



Terms and Conditions

1. This derogation is granted solely to allow the activities specified in connection with the works located at **Toehead, Castletownshend, County Cork** for **Kathleen Murphy**
2. All activities authorised by this derogation, and all equipment used in connection herewith, shall be carried out, constructed and maintained (as the case may be) so as to avoid unnecessary injury or distress to any species of **BAT**. Anything done other than in accordance with the terms of this derogation may constitute an offence
3. This derogation may be modified or revoked, for stated reasons, at any time. In addition, the Minister reserves the right to revoke the derogation where updated information indicates that the basis upon which the derogation was granted has materially changed.
4. The mitigation measures outlined in the application report (**Bat Survey and Assessment Toe Head Castletownshend Co. Cork**) together with any changes or clarification agreed in correspondence between NPWS and the agent or applicant, are to be carried out. Strict adherence must be paid to all the proposed measures in the application.
5. The actions which this derogation authorise shall be completed between **1st October – 31st December 2026, inclusive**.
6. The works will be supervised by bat ecologist(s): **Karen Banks**
7. Alteration and demolition works to the existing dwelling shall occur between 1 September and 1 May.
8. Immediately prior to works taking place on the building, a dusk emergence survey or daytime inspection of the building is to take place. Should any bats be located, then a qualified bat ecologist is to produce full mitigation measures and supervise the measures to ensure no bats are harmed.
9. A suitable bat box is to be installed on the eastern side of the building. The box is to be chosen by a bat ecologist who should also supervise installation of the box.
10. No external lighting is to be installed on the eastern side of the building.
11. If this derogation addresses works that are subject of a planning application, no such works permitted under this derogation can occur until planning permission is granted.
12. If this derogation expires prior to works permitted under this derogation commencing, a new application must be sought in advance, including the provision of any updated data or reports.
13. This derogation shall be produced for inspection on a request being made on that behalf by a member of An Garda Síochána or an authorised NPWS officer appointed under Regulation 4 of the Habitats Regulations.
14. The local **NPWS Conservation Ranger – David Rees**, david.rees@npws.gov.ie, must be contacted prior to the commencement of any activity, and if bats are detected on site during the course of the work, under the terms of this derogation.
15. On completion of the actions which this derogation authorises, all recordings of bat species affected will be made using the standardised Returns form and must be submitted to the NPWS **within four weeks of the expiry date of this derogation**. Included with the Returns form, a report will also be submitted to wildlife.reports@npws.gov.ie detailing results of works and success of mitigation. **Both documents must be submitted to constitute a derogation return.**



NPWS

An tSeirbhís Páircanna
Náisiúnta agus Fiadhúlra
National Parks and Wildlife
Service

For the Minister for Housing, Local Government & Heritage

(an officer authorised by the Minister to sign on his behalf)

22 April 2026

Any query in relation to this derogation should be sent to reg54derogations@npws.gov.ie





Derogation Assessment

Name of Applicant: Kathleen Murphy

Location/Name of Project: Toehead, Castletownshend, County Cork

Tick the following prohibition as chosen on the application:

(a) Deliberately capture or kill any specimen of the relevant species in the wild	<input type="checkbox"/>
(b) Deliberately disturb these species particularly during the period of breeding, rearing, hibernation and migration	<input type="checkbox"/>
(c) Deliberately take or destroy eggs of the relevant species in the wild	<input type="checkbox"/>
(d) Damage or destroy a breeding or resting place of such an animal, or	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(e) Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of the relevant species taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in Article 12(2) of the Habitats Directive.	<input type="checkbox"/>
(a) Deliberately pick, collect, cut, uproot or destroy any specimen of these species in the wild, or	<input type="checkbox"/>
(b) Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of these species taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in Article 13(1)(b) of the Habitats Directive.	<input type="checkbox"/>

Test 1: A reason(s) listed in Regulation 54 (a)-(e) applies to the proposed activity

i. Tick which reason the applicant claims should be applied to the derogation

(a) In the interests of protecting wild flora and fauna and conserving natural habitats,	<input type="checkbox"/>
(b) To prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and other types of property	<input type="checkbox"/>
(c) In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment,	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(d) For the purpose of research and education, of re-populating and re-introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including artificial propagation of plants, or	<input type="checkbox"/>
(e) To allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule.	<input type="checkbox"/>



ii. Test 1: Conclusion

Please tick the following where it applies:

There is a valid reason(s) listed in Regulation 54 (a)-(e) which applies to the proposed activity:	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please outline your analysis below and state how the applicant has provided evidence to support your conclusion:

The application form and associated documentation provided by the applicant has been reviewed in full. The application relies on regulation 54(2)(c) *'in the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment'* as the reason chosen for a derogation that they believe applies to the proposed activity.

In the detail provided, it is clear that the applicants are relying on the public health and public safety aspect of Reason C. As outlined in the accompanying report titled "Bat Survey Toehead", a derogation is required for proposed works at Toe Head, Castletownshend, Co. Cork.

The objective of the works involve the alteration and extension to an existing disused dwelling on the site including the demolition of current extensions and change of use to adjoining detached stone dwellings. The report further outlines that the building is falling into disrepair and this will continue without intervention becoming "beyond a reasonable cost to renovate"

The works will support social and economic development through the provision of local housing and will fulfil a housing need. The provision of housing in Ireland is at a critical juncture and the public interest of same can be balanced against the conservation aims of the Directive



Test 2: Absence of a satisfactory alternative

Please tick the following where it applies and add a comment below to support the recommendation:

The applicant has provided satisfactory evidence that alternative solutions have been considered and have given reasons why the proposed approach is the only satisfactory alternative:	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please outline your analysis below and state how the applicant has provided evidence to support your conclusion (If you wish to add additional conditions please complete pg. 6):

The documentation submitted by the applicant has been reviewed, including the evidence for alternative solutions.

The purpose of the derogation is to allow the following activity to take place: To damage or destroy a breeding or resting place of such an animal, namely a roost for two Common Pipistrelles.

The specific situation that needs to be addressed is: The house is due to be renovated which will destroy an existing roost for two Common Pipistrelles.

The alternative solutions suggested by the applicant are:

1. “Do-Nothing” scenario

That option is not feasible as the building is falling into disrepair and is subject to ingress of water and damage from wind and rain, if nothing is done it will fall further into disrepair Its renovation is required to facilitate construction of the new dwelling house. The existing building has not been used for several years and is deteriorating in condition, if left unrepaired it may become beyond a reasonable proportionate cost to renovate. I would agree with this assessment.

2. Alternative 1

An alternative location was considered; however, the proposed development is for the renovation of an existing dwelling, therefore an alternative location is not a feasible option. I would agree with this assessment and mitigation measures have been proposed. These measures need to be followed in full and are listed below on page 7 of this document.

The applicant has provided satisfactory evidence that alternative solutions have clearly been considered. As outlined on page 15 of the accompanying report a number of alternative solutions, including the “do-nothing alternative” were examined by the applicant.

Based on the assessment of the application documentation, it is regarded that the applicant has considered all available alternative solutions and at this time no other alternative solutions are apparent.

Having weighed the possible solutions to solve the applicant’s problem against the effects of a derogation on the species concerned, it is concluded that the application has passed Test 2 and can proceed to Test 3.

Upon completion of your assessment, please return this Recommendation to WLU to continue the application process.



Test 3: Impact of a derogation on conservation status of the species

Please tick the following where it applies and add a comment below to support the recommendation:

The derogation would NOT be detrimental to the maintenance of the populations of the species in question at a favourable conservation status in their natural range.	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please outline your analysis below and state how the applicant has provided evidence to support your conclusion. (If you wish to add additional conditions please complete pg. 6)

A small common pipistrelle roost is present within one of the buildings on site. This species is widespread and abundant in Ireland and is in favourable conservation status. This species is also adaptable and quick to occupy new roosting features.

Suitable and proportionate mitigation has been proposed, including the erection of bat boxes, timing of works, and sensitive lighting.

Providing these mitigation measures are implemented, I am satisfied that the works will not be detrimental to the maintenance of the populations of the species in question at a favourable conservation status in their natural range.

If the answer above is Yes then the derogation may be granted, providing Tests 1 and 2 have also been met.

Upon completion of your assessment, please return this Recommendation to WLU to continue the application process.



Derogation decision

The application for a derogation under Regulation 54 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations, 2011 (S.I. 477 of 2011), as amended, has been assessed by officials in the Department and the following decision has been made:

Tick box where appropriate:

There is no satisfactory alternative

and the derogation is not detrimental to the maintenance of the populations of the species to which the Habitats Directive relates at a favourable conservation status in their natural range.

Therefore, a derogation may be granted to the applicant, since it is—

(a) in the interests of protecting wild fauna and flora and conserving natural habitats

(b) to prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and other types of property,

(c) in the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment,

(d) for the purpose of research and education, of repopulating and re-introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including the artificial propagation of plants, or

(e) to allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule.

OR This application has been refused as one or more of the conditions set out above have not been met



The following conditions should be attached to the derogation:

1. Alteration and demolition works to the existing dwelling shall occur between 1 September and 1 May.
2. Immediately prior to works taking place on the building, a dusk emergence survey or daytime inspection of the building is to take place. Should any bats be located, then a qualified bat ecologist is to produce full mitigation measures and supervise the measures to ensure no bats are harmed.
3. A suitable bat box is to be installed on the eastern side of the building. The box is to be chosen by a bat ecologist who should also supervise installation of the box.
4. No external lighting is to be installed on the eastern side of the building

Signed:

Date: April 22, 2026

Position: Ecologist