

### **Application for Derogation**

# Under Regulation 54 & 54A of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011, as amended

**Revision 2.0 – July 2025** 

- This form can be used by any individual or Company applying for a derogation under Regulation 54 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011 ("the Regulations") or any individual applying on behalf of the Minister for Housing, Local Government and Heritage under Regulation 54(A) of the Regulations.
- Note this application form is not for Domestic Dwelling Derogations (bats within private homes) which can be found here > (<u>3D Application Form</u>)
- Please ensure that you answer questions fully in order to avoid delays and/or your application being rejected on the basis that it does not contain sufficient information and detail for the application to be considered further.
- Please read and familiarise yourself with the <u>NPWS Guidance on Applications for</u> <u>Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: Guidance for Applicants</u>
- Please read and familiarise yourself with the <u>European Commission's Guidance</u> document on the strict protection of animal species of Community interest under the <u>Habitats Directive</u>
- Please also note that the responses to these questions are supplementary to the
  documentation required for the NPWS to be in a position to consider your
  application. A complete application should include both the application form and an
  associated report. Failure to supply either will result in your application being
  returned and/or refused.
- In circumstances in which a derogation is given on foot of this application, the Applicant is responsible for ensuring compliance with the conditions of any such derogation, even though they may employ another person to act on their behalf. To carry out any activity without, or not in accordance with, a derogation granted under regulation 54 or 54A of the Regulations constitutes a criminal offence, subject to prosecution.
- If you experience any problems filling in this form, please contact the Wildlife Licensing Unit: <a href="mailto:reg54derogations@npws.gov.ie">reg54derogations@npws.gov.ie</a>
- Please note applications, associated reports and derogations will be published on the NPWS website and/or the Department's Open Data website.
- Where any applicant is applying for a derogation to carry out surveys, please ensure to list all qualified ecologists and trainees under their supervision. See section 1(c) of Part A.

### Part A: The Applicant - Personal Details

These questions relate to the person responsible for any proposed works and who will be the **Applicant**. If this application is being submitted on behalf of a third party, please also complete Part B below.

### 1. (a) Name of Applicant

Title (Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms/Dr)	Forename(s)	Surname	
Mr	Finbarr	O'Mahony	
<b>(b)</b> Company Name, if applicable	Dublin GAA Hollystown Clusters Company Limited by Guarantee		
(c) Address Line 1	Parnell Park		
Address Line 2	Address Line 2 Town Donnycarney		
Town			
County	Dublin 5		
Eircode			
(d) Contact number			
(e) Email address	finbarr@dublingaa.ie		
(f) Address where works are to be carried out if different from (b) above.			
Address Line 1	Hollystown Park Cluster Facili	ty	
Address Line 2			
Town	wn Fingal		
County Dublin			
Eircode	D15C628		

### Details of Person Submitting Application on Behalf of Applicant/Derogation Holder

Information relating to the person (e.g. ecologist) responsible for submitting the application on behalf of the applicant should be entered below:

### 1. (b) Name of Person/Ecologist

Title (Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms/Dr)	Forename(s)	Surname
Mr	Bryan	Deegan
(b) Company Name	Altemar	
Address Line 1	in the second of	
Address Line 2		
Town		
County		
Eircode		
(c) Contact number	Contact number 00-353-86-8366641	
(d) Email address	bryan@altemar.ie	

(e) Relationship to	Project Ecologist
Applicant	r reject zeeregiet

### For Survey Derogations Only

### 1. (c) Please Indicate the Names to Appear on the Derogation Along with the Position Held e.g. Supervisor/Trainee

Forename(s)	Surname	Supervisor or Trainee

## Part B: Species covered by the Derogation 1. Species of Animal: Please indicate which species is/are the subject of the application:

٠.	Species of Annual. I lease indicate which species is/are the subject of the application.
	<ul> <li>Bat</li> <li>Otter</li> <li>Kerry Slug</li> <li>Natterjack Toad</li> <li>Dolphin</li> <li>Whale</li> <li>Turtle</li> <li>Porpoise</li> </ul>
2.	Please detail the exact species (scientific name): Pipistrellus pipistrellus
3.	Please provide the maximum number of individuals affected* 4
4.	Please provide the maximum number of breeding or resting sites affected* 1 x bat roosts
5.	Please provide the maximum number of eggs to be taken* N/A
6.	Please provide the maximum number of eggs to be destroyed* N/A
	*If no figures can be provided for the maximum number of individuals, breeding sites, resting places and eggs to be covered by the derogation please provide reasons why.
7.	<ul> <li>Species of Plant: Please indicate which species is/are the subject of the application:</li> <li>Killarney Fern</li> <li>Slender Naiad</li> <li>March Sovifrage</li> </ul>
8.	<ul> <li>Marsh Saxifrage</li> <li>If you previously received a derogation for any species of animal or plant, please state derogation number and confirm that you have made a return to NPWS on the numbers actually affected by</li> </ul>
	that derogation.
	Licence No. C 158/2021 translocation of frogs.
	Licence No.: DER/BAT 2023 – 126- Removal of bats in Greenore Co. Co. Louth.
	Licence No.: Der/Bat (151-2024)- Removal of bats from Central Mental Hospital.
	Altemar have also been involved in the translocation of 7 badgers at the Glass Bottle site in Ringsend (Dr Chris Smal

	9. Proposed Dates for Activities: Please indicate the timeframe that you propose to carry out the activities. Dates set by NPWS may differ from dates proposed here. A derogation will only be issued with a start and end date within a calendar year.				
	tart Date: Planning Dependant Q4-2025 (approx.) nd Date: Planning Dependant Q1-2027 (approx.)				
Part	C: Nature of the Derogation.				
1.	lease tick which prohibition(s) the application for a derogation relates to:				
	Regulation 51				
	Deliberately capture or kill any specimen of the relevant species in the wild				
	Deliberately disturb these species particularly during the period of breeding, rearing, hibernation and migration				
	Deliberately take or destroy eggs of the relevant species in the wild				
	Damage or destroy a breeding or resting place of such an animal, or  Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of				
	the relevant species taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in Article 12(2) of the Habitats Directive.				
	Regulation 52				
Deliberately pick, collect, cut, uproot or destroy any specimen of these species in the wild, or					
	Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of these species taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in Article 13(1)(b) of the Habitats Directive.				
Fur	her information should be provided in the format set out in Part E: Template t Supporting Information	for			
Part	D: Derogation Tests				
be use	ne following <u>summary</u> information must be provided by the applicant in all cases, an to determine if a derogation can be provided. Further information must be provided nat set out in Part E: Template for Supporting Information				
Test 1:	Reason for the Derogation				
1. Please tick which reason(s) below explains how this application qualifies under Regulation 54(2)(a-e) or Regulation 54A(2)(a-e) of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations: Please provide a summary of how the application meets the 3 conditions required to provide a derogation. Note that in all cases additional information must be provided (see Part E).					
	a. In the interests of protecting wild flora and fauna and conserving natural habitats (proceed to 2a)				
	b. To prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and other types of property (proceed to 2b)				

•	C.	In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment (proceed to 2c)	
	d.	For the purpose of research and education, of re-populating and re-introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including artificial propagation of plants (proceed to 2d)	
(	e.	To allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule (proceed to 2e)	
2a.	In t	he interests of protecting wild flora and fauna and conserving natural habitats:	
i)	Ple	ease state the wild flora, fauna or habitats that require protection and /or conservation.	_
L			
,	•	ease summarise how the interests of protection and conservation of the species/habitat cerned justify affecting another species under strict protection.	<u> </u>
		prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and s of property:	l othe
	,	Please summarise the nature of the potential damage, why it is considered "serious" and his outweighs the conservation interest of the species under strict protection.	d hov

**2c)** In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment:

	i) Where the reason is for public health and public safety, summarise the evidence provided to support this reason (e.g. documentary evidence of the risk from a chartered structural engineer, tree surgeon, Garda Síochána, qualified health professional etc.)
	ii) Where the reason is for "other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment", summarise the nature of the public interest and how this outweighs the conservation interest of the species under strict protection.
	The proposed development will not cause significant impacts on the local bat population, given the size of the roost (4 individual bats), and the mitigation measures proposed. It is considered that the proposed development is in the public interest and given the small size of the roost, no significant long-term effects on this species are not foreseen. Mitigation measures are in place including new roosting opportunities.
2d	) For the purpose of research and education, of re-populating and re-introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including artificial propagation of plants:
	i) Please summarise the objective(s) of the proposed activities making reference to those listed above and how the the purpose of such activities overrides the interests of strict protection of the species. <sup>1</sup>
2e	) To allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule
	i) Please clearly state the objective of the activity and verify that this reason is being chosen as the objective of the activity does not match reasons a-d listed above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Note that this reason may be appropriate for when research involves surveys that may cause disturbance of species under strict protection. But the sole purpose of the surveys should be for research and education or the other reasons listed above under 1d.

ii) Please summarise how the activity will result in the taking or keeping of limited numbers of specimens of the species, how it will be applied on a selective basis and to a limited extent, and how it will be done under strictly supervised conditions.

The proposed works involve the redevelopment of the former Hollystown Golf Club to provide a modern GAA Cluster Facility, including four floodlit playing pitches, refurbishment and extension of the clubhouse, an indoor training facility, a hurling wall, and a spectator stand. The facility will provide significant social benefits, supporting physical activity, youth development, and community engagement in the local area. It will also provide local employment during construction and ongoing operations, contributing to the economic benefit of the community.

The existing disused clubhouse at the former Hollystown Golf Club is in a state of structural deterioration, with risks to the safety of users and visitors. The proposed works involve the refurbishment and extension of the building, along with the construction of associated sporting facilities, to ensure the safe use of the site for the local community.

The removal of a small common pipistrelle (*Pipistrellus* pipistrellus) roost (4 individuals) within the disused clubhouse is unavoidable to allow for the safe and functional delivery of the facility. The project represents an overriding public interest in delivering essential recreational infrastructure for the local community, while ensuring compliance with wildlife legislation through the mitigation measures detailed in the accompanying ecological report.

#### Test 2: Absence of Alternative solutions

2. Please summarise the alternative solutions that have been considered and why these solutions are deemed unsatisfactory. This must include the option of the "do-nothing" alternative and evidence should be objective and robust. Note that in all cases further information must be provided in the format set out in Part E: Template for Supporting Information.

Alternative Solution	Reasons for "Unsatisfactory"
Do-Nothing	Under a "do nothing" scenario, the existing clubhouse would remain in its current state of disrepair and continue to deteriorate over time. The building would gradually become structurally unsafe and unusable for community purposes. Over time, the surrounding area would slowly revert to unmanaged natural growth, with vegetation encroaching on the structure and surrounding grounds. This process would lead to the eventual loss of the building as a potential roosting site due to collapse and overgrowth, creating an uncontrolled and degraded habitat.

Avoiding the Building Entirely	It is not feasible to retain the existing structure in its current condition due to the extent of refurbishment required to meet modern safety, accessibility, and design standards. The building's integration into the proposed GAA Cluster Facility is central to the overall site layout and functionality, providing essential amenities such as changing rooms, gymnasium, and meeting spaces. Avoiding works to the building would compromise the viability of the development.
Alternative Site Location	
	Relocating the development to another site was not considered a viable option, as the proposed facility is designed to serve the existing local GAA community and is situated on lands already in the ownership and management of the Dublin County Board G.A.A. The use of the former golf course lands also maximises the reuse of previously modified ground and existing infrastructure, reducing the need for new greenfield development.
Conclusion	Given these considerations, it is concluded that no satisfactory alternative exists to the proposed works. The refurbishment of the clubhouse is essential for the safe and functional delivery of the GAA Cluster Facility. The proposed derogation, combined with appropriate mitigation measures (as outlined above), ensures that impacts on bats will be minimised and compensated appropriately, maintaining the species at a favourable conservation status in the wider area.

<sup>\*</sup> Please insert additional rows above if needed

### Test 3: Impact of a Derogation on Conservation Status

3. Please summarise the possible impacts on the population of the species that is subject to this application, taking into account all the mitigation and/or compensation measures that are to be undertaken. Evidence that such mitigation has been successful elsewhere should be provided where relevant. Mitigation measures being relied upon must ensure that the derogation will not be detrimental to the maintenance of the populations of the species to which the Habitats Directive relates at a favourable conservation status in their natural range. Note that in all cases further information must be provided in the format set out in Part E: Template for Supporting Information.

With mitigation measures, the proposed works, namely the refurbishment and extension of the disused clubhouse and associated site development, will have an overall minor impact on local bat populations of common pipistrelles (*Pipistrellus pipistrellus*), given the survey results which show that the building supports a small day roost of this species and the presence of alternative suitable roosting habitat in surrounding buildings and semi-rural areas.

The presence of common pipistrelle bats roosting in the building is not unexpected. This species is widespread and commonly encountered throughout Ireland and is regularly recorded during bat surveys (NPWS, 2019). Common pipistrelles are highly adaptable in their habitat use, foraging across woodland, riparian areas, parkland, farmland, and urban environments (NPWS, 2019). The national population of common pipistrelles is currently increasing, with no identified pressures or threats affecting their conservation status. Overall, the future prospects for this species in terms of range, population, and habitat are considered Favourable (NPWS, 2019). further demonstrates the wide variety of alternative roosts used by pipistrelles, from individual roosts behind ivy on trees to larger colony roosts in inhabited buildings.

The ecological report submitted alongside this application outlines measures to avoid and minimise disturbance to bats during the works. In light of the size of the roost, the nature and setting of the proposed works, the mitigation strategy proposed (see Mitigation Measures section of the bat report), and the fact that common pipistrelles are widespread and currently classified as Least Concern, it can be concluded that the development, when implemented in line with the mitigation measures, will not have a detrimental impact on the maintenance of the local bat population.

### Part E: Template for Supporting Information

This application form should provide a summary of the evidence that the applicant has provided. In all cases, it is necessary to provide separate supporting information so that the assessment of the application can be undertaken in a robust and comprehensive manner. Applicants should refer to guidance provided by the NPWS and the European Commission whilst preparing this application form and the supporting information.

It is essential that supporting information is prepared in a consistent manner using the template below so that NPWS officials assessing the application can locate the relevant evidence to determine if the three Tests can be met. Failure to provide sufficient evidence will result in the application being refused.

The structure of the Supporting Information should be as follows:

- 1) Table of Contents
- 2) Introduction
  - a. Objective of the proposed works (for example, as part of construction of a national road, repair of roofing, undertaking surveys etc.)
  - b. Name, qualifications and relevant experience of scientific staff, including trainees, (e.g. ecologist) involved in the preparation of the application and those responsible for carrying out the proposed activity.
  - c. If this application is for the carrying out of surveys that may cause disturbance, qualifications of all involved must be provided and trainees must be clearly identified.
- 3) Background to proposed activity including location, ownership, type of and need for the proposed activity, planning history, policy context, zoning in relevant Development plan (or equivalent), etc.
- 4) Full details of proposed activity to be covered by the derogation (including a site plan). The site may be inspected by an NPWS representative, so the details given should clearly reflect the extent of the project. This information will be used to compare site conditions with the Method Statement.
- 5) Ecological Survey and site assessment (Not required for applications to carry out surveys)
  - a. Pre-existing information on species at location and environs.
  - b. Status of the species in the local/regional area (relevant to the consideration of the impact on the population at the relevant geographic scale (Test 3))
  - c. Objective(s) of survey
  - d. Description of Surveys Area
  - e. Survey methodology (including evidence as to how the methodology represents best practice and is appropriate to the Objective). Methodology should include survey maps, details of timing, climate, equipment used and identify any uncertainties or difficulties encountered.
  - f. Survey results including raw data, any processed or aggregated data, and negative results as appropriate. Photographs and maps must be provided where site-specific features are referred.
  - g. Population size class assessment.
- 6) Evidence to support the Derogation Tests
  - a. Test 1 Reason for Derogation:
    - i. There should be a clear explanation as to why a specific reason(s) has been selected in the application form.

- ii. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published by the NPWS 'Guidance on Applications for Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: Guidance for Applicants' with specific reference to Section 3.1.
- b. Test 2 Absence of Alternative Solutions
  - i. Applicants must list the alternatives to the proposed activity that have been considered, including the do-nothing alternatives in a clear and objective manner. A basic requirement is that these alternatives should be compared in terms of their impact on the species subject to strict protection. It should be clear to NPWS officials as to why the chosen approach has been selected.
  - ii. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published by 'Guidance on Applications for Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: Guidance for Applicants" with specific reference to Section 3.2.
- c. Test 3 Impact of a derogation on Conservation Status
  - i. Applicants should include details of the population at the appropriate geographic scale and an evaluation of how the proposed activity will affect the conservation status both before and after mitigation measures have been applied.
  - ii. Full and detailed descriptions of proposed mitigation measures that are relevant to the potential impact on the target species. Evidence that such mitigation has been successful elsewhere should be provided, where available.
  - iii. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published '<u>Guidance on Applications</u> for Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: <u>Guidance for Applicants</u>" with specific reference to Section 3.3.

### 7) Monitoring the impacts of the derogations

- a. Applicants must include details of how they propose to verify whether the derogations have been implemented correctly and whether they achieved their objective, using scientifically based evidence, and, if necessary, how the applicant will take corrective measures where required.
- b. Applicants should provide details of proposed reports to be submitted to the NPWS including the results of monitoring.
- c. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published by the European Commission "Guidance document on the strict protection of animal species of Community interest under the Habitats Directive" with specific reference to Section 3.4.

#### Part F. Declaration

I declare that all of the foregoing particulars are, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true and correct. I understand that the deliberate killing, injuring, capturing or disturbing of protected species, or damage or destruction of their breeding sites or resting places or the deliberate taking or destroying of eggs is an offence without a derogation and that it is a legal requirement to comply with the conditions of any derogation I may be granted following this application. I understand that NPWS may visit to check compliance with a derogation.

Please note that under Regulation 5 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011-2021 an authorised officer may enter and inspect any land or premises for the purposes of performing any of their functions under these Regulations or for obtaining any information which they may require for such purposes.

Signature of the <b>Applicant</b>	Buylong	Date	03/11/2025
Name in <b>BLOCK LETTERS</b>	BRYAN DEEGAN		

PRIVACY STATEMENT
See Privacy Statement at <a href="https://www.npws.ie/licences">www.npws.ie/licences</a>

### npws.ie

