

Derogation Number DER-BAT-2026-15

EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES (BIRDS AND NATURAL HABITATS) REGULATIONS, 2011 (S.I. No 477 of 2011)

DEROGATION

Granted under Regulation 54 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011, hereinafter referred to as "the Habitats Regulations".

The Minister for Housing, Local Government & Heritage, in exercise of the powers conferred on him by Regulation 54 of the Habitats Regulations hereby grants to **Pat Doherty** of **Doherty Environmental Consultants Ltd., Glanturkin, Guileen, Whitegate, County Cork, P25 K803** a derogation. It is stated that this derogation is issued:

- A. In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment
- B. As there is no satisfactory alternative, and the action authorised by this derogation will not be detrimental to the maintenance of the population of <u>bats</u> referred to below at a favourable conservation status in their natural range.

This derogation authorises the following:

- 1. Roost disturbance
- 2. Actions authorised within the derogation

The derogation is issued in respect of the following **bat species**:

Lesser Horseshoe Bat Rhinolophus Hipposideros



Terms and Conditions

- This derogation is granted solely to allow the activities specified in connection with the works located at Former Sisters of Mercy Convent site, Altamount Street, Westport, County Mayo for Pat Doherty
- 2. All activities authorised by this derogation, and all equipment used in connection herewith, shall be carried out, constructed and maintained (as the case may be) so as to avoid unnecessary injury or distress to any species of **BAT**. Anything done other than in accordance with the terms of this derogation may constitute an offence
- 3. This derogation may be modified or revoked, for stated reasons, at any time.
- 4. The mitigation measures outlined in the application report (**Bat Roost Survey Westport Convent Westport, Co. Mayo**), together with any changes or clarification agreed in correspondence between NPWS and the agent or applicant, are to be carried out. Strict adherence must be paid to all the proposed measures in the application.
- 5. The actions which this derogation authorise shall be completed between **1**st **January 31**st **December 2026, inclusive.**
- 6. The works will be supervised by bat ecologist(s): Pat Doherty.
- 7. If this derogation addresses works that are subject of a planning application, no such works permitted under this derogation can occur until planning permission is granted.
- 8. If this derogation expires prior to works permitted under this derogation commencing, a new application must be sought in advance, including the provision of any updated data or reports.
- 9. This derogation shall be produced for inspection on a request being made on that behalf by a member of An Garda Síochána or an authorised NPWS officer appointed under Regulation 4 of the Habitats Regulations.
- 10. The local NPWS District Conservation Officer Irene O'Brien, irene.obrien@npws.gov.ie, must be contacted prior to the commencement of any activity, and if bats are detected on site during the course of the work, under the terms of this derogation.
- 11. On completion of the actions which this derogation authorises, all recordings of bat species affected will be made using the standardised Returns form and must be submitted to the NPWS within four weeks of the expiry date of this derogation. Included with the Returns form, a report will also be submitted to wildlife.reports@npws.gov.ie detailing results of works and success of mitigation. Both documents must be submitted to constitute a derogation return.



For the Minister for Housing, Local Government & Heritage

Claire Conten

(an officer authorised by the Minister to sign on his behalf)

26 November 2025

Any query in relation to this derogation should be sent to reg54derogations@npws.gov.ie



Derogation Assessment

Name of Applicant: Pat Doherty

Location/Name of Project: Former Sisters of Mercy Convent, Altamount Street, Westport, County Mayo

Tick the following prohibition as chosen on the application:

(a)	Deliberately capture or kill any specimen of the relevant species in the wild	
(b)	Deliberately disturb these species particularly during the period of	\boxtimes
	breeding, rearing, hibernation and migration	
(c)	Deliberately take or destroy eggs of the relevant species in the wild	
(d)	Damage or destroy a breeding or resting place of such an animal, or	
(e)	Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of the relevant species taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in Article 12(2) of the Habitats Directive.	
(a)	Deliberately pick, collect, cut, uproot or destroy any specimen of these species in the wild, or	
(b)	Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of these species taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in Article 13(1)(b) of the Habitats Directive.	

Test 1: A reason(s) listed in Regulation 54 (a)-(e) applies to the proposed activity

i. Tick which reason the applicant claims should be applied to the derogation

(a) In the interests of protecting wild flora and fauna and conserving natural habitats,	
(b) To prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and other types of property	
(c) In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment,	\boxtimes
(d) For the purpose of research and education, of re-populating and re-introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including artificial propagation of plants, or	
(e) To allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule.	

ii. Test 1: Conclusion

Please tick the following where it applies:

There is a valid reason(s) listed in Regulation 54 (a)-(e) which applies to	Yes	\boxtimes
the proposed activity:	No	

Please outline your analysis below and state how the applicant has provided evidence to support your conclusion:

The application form and associated documentation provided by the applicant has been reviewed in full. The application relies on regulation 54(2)(c) 'in the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment' as the reason chosen for a derogation that they believe applies to the proposed activity.

In the detail provided, it is clear that the applicants are relying on the interests of public health and public safety aspect of reason C. As outlined on page 34 of the accompanying report the proposed works located at the former Sisters of Mercy Convent Site, Altamont Street, Westport, Co. Mayo entail the development of a new public Library, community Facilities and public spaces in the centre of Westport Town.

It is noted the convent site and associated buildings are in poor condition and have suffered significant decay in the roofs and the floors. The site has also been vacant for a number of years suffering trespass and vandalism presenting a health and safety risk to any persons, accessing the site, and in the absence of renovation works, this risk will increase due to further decline and dereliction of the buildings.

It is also clear that the applicants are also relying on the imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment. It is envisaged that the proposed works will allow for the regeneration of the town centre by transforming the site into a public space offering a number of public buildings and amenities.

The applicants have provided evidence both to the nature and scale of the Health and Safety aspect and also the imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment. For the proposed works and the proposed activity is necessary to achieve these overall objectives. Based on the above this application has passed Test 1 and can now proceed to Test 2

Test 2: Absence of a satisfactory alternative

Please tick the following where it applies and add a comment below to support the recommendation:

The applicant has provided satisfactory evidence that alternative	Yes	\boxtimes
solutions have been considered and have given reasons why the	No	
proposed approach is the only satisfactory alternative:		

Please outline your analysis below and state how the applicant has provided evidence to support your conclusion (If you wish to add additional conditions please complete pg. 6):

Having visited the site with the senior architect and carried out bat counts at the site, I am of the opinion that there has been other solutions considered but the site needs to be redeveloped. The planners have put forward alternatives in the form of a hibernaculum to be placed at a location recommended by NPWS and other habitat measures to enhance the site for the bats. They have been very open to working with NPWS during the plans and into the future.

The site is indeed derelict in the middle of the town and is currently a health and safety hazard and there is no other satisfactory alternative but to develop this site.

In addition, the future development of this site will be beneficial to the local community, due to their plans for habitat creation; there should be beneficial consequences for the environment. I agree with that this application satisfies the following criteria and I recommend the granting of this derogation for the above reasons

<u>Upon completion of your assessment, please return this Recommendation to WLU to continue the application process.</u>

Test 3: Impact of a derogation on conservation status of the species

Please tick the following where it applies and add a comment below to support the recommendation:

The derogation would NOT be detrimental to the maintenance of the	Yes	\boxtimes
populations of the species in question at a favourable conservation	No	
status in their natural range.		

Please outline your analysis below and state how the applicant has provided evidence to support your conclusion. (If you wish to add additional conditions please complete pg. 6)

The site supports small numbers of a few different bat species including, notably, lesser horseshoe bats. This site represents one of the most north-westerly sites for this species in Ireland.

An extensive mitigation plan is planned including the construction of a new, dedicated bat hibernaculum and bat house. Providing the bat mitigation measures detailed in the October 2025 bat report are implemented in full there should be no significant negative impact on the bat species on site

If the answer above is Yes then the derogation may be granted, providing Tests 1 and 2 have also been met.

<u>Upon completion of your assessment, please return this Recommendation to WLU to continue the application process.</u>

Derogation decision

The application for a derogation under Regulation 54 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations, 2011 (S.I. 477 of 2011), as amended, has been assessed by officials in the Department and the following decision has been made:

Tick box where appropriate:

OR This application has been refused as one or more of the conditions set out above have not been met	
limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule.	
introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including the artificial propagation of plants, or (e) to allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a	
environment, (d) for the purpose of research and education, of repopulating and re- introducing those species and for the breeding operations possessary for those	
(c) in the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the	
fisheries and water and other types of property,	∇
habitats, (b) to prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests,	
(a) in the interests of protecting wild fauna and flora and conserving natural	
Therefore, a derogation may be granted to the applicant, since it is—	
and the derogation is not detrimental to the maintenance of the populations of the species to which the Habitats Directive relates at a favourable conservation status in their natural range.	
There is no satisfactory alternative	\boxtimes

The following conditions should be attached to the derogation:
 The bat mitigation measures detailed in the October 2025 bat report should be implemented in full Continued liaison with local NPWS staff 4.
[add additional conditions where required]

Signed: Date: November 26, 2025

Position: Ecologist