NPWS An tSeirbhís Páirceanna Náisiúnta agus Fiadhúlra National Parks and Wildlife Service

Derogation Number
DER-BAT-2026-30

EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES (BIRDS AND NATURAL HABITATS) REGULATIONS, 2011 (S.I. No 477 of 2011)

DEROGATION

Granted under Regulation 54 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011, hereinafter referred to as "the Habitats Regulations".

The Minister for Housing, Local Government & Heritage, in exercise of the powers conferred on him by Regulation 54 of the Habitats Regulations hereby grants to **Cathal O' Connor** of **Beldare House, Harmony Hill, Sligo, County Sligo, F91 Y22D** a derogation. It is stated that this derogation is issued:

A. In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment
B. As there is no satisfactory alternative, and the action authorised by this derogation will not be detrimental to the maintenance of the population of **bats** referred to below at a favourable conservation status in their natural range.

This derogation authorises the following:
1. Roost disturbance
2. Actions authorised within the derogation

The derogation is issued in respect of the following **bat species**:

- **Common Pipistrelle** **Pipistrellus Pipistrellus**

Terms and Conditions

1. This derogation is granted solely to allow the activities specified in connection with the works located at **the former Garda Station (location shown on Fig 3. & Fig. 4 in the accompanying report), The Murrough, Corporation Murragh, Wicklow, County Wicklow, A67 YY27** for **Cathal O' Connor**
2. All activities authorised by this derogation, and all equipment used in connection herewith, shall be carried out, constructed and maintained (as the case may be) so as to avoid unnecessary injury or distress to any species of **BAT**. Anything done other than in accordance with the terms of this derogation may constitute an offence
3. This derogation may be modified or revoked, for stated reasons, at any time. In addition, the Minister reserves the right to revoke the derogation where updated information indicates that the basis upon which the derogation was granted has materially changed.
4. The mitigation measures outlined in the application report **(Proposed Mix-Use Development on the Murrough, Wicklow, Co. Wicklow Bat Survey Report for Derogation Licence Application)** together with any changes or clarification agreed in correspondence between NPWS and the agent or applicant, are to be carried out. Strict adherence must be paid to all the proposed measures in the application.
5. The actions which this derogation authorise shall be completed between **9th February – 16th March 2026, inclusive.**
6. The works will be supervised by bat ecologist(s): **Kelly Macken**
7. If this derogation addresses works that are subject of a planning application, no such works permitted under this derogation can occur until planning permission is granted.
8. If this derogation expires prior to works permitted under this derogation commencing, a new application must be sought in advance, including the provision of any updated data or reports.
9. This derogation shall be produced for inspection on a request being made on that behalf by a member of An Garda Síochána or an authorised NPWS officer appointed under Regulation 4 of the Habitats Regulations.
10. The local **NPWS District Conservation Officer – John Griffin,** john.griffin@npws.gov.ie**,** must be contacted prior to the commencement of any activity, and if bats are detected on site during the course of the work, under the terms of this derogation.
11. On completion of the actions which this derogation authorises, all recordings of bat species affected will be made using the standardised Returns form and must be submitted to the NPWS **within four weeks of the expiry date of this derogation**. Included with the Returns form, a report will also be submitted to wildlife.reports@npws.gov.ie detailing results of works and success of mitigation. **Both documents must be submitted to constitute a derogation return.**

For the Minister for Housing, Local Government & Heritage

(an officer authorised by the Minister to sign on his behalf)

12 January 2026

Any query in relation to this derogation should be sent to reg54derogations@npws.gov.ie

Derogation Assessment

Name of Applicant: Cathal O' Connor

Location/Name of Project: The Murrough, County Wicklow (Roost 1 - Garda Station)

Tick the following prohibition as chosen on the application:

(a) Deliberately capture or kill any specimen of the relevant species in the wild	☐
(b) Deliberately disturb these species particularly during the period of breeding, rearing, hibernation and migration	☒
(c) Deliberately take or destroy eggs of the relevant species in the wild	☐
(d) Damage or destroy a breeding or resting place of such an animal, or	☒
(e) Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of the relevant species taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in Article 12(2) of the Habitats Directive.	☐
(a) Deliberately pick, collect, cut, uproot or destroy any specimen of these species in the wild, or	☐
(b) Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of these species taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in Article 13(1)(b) of the Habitats Directive.	☐

Test 1: A reason(s) listed in Regulation 54 (a)-(e) applies to the proposed activity

i. Tick which reason <u>the applicant claims</u> should be applied to the derogation

(a) In the interests of protecting wild flora and fauna and conserving natural habitats,	☐
(b) To prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and other types of property	☐
(c) In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment,	☒
(d) For the purpose of research and education, of re-populating and re-introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including artificial propagation of plants, or	☐
(e) To allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule.	☐

ii. Test 1: Conclusion

Please tick the following where it applies:

There is a valid reason(s) listed in Regulation 54 (a)-(e) which applies to the proposed activity:	Yes	☒
	No	☐

Please outline your analysis below and state how the applicant has provided evidence to support your conclusion:

The application form and associated documentation provided by the applicant has been reviewed in full. The application relies on regulation 54(2)(c) '*in the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment*' as the reason chosen for a derogation that they believe applies to the proposed activity.

In the detail provided, it is clear that the applicants are relying on the imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature aspect of Reason C. As outlined on page two of the accompanying report, the derogation is required to assist with proposed works at a site in the Murrough area, east of Wicklow town, Co. Wicklow. The objective of the works involves the demolishing of all existing buildings at the site to facilitate the construction of a mixed-use housing development and associated works.

The report further outlines that the demolition of the structure identified as the derelict Guard station is necessary to ensure connectivity between areas of the site, noted as a key component of the planning permission and considered integral for the construction of the housing development. The proposed development supports the delivery of new housing in Wicklow Town, addresses local housing need, while providing social and economic benefits through employment and community investment.

The applicants have provided evidence as to the nature and scale of the public interest including those of a social or economic nature for the proposed works and the proposed activity is necessary to achieve these overall objectives. Based on the above this application has passed Test 1 and can now proceed to Test 2.

Test 2: Absence of a satisfactory alternative

Please tick the following where it applies and add a comment below to support the recommendation:

The applicant has provided satisfactory evidence that alternative solutions have been considered and have given reasons why the proposed approach is the only satisfactory alternative:	Yes	☒
	No	☐

Please outline your analysis below and state how the applicant has provided evidence to support your conclusion (If you wish to add additional conditions please complete pg. 6):

The documentation submitted by the applicant has been reviewed, including the evidence for alternative solutions.

The purpose of the derogation is to allow the following activity to take place: works at **The Murrough, County Wicklow (Garda Station)** involving both demolition of the building and development of the area.

The specific situation that needs to be addressed is the presence of bats on site and how works will impact on them.

The alternative solutions suggested by the applicant are: Do nothing or complete the planned works with a number of mitigation measures.

The alternative solutions suggested by the applicant are;

1. **"Do-Nothing" scenario** A Do Nothing approach is not satisfactory. This building and surrounding buildings are derelict and contain hazardous materials such as asbestos. The development of the area is necessary for the public health interest. Failure to redevelop and improve the area will lead to long term negative impacts from hazardous materials as they further break down and the buildings continue to deteriorate.

   The do nothing approach should not be applied.

2. **Alternative** Demolish the other buildings but retain the Garda Station. The hazardous materials would still remain on site and the inhabitants of the new developments would still be at risk. It would also restrict movement around the development and negatively impact on the benefits of the proposed development. Retention of this building is not a viable alternative.

3. **Use building as site office.** The proposed use of the building as a temporary site office during demolition and construction is beneficial in that it could along with the mitigation measures provide a roost for any unknown bat roosts find refuge. The building will be demolished in time and conservation works and mitigation measures set out in the applicants report ensure the protection of the bats during the works and the long-term conservation of roosting locations within the development area itself.

I can’t see any other viable option that could have been included, the works are necessary.

Having looked at all possible solutions I conclude that every effort is being made to protect the bats during construction. Regional staff agree with these actions. Having assessed the possible solutions to solve the applicant’s problem against the effects of a derogation on the species concerned, it is concluded that the application has passed Test 2 and can proceed to Test 3.

Upon completion of your assessment, please return this Recommendation to WLU to continue the application process.

Test 3: Impact of a derogation on conservation status of the species

Please tick the following where it applies and add a comment below to support the recommendation:

The derogation would NOT be detrimental to the maintenance of the populations of the species in question at a favourable conservation status in their natural range.	Yes	☒
	No	☐

Please outline your analysis below and state how the applicant has provided evidence to support your conclusion. (If you wish to add additional conditions please complete pg. 6)

A minor roost of common pipistrelles was identified on site with further evidence of soprano pipistrelles and Leisler's bat using the site, although no actual roosting sites were identified for these latter.

These three species are the most widespread and abundant bats in Ireland. They are well adapted to human environments and are quick to change roost and find new roosts. They are all in favourable conservation status. Providing the mitigation measures outlined in the report are implemented in detail there should be no impact on the conservation status of these species in the area

<u>If the answer above is Yes then the derogation may be granted, providing Tests 1 and 2 have also been met.</u>

<u>Upon completion of your assessment, please return this Recommendation to WLU to continue the application process.</u>

Derogation decision

The application for a derogation under Regulation 54 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations, 2011 (S.I. 477 of 2011), as amended, has been assessed by officials in the Department and the following decision has been made:

Tick box where appropriate:

There is no satisfactory alternative	☒
and the derogation is not detrimental to the maintenance of the populations of the species to which the Habitats Directive relates at a favourable conservation status in their natural range.	☒

Therefore, a derogation may be granted to the applicant, since it is—

(a) in the interests of protecting wild fauna and flora and conserving natural habitats	☐
(b) to prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and other types of property,	☐
(c) in the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment,	☒
(d) for the purpose of research and education, of repopulating and re-introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including the artificial propagation of plants, or	☐
(e) to allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule.	☐
OR **This application has been refused as one or more of the conditions set out above have not been met**	☐

The following conditions should be attached to the derogation:

Signed: Date: January 12, 2026

Position: Ecologist