit: reg54derogations@npws.gov.ie

- Please note – applications, associated reports and derogations will be published on the NPWS website and/or the Department's Open Data website.

- Where any applicant is applying for a derogation to carry out surveys, please ensure to list all qualified ecologists and trainees under their supervision. See section 1(c) of Part A.

Part A: The Applicant - Personal Details

These questions relate to the person responsible for any proposed works and who will be the **Applicant**.
If this application is being submitted on behalf of a third party, please also complete Part B below.

1. (a) Name of Applicant

Title (Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms/Dr)	Forename(s)	Surname
Mr	Paul	O'Brien
(b) Company Name, if applicable		
(c) Address Line 1	2 Station Court	
Address Line 2	Station Road	
Town	Bennettsbridge	
County	Kilkenny	
Eircode		
(d) Contact number	[redacted]	
(e) Email address	[redacted]	
(f) Address where works are to be carried out if different from (b) above.		
Address Line 1	Somerton House	
Address Line 2	Somerton Court	
Town	Graiguecullen	
County	Carlow	
Eircode	n/a	

Details of Person Submitting Application on Behalf of Applicant/Derogation Holder

Information relating to the person (e.g. ecologist) responsible for submitting the application on behalf of the applicant should be entered below:

1. (b) Name of Person/Ecologist

Title (Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms/Dr)	Forename(s)	Surname
Ms	Isabel	Carr
(b) Company Name	Panther Environmental Solutions Ltd	
Address Line 1	Units 1, 3 & 4 Innovation Centre	
Address Line 2	SE Technological University, Green Road	
Town	Carlow	
County	Carlow	
Eircode	R93 W248	
(c) Contact number	[redacted]	
(d) Email address	[redacted]	
(e) Relationship to Applicant	Ecologist	

For Survey Derogations Only

1. (c) Please Indicate the Names to Appear on the Derogation Along with the Position Held e.g. Supervisor/Trainee

Forename(s)	Surname	Supervisor or Trainee

Part B: Species covered by the Derogation

1. **Species of Animal:** Please indicate which species is/are the subject of the application:

- Bat ☒
- Otter ☐
- Kerry Slug ☐
- Natterjack Toad ☐
- Dolphin ☐
- Whale ☐
- Turtle ☐
- Porpoise ☐

2. Please detail the exact species (scientific name): Common Pipistrelle (*Pipistrellus pipistrellus*), Soprano Pipistrelle (Pipistrellus *pygmaeus*

3. Please provide the maximum number of individuals affected* 3

4. Please provide the maximum number of breeding or resting sites affected* 2

5. Please provide the maximum number of eggs to be taken* n/a

6. Please provide the maximum number of eggs to be destroyed* n/a

*If no figures can be provided for the maximum number of individuals, breeding sites, resting places and eggs to be covered by the derogation please provide reasons why.

7. **Species of Plant:** Please indicate which species is/are the subject of the application:

- Killarney Fern ☐
- Slender Naiad ☐
- Marsh Saxifrage ☐

8. If you previously received a derogation for any species of animal or plant, please state derogation number and confirm that you have made a return to NPWS on the numbers actually affected by that derogation.

n/a

9. **Proposed Dates for Activities:** Please indicate the timeframe that you propose to carry out the activities. Dates set by NPWS may differ from dates proposed here. *A derogation will only be issued with a start and end date within a calendar year.*

Start Date:	January 2027
End Date:	December 2027

Part C: Nature of the Derogation.

1. Please tick which prohibition(s) the application for a derogation relates to:

Regulation 51	
Deliberately capture or kill any specimen of the relevant species in the wild	☐
Deliberately disturb these species particularly during the period of breeding, rearing, hibernation and migration	☐
Deliberately take or destroy eggs of the relevant species in the wild	☐
Damage or destroy a breeding or resting place of such an animal, or	☒
Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of the relevant species taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in Article 12(2) of the Habitats Directive.	☐
Regulation 52	
Deliberately pick, collect, cut, uproot or destroy any specimen of these species in the wild, or	☐
Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of these species taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in Article 13(1)(b) of the Habitats Directive.	☐

Further information should be provided in the format set out in Part E: Template for Supporting Information

Part D: Derogation Tests

Note: The following <u>summary</u> information must be provided by the applicant in all cases, and will be used to determine if a derogation can be provided. Further information must be provided in the format set out in Part E: Template for Supporting Information

Test 1: Reason for the Derogation

1. Please tick which reason(s) below explains how this application qualifies under Regulation 54(2)(a-e) or Regulation 54A(2)(a-e) of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations: Please provide a summary of how the application meets the 3 conditions required to provide a derogation. Note that in all cases additional information must be provided (see Part E).

a.	In the interests of protecting wild flora and fauna and conserving natural habitats **(proceed to 1a)**	☐
b.	To prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and other types of property **(proceed to 1b)**	☐
c.	In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment **(proceed to 1c)**	☒
d.	For the purpose of research and education, of re-populating and re-introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including artificial propagation of plants **(proceed to 1d)**	☐
e.	To allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule **(proceed to 1e)**	☐

1a. In the interests of protecting wild flora and fauna and conserving natural habitats:

i) Please state the wild flora, fauna or habitats that require protection and /or conservation.

ii) Please summarise how the interests of protection and conservation of the species/habitat concerned justify affecting another species under strict protection.

1b) To prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and other types of property:

i) Please summarise the nature of the potential damage, why it is considered “serious” and how this outweighs the conservation interest of the species under strict protection.

1c) In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment:

i) Where the reason is for public health and public safety, summarise the evidence provided to support this reason (e.g. documentary evidence of the risk from a chartered structural engineer, tree surgeon, Garda Síochána, qualified health professional etc.)

ii) Where the reason is for "other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment", summarise the nature of the public interest and how this outweighs the conservation interest of the species under strict protection.

The proposed development will involve the renovation of the existing derelict Somerton House and associated outbuildings to deliver 2no. 2 bed apartments and 2no. 1 bed apartments at Somerton House, Somerton Court, Graiguecullen.

The proposed development relates to the renovation of the existing Somerton House and partial demolition/renovation of the associated outbuildings to the rear of Somerton House. The proposed development would result in the provision of 2no. 2 bed apartments and 2no. 1 bed apartments. The Carlow County Development Plan 2022-2028 has a target of 3,107 total new residential units to be delivered across the county over the lifetime of the plan. The proposed development will aid in meeting this housing target.

Therefore, the development will aid in fulfilling the housing need and as such is of public interest of a social and economic nature.

In addition, the existing Somerton House is state of disrepair with the internal structure of the house presenting a health and safety risk. Historical evidence of anti-social behaviour is present on site and due to the site's location with the urban area of Carlow/Graiguecullen there is a likelihood of further anti-social behaviour onsite presenting a significant health and safety risk to the public.

Therefore, the proposed development to renovate Somerton House would also be in the interest of public safety.

1d) For the purpose of research and education, of re-populating and re-introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including artificial propagation of plants:

i) Please summarise the objective(s) of the proposed activities making reference to those listed above and how the the purpose of such activities overrides the interests of strict protection of the species. [1]

[1] Note that this reason may be appropriate for when research involves surveys that may cause disturbance of species under strict protection. But the sole purpose of the surveys should be for research and education or the other reasons listed above under 1d.

1e) To allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule

i) Please clearly state the objective of the activity and verify that this reason is being chosen as the objective of the activity does not match reasons a-d listed above.

ii) Please summarise how the activity will result in the taking or keeping of limited numbers of specimens of the species, how it will be applied on a selective basis and to a limited extent, and how it will be done under strictly supervised conditions.

Test 2: Absence of Alternative solutions

2. Please summarise the alternative solutions that have been considered and why these solutions are deemed unsatisfactory. This must include the option of the "do-nothing" alternative and evidence should be objective and robust. Note that in all cases further information must be provided in the format set out in Part E: Template for Supporting Information.

Alternative Solution	Reasons for "Unsatisfactory"
Do-Nothing Scenario	Under the do nothing scenario the existing Somerton House and associated outbuildings would remain with no works undertaken to facilitate the residential development. It is likely that Somerton House and the outbuildings would further deteriorate over time becoming less suitable for roosting bats particularly due to the potential for water ingress to the rear of Somerton House. Under the do nothing scenario the buildings in their current state will continue to pose a risk to public health and safety. Additionally, the failure to undertake the works would

	result in the loss of an opportunity to provide residential accommodation through the reuse of an existing structure. This scenario is therefore unsatisfactory
Demolition of existing Somerton House and Outbuildings and no new development	This scenario would result in the demolition of the existing buildings removing the risk to public health and safety. However, under this scenario the bat roosts would similarly be lost and would be subject to a derogation licence. This would also not allow for the provision of residential development and is therefore unsatisfactory.
Retaining Existing Roost Feature in Situ	Alternative approaches were considered to allow for the retention of the roost features in situ. However, the proposed renovation works will require repair and replacement of elements of the building fabric, including areas where roost access points and potential roost features occur. Therefore, the retention of roost features cannot be achieved while delivering the proposed development and would prevent the necessary structural repairs and building alterations required to secure the long-term structural integrity of the buildings and to make the structure habitable.
Alternative Location	The proposed development involves the renovation and reuse of existing buildings. The relocation of the development to an alternative site would not allow for the restoration and long-term safeguarding of the existing Somerton House and outbuildings. Additionally, the relocation of the proposed development to an alternative site may result in additional impacts as a result of new construction or land take
Chosen Option	The chosen option was the renovation of the existing Somerton House and the partial demolition/renovation of the outbuildings.

	Provision of alternative roosting habitats as well as incorporated mitigation measures will ensure the maintenance of the species conservation status.

* Please insert additional rows above if needed

Test 3: Impact of a Derogation on Conservation Status

3. Please summarise the possible impacts on the population of the species that is subject to this application, taking into account all the mitigation and/or compensation measures that are to be undertaken. Evidence that such mitigation has been successful elsewhere should be provided where relevant. Mitigation measures being relied upon must ensure that the derogation will not be detrimental to the maintenance of the populations of the species to which the Habitats Directive relates at a favourable conservation status in their natural range. Note that in all cases further information must be provided in the format set out in Part E: Template for Supporting Information.

Bat surveys undertaken in May 2026 identified the presence of small Common Pipistrelle and Soprano Pipistrelle day roosts with Somerton House and the outbuildings. The emergence surveys recorded 3 no. of emerging bats over the two survey nights. The surveys did not identify a roost of higher conservation significance such as a maternity or hibernation roost.

Potential impacts arising from the proposed development include the loss or alteration of roosting features currently used by the Pipistrelle bats as well as disturbance or mortality during the demolition/construction phase of the development.

Mitigation measures have been designed in respect to the proposed development to prevent bat mortality, minimise disturbance and ensure no net loss of roosting habitat (as detailed in Section 6 of the Bat Survey Report).

Common and Soprano Pipistrelle are both widespread species, commonly encountered during bat surveys. As per Article 17 reporting (NPWS,2025) the overall conservation status of both species is Favourable and Improving. The confirmed roosts are considered to be of local significance only and do not represent a roost of higher conservation significance.

The proposed mitigation and compensation measures (as detailed in Section 6 of the Bat Survey Report) are considered to be sufficient for the maintenance of the local Soprano and Common Pipistrelle populations at a Favourable conservation status.

Part E: Template for Supporting Information

This application form should provide a summary of the evidence that the applicant has provided. In all cases, it is necessary to provide separate supporting information so that the assessment of the application can be undertaken in a robust and comprehensive manner. Applicants should refer to guidance provided by the NPWS and the European Commission whilst preparing this application form and the supporting information.

It is essential that supporting information is prepared in a consistent manner using the template below so that NPWS officials assessing the application can locate the relevant evidence to determine if the three Tests can be met. Failure to provide sufficient evidence will result in the application being refused.

The structure of the Supporting Information should be as follows:

1) Table of Contents

2) Introduction
    a. Objective of the proposed works (for example, as part of construction of a national road, repair of roofing, undertaking surveys etc.)
    b. Name, qualifications and relevant experience of scientific staff, including trainees, (e.g. ecologist) involved in the preparation of the application and those responsible for carrying out the proposed activity.
    c. If this application is for the carrying out of surveys that may cause disturbance, qualifications of all involved must be provided and trainees must be clearly identified.

3) Background to proposed activity including location, ownership, type of and need for the proposed activity, planning history, policy context, zoning in relevant Development plan (or equivalent), etc.

4) Full details of proposed activity to be covered by the derogation (including a site plan). The site may be inspected by an NPWS representative, so the details given should clearly reflect the extent of the project. This information will be used to compare site conditions with the Method Statement.

5) Ecological Survey and site assessment (Not required for applications to carry out surveys)
    a. Pre-existing information on species at location and environs.
    b. Status of the species in the local/regional area (relevant to the consideration of the impact on the population at the relevant geographic scale (Test 3))
    c. Objective(s) of survey
    d. Description of Surveys Area
    e. Survey methodology (including evidence as to how the methodology represents best practice and is appropriate to the Objective). Methodology should include survey maps, details of timing, climate, equipment used and identify any uncertainties or difficulties encountered.
    f. Survey results including raw data, any processed or aggregated data, and negative results as appropriate. Photographs and maps must be provided where site-specific features are referred.
    g. Population size class assessment.

6) Evidence to support the Derogation Tests

    a. Test 1 - Reason for Derogation:
        i. There should be a clear explanation as to why a specific reason(s) has been selected in the application form.

ii. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published by the NPWS 'Guidance on Applications for Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: Guidance for Applicants" with specific reference to Section 3.1.

b. Test 2 - Absence of Alternative Solutions
   i. Applicants must list the alternatives to the proposed activity that have been considered, including the do-nothing alternatives in a clear and objective manner. A basic requirement is that these alternatives should be compared in terms of their impact on the species subject to strict protection. It should be clear to NPWS officials as to why the chosen approach has been selected.
   ii. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published by 'Guidance on Applications for Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: Guidance for Applicants" with specific reference to Section 3.2.

c. Test 3 - Impact of a derogation on Conservation Status
   i. Applicants should include details of the population at the appropriate geographic scale and an evaluation of how the proposed activity will affect the conservation status both before and after mitigation measures have been applied.
   ii. Full and detailed descriptions of proposed mitigation measures that are relevant to the potential impact on the target species. Evidence that such mitigation has been successful elsewhere should be provided, where available.
   iii. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published 'Guidance on Applications for Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: Guidance for Applicants" with specific reference to Section 3.3.

7) Monitoring the impacts of the derogations
   a. Applicants must include details of how they propose to verify whether the derogations have been implemented correctly and whether they achieved their objective, using scientifically based evidence, and, if necessary, how the applicant will take corrective measures where required.
   b. Applicants should provide details of proposed reports to be submitted to the NPWS including the results of monitoring.
   c. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published by the European Commission "Guidance document on the strict protection of animal species of Community interest under the Habitats Directive" with specific reference to Section 3.4.

Part F. Declaration

I declare that all of the foregoing particulars are, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true and correct. I understand that the deliberate killing, injuring, capturing or disturbing of protected species, or damage or destruction of their breeding sites or resting places or the deliberate taking or destroying of eggs is an offence without a derogation and that it is a legal requirement to comply with the conditions of any derogation I may be granted following this application. I understand that NPWS may visit to check compliance with a derogation.

Please note that under Regulation 5 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011-2021 an authorised officer may enter and inspect any land or premises for the purposes of performing any of their functions under these Regulations or for obtaining any information which they may require for such purposes.

Signature of the Applicant  **Date** 11/09/2026

Name in BLOCK LETTERS PAUL O'BRIEN

PRIVACY STATEMENT

See Privacy Statement at www.npws.ie/licences

npws.ie

Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage

An Roinn Tithíochta,
Rialtais Áitiúil agus Oidhreachta
Department of Housing,
Local Government and Heritage