



NPWS

An tSeirbhís Páirceanna
Náisiúnta agus Fiadhúlra
National Parks and Wildlife
Service

Application for Derogation

Under Regulation 54 & 54A of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011, as amended

Revision 2.0 – July 2025

- This form can be used by any individual or Company applying for a derogation under Regulation 54 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011 (“the Regulations”) or any individual applying on behalf of the Minister for Housing, Local Government and Heritage under Regulation 54(A) of the Regulations.
- Note this application form is not for Domestic Dwelling Derogations (bats within private homes) which can be found here > ([3D Application Form](#))
- Please ensure that you answer questions fully in order to avoid delays and/or your application being rejected on the basis that it does not contain sufficient information and detail for the application to be considered further.
- Please read and familiarise yourself with the [NPWS Guidance on Applications for Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: Guidance for Applicants](#)
- Please read and familiarise yourself with the [European Commission's Guidance document on the strict protection of animal species of Community interest under the Habitats Directive](#)
- Please also note that the responses to these questions are supplementary to the documentation required for the NPWS to be in a position to consider your application. A complete application should include both the application form and an associated report. Failure to supply either will result in your application being returned and/or refused.
- In circumstances in which a derogation is given on foot of this application, the Applicant is responsible for ensuring compliance with the conditions of any such derogation, even though they may employ another person to act on their behalf. To carry out any activity without, or not in accordance with, a derogation granted under regulation 54 or 54A of the Regulations constitutes a criminal offence, subject to prosecution.
- If you experience any problems filling in this form, please contact the Wildlife Licensing Unit: reg54derogations@npws.gov.ie
- Please note – applications, associated reports and derogations will be published on the NPWS website and/or the Department's Open Data website.
- Where any applicant is applying for a derogation to carry out surveys, please ensure to list all qualified ecologists and trainees under their supervision. See section 1(c) of Part A.

Part A: The Applicant - Personal Details

These questions relate to the person responsible for any proposed works and who will be the **Applicant**.
If this application is being submitted on behalf of a third party, please also complete Part B below.

1. (a) Name of Applicant

Title (Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms/Dr)	Forename(s)	Surname
	Mark McSorley (General Manager)	
(b) Company Name, if applicable	Dromoland Castle and Estate	
(c) Address Line 1		
Address Line 2	Dromoland	
Town	Newmarket-On-Fergus	
County	Clare	
Eircode	V95 ATD3	
(d) Contact number	+353 (0)86 815 2972	
(e) Email address	mark.mcsorley@dromoland.ie	
(f) Address where works are to be carried out if different from (b) above.		
Address Line 1		
Address Line 2		
Town		
County		
Eircode		

Details of Person Submitting Application on Behalf of Applicant/Derogation Holder

Information relating to the person (e.g. ecologist) responsible for submitting the application on behalf of the applicant should be entered below:

1. (b) Name of Person/Ecologist

Title (Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms/Dr)	Forename(s)	Surname
Ms	Marie	Kearns
(b) Company Name	Ecology Ireland Wildlife Consultants Ltd	
Address Line 1	Ballyhigh	
Address Line 2	Effin	
Town	Kilmallock	
County	Co. Limerick	
Eircode	V35 VW58	
(c) Contact number	0857419629 (Marie),	
(d) Email address	marie@ecologyireland.ie ,	
(e) Relationship to Applicant	Consultant Ecologist	

For Survey Derogations Only

**1. (c) Please Indicate the Names to Appear on the Derogation Along with the Position Held
e.g. Supervisor/Trainee**

Forename(s)	Surname	Supervisor or Trainee

Part B: Species covered by the Derogation

1. **Species of Animal:** Please indicate which species is/are the subject of the application:

- Bat ☒
- Otter ☐
- Kerry Slug ☐
- Natterjack Toad ☐
- Dolphin ☐
- Whale ☐
- Turtle ☐
- Porpoise ☐

2. Please detail the exact species (scientific name): | Soprano Pipistrelle (*Pipistrellus pygmaeus*)
and Brown Long-eared Bat (*Plecotus auritus*) | _____

3. Please provide the maximum number of individuals affected* | Unknown | _____

4. Please provide the maximum number of breeding or resting sites affected* | 1 | _____

5. Please provide the maximum number of eggs to be taken* | _____ | _____

6. Please provide the maximum number of eggs to be destroyed* | _____ | _____

*If no figures can be provided for the maximum number of individuals, breeding sites, resting places and eggs to be covered by the derogation please provide reasons why.

| As outlined in the accompany derogation licence report the analysis of recordings from the passive detector suggest that it is likely the structure is used as a night roost by both Soprano Pipistrelle and Brown Long-eared Bat, with recorded dawn activity indicating that Soprano Pipistrelles may well use it as a day roost. This has not been confirmed by active dawn/dusk surveys |

7. **Species of Plant:** Please indicate which species is/are the subject of the application:

- Killarney Fern ☐
- Slender Naiad ☐
- Marsh Saxifrage ☐

8. If you previously received a derogation for any species of animal or plant, please state derogation number and confirm that you have made a return to NPWS on the numbers actually affected by that derogation.

| DER/BAT 2024-141 (Bat Survey General Licence) Returns Made to NPWS
DER-BAT-2025-276 (Bat Survey General Licence) Active |

9. **Proposed Dates for Activities:** Please indicate the timeframe that you propose to carry out the activities. Dates set by NPWS may differ from dates proposed here. *A derogation will only be issued with a start and end date within a calendar year.*

Start Date:	01 Jan 2026
End Date:	15 May 2026

Part C: Nature of the Derogation.

1. Please tick which prohibition(s) the application for a derogation relates to:

Regulation 51	
Deliberately capture or kill any specimen of the relevant species in the wild	<input type="checkbox"/>
Deliberately disturb these species particularly during the period of breeding, rearing, hibernation and migration	<input type="checkbox"/>
Deliberately take or destroy eggs of the relevant species in the wild	<input type="checkbox"/>
Damage or destroy a breeding or resting place of such an animal, or	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of the relevant species taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in Article 12(2) of the Habitats Directive.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Regulation 52	
Deliberately pick, collect, cut, uproot or destroy any specimen of these species in the wild, or	<input type="checkbox"/>
Keep, transport, sell, exchange, offer for sale or offer for exchange any specimen of these species taken in the wild, other than those taken legally as referred to in Article 13(1)(b) of the Habitats Directive.	<input type="checkbox"/>

Further information should be provided in the format set out in Part E: Template for Supporting Information

Part D: Derogation Tests

Note: The following summary information must be provided by the applicant in all cases, and will be used to determine if a derogation can be provided. Further information must be provided in the format set out in Part E: Template for Supporting Information

Test 1: Reason for the Derogation

1. Please tick which reason(s) below explains how this application qualifies under Regulation 54(2)(a-e) or Regulation 54A(2)(a-e) of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations: Please provide a summary of how the application meets the 3 conditions required to provide a derogation. Note that in all cases additional information must be provided (see Part E).

a.	In the interests of protecting wild flora and fauna and conserving natural habitats (proceed to 2a)	<input type="checkbox"/>
b.	To prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and other types of property (proceed to 2b)	<input type="checkbox"/>
c.	In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment (proceed to 2c)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d.	For the purpose of research and education, of re-populating and re-introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including artificial propagation of plants (proceed to 2d)	<input type="checkbox"/>

e.	To allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule (proceed to 2e)	<input type="checkbox"/>
----	---	--------------------------

2a. In the interests of protecting wild flora and fauna and conserving natural habitats:

i) Please state the wild flora, fauna or habitats that require protection and /or conservation.

--	--

ii) Please summarise how the interests of protection and conservation of the species/habitat concerned justify affecting another species under strict protection.

--	--

2b) To prevent serious damage, in particular to crops, livestock, forests, fisheries and water and other types of property:

i) Please summarise the nature of the potential damage, why it is considered “serious” and how this outweighs the conservation interest of the species under strict protection.

--	--

2c) In the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment:

i) Where the reason is for public health and public safety, summarise the evidence provided to support this reason (e.g. documentary evidence of the risk from a chartered structural engineer, tree surgeon, Garda Síochána, qualified health professional etc.)

--	--

-
- ii) Where the reason is for “other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment”, summarise the nature of the public interest and how this outweighs the conservation interest of the species under strict protection.

The overall project comprises a series of carefully planned enhancements, including extensions and upgrades, to Dromoland Castle and the wider Estate. These upgrades will elevate the hotel and its associated facilities, realising the full potential of Dromoland as a world-class luxury destination with exceptional recreational amenities.

As County Clare’s Luxury hotel, it is vital that Dromoland Castle remains relevant and competitive on the international stage. This investment is not only about maintaining our own standing; it is about strengthening the region’s profile and ensuring that the economic benefits of high-end tourism flow directly into the county. By attracting discerning visitors, Dromoland helps to drive local spend across hospitality, retail, transport and cultural experiences—securing long-term gains for the wider community.

The development of the Farm as part of the Dromoland Castle and Estate forms part of this project. As part of the proposed development, the Stables will undergo a change in use from a golf storage/maintenance facility to guest accommodation. The golf course maintenance team will be moved to the Farm, which will be converted to the golf maintenance facility, central delivery and procurement hub for Dromoland Castle and Estate. The current condition and nature of the existing Farm is not compatible with the proposed development. |

- 2d)** For the purpose of research and education, of re-populating and re-introducing these species and for the breeding operations necessary for these purposes, including artificial propagation of plants:

- i) Please summarise the objective(s) of the proposed activities making reference to those listed above and how the the purpose of such activities overrides the interests of strict protection of the species. ¹

- 2e)** To allow, under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking or keeping of certain specimens of the species to the extent specified therein, which are referred to in the First Schedule

¹ Note that this reason may be appropriate for when research involves surveys that may cause disturbance of species under strict protection. But the sole purpose of the surveys should be for research and education or the other reasons listed above under 1d.

- i) Please clearly state the objective of the activity and verify that this reason is being chosen as the objective of the activity does not match reasons a-d listed above.

--	--	--

- ii) Please summarise how the activity will result in the taking or keeping of limited numbers of specimens of the species, how it will be applied on a selective basis and to a limited extent, and how it will be done under strictly supervised conditions.

--	--	--

Test 2: Absence of Alternative solutions

2. Please summarise the alternative solutions that have been considered and why these solutions are deemed unsatisfactory. This must include the option of the “do-nothing” alternative and evidence should be objective and robust. Note that in all cases further information must be provided in the format set out in Part E: Template for Supporting Information.

Alternative Solution	Reasons for “Unsatisfactory”
Do-Nothing	In the absence of a managed scheme for the former farm complex east of Dromoland Castle and Estate, the sheds and ancillary buildings, many of which have asbestos coated corrugated roofs, would predictably continue to deteriorate which is not a satisfactory alternative. Although the site currently benefits from a dark setting (no artificial lighting), the ongoing decay of the large, locked shed in particular, together with ad hoc storage use, would progressively reduce bat roost potential. Given the present condition of much of the structure, this loss is likely to occur within a short number of years.
Retain the roost during works	Retain the roost during works. Roost avoidance was considered but is not feasible. While the exact location of the roost within the large, locked shed is not known, the entire structure will be demolished as part of the proposed works. In-situ retention during works would cause significant disturbance and risk of injury to bats, contrary to the legal requirement to avoid harm to protected species.

--	--

* Please insert additional rows above if needed

Test 3: Impact of a Derogation on Conservation Status

3. Please summarise the possible impacts on the population of the species that is subject to this application, taking into account all the mitigation and/or compensation measures that are to be undertaken. Evidence that such mitigation has been successful elsewhere should be provided where relevant. Mitigation measures being relied upon must ensure that the derogation will not be detrimental to the maintenance of the populations of the species to which the Habitats Directive relates at a favourable conservation status in their natural range. Note that in all cases further information must be provided in the format set out in Part E: Template for Supporting Information.

While a high level of Soprano Pipistrelle activity was recorded, with an average of c. 97 registrations per night across 26 nights, the condition of the building is such that it is unlikely to be a maternity site for this species. Population-level impacts of the proposed development are not considered to be likely in this case. As previously discussed, and outlined in the Mitigation Approach section below, bat boxes will be provided as a replacement roosting habitat which will be effective in facilitating bats to continue to roost at the site. The actions permitted by a derogation licence to allow works at the Farm will not be detrimental to the maintenance of bat populations at a favourable conservation status in their natural range, as is required under Section 54(2) of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations

Summary Of Mitigation Measures. Please see the accompanying derogation licence application report for the comprehensive list of mitigation measures.

The commencement of works, specifically in relation to the large, locked shed, will be carried out outside of the breeding period (late May to mid-August).

The Project Ecologist shall be present on site to supervise the removal of the corrugated roof, and initial demolition work of the large, locked shed including the internal room. The Project Ecologist will provide a toolbox talk to the demolition personnel and will provide advice and support to the project team throughout.

A total of 20 no. Bat boxes will be installed within the woodland area to the east of the site. These bat boxes are to be optimised for use by Common, Soprano Pipistrelle, Brown Long-eared Bat and other species such as Leisler's Bat, with final type and design agreed in consultation with NPWS. There will be no artificial lighting installed resulting in light-spill in proximity to the bat boxes. The bat boxes will be inspected, cleaned and maintained on an annual basis by a suitably qualified ecologist.

A bat emergence survey is to be carried out prior to works on the building commencing. Where it is noted that bats are roosting within a building, demolition must not proceed unless agreed mitigation measures relating to timing, exclusion, and re-location as necessary are in place.

As previously mentioned, it is understood that the roofs of the sheds in the Farm are coated with asbestos and will need careful removal by a licenced asbestos contractor under a formal plan of work. The slow and cautious removal and lowering of corrugated sheets, intact, that such work with asbestos requires aligns with bat-sensitive practice (soft demolition techniques) by minimising noise and vibration.

In the event that any bats are discovered the ecologist will advise on the appropriate actions. If bats are found, all works will cease, until the Project Ecologist has been contacted, and the NPWS (as per licence conditions) are informed and the matter discussed and resolved. If necessary, a bat specialist with a handling licence will carefully remove for safe release away from the works area.

Lighting will follow the Bat Conservation Trust in partnership with the Institution for Lighting Professionals (ILP) Best Practice Guidance (BTC & ILP, 2018) on considering the impact on bats when designing lighting schemes

All conditions of the derogation licence will be fully implemented

Part E: Template for Supporting Information

This application form should provide a summary of the evidence that the applicant has provided. In all cases, it is necessary to provide separate supporting information so that the assessment of the application can be undertaken in a robust and comprehensive manner. Applicants should refer to guidance provided by the NPWS and the European Commission whilst preparing this application form and the supporting information.

It is essential that supporting information is prepared in a consistent manner using the template below so that NPWS officials assessing the application can locate the relevant evidence to determine if the three Tests can be met. Failure to provide sufficient evidence will result in the application being refused.

The structure of the Supporting Information should be as follows:

- 1) Table of Contents
- 2) Introduction
 - a. Objective of the proposed works (for example, as part of construction of a national road, repair of roofing, undertaking surveys etc.)
 - b. Name, qualifications and relevant experience of scientific staff, including trainees, (e.g. ecologist) involved in the preparation of the application and those responsible for carrying out the proposed activity.
 - c. If this application is for the carrying out of surveys that may cause disturbance, qualifications of all involved must be provided and trainees must be clearly identified.
- 3) Background to proposed activity including location, ownership, type of and need for the proposed activity, planning history, policy context, zoning in relevant Development plan (or equivalent), etc.
- 4) Full details of proposed activity to be covered by the derogation (including a site plan). The site may be inspected by an NPWS representative, so the details given should clearly reflect the extent of the project. This information will be used to compare site conditions with the Method Statement.
- 5) Ecological Survey and site assessment (Not required for applications to carry out surveys)
 - a. Pre-existing information on species at location and environs.
 - b. Status of the species in the local/regional area (relevant to the consideration of the impact on the population at the relevant geographic scale (Test 3))
 - c. Objective(s) of survey
 - d. Description of Surveys Area
 - e. Survey methodology (including evidence as to how the methodology represents best practice and is appropriate to the Objective). Methodology should include survey maps, details of timing, climate, equipment used and identify any uncertainties or difficulties encountered.
 - f. Survey results including raw data, any processed or aggregated data, and negative results as appropriate. Photographs and maps must be provided where site-specific features are referred.
 - g. Population size class assessment.
- 6) Evidence to support the Derogation Tests
 - a. Test 1 - Reason for Derogation:
 - i. There should be a clear explanation as to why a specific reason(s) has been selected in the application form.

- ii. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published by the NPWS '[Guidance on Applications for Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: Guidance for Applicants](#)' with specific reference to Section 3.1.
- b. Test 2 - Absence of Alternative Solutions
 - i. Applicants must list the alternatives to the proposed activity that have been considered, including the do-nothing alternatives in a clear and objective manner. A basic requirement is that these alternatives should be compared in terms of their impact on the species subject to strict protection. It should be clear to NPWS officials as to why the chosen approach has been selected.
 - ii. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published by '[Guidance on Applications for Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: Guidance for Applicants](#)' with specific reference to Section 3.2.
- c. Test 3 - Impact of a derogation on Conservation Status
 - i. Applicants should include details of the population at the appropriate geographic scale and an evaluation of how the proposed activity will affect the conservation status both before and after mitigation measures have been applied.
 - ii. Full and detailed descriptions of proposed mitigation measures that are relevant to the potential impact on the target species. Evidence that such mitigation has been successful elsewhere should be provided, where available.
 - iii. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published '[Guidance on Applications for Regulation 54 Derogations for Annex IV species: Guidance for Applicants](#)' with specific reference to Section 3.3.

7) Monitoring the impacts of the derogations

- a. Applicants must include details of how they propose to verify whether the derogations have been implemented correctly and whether they achieved their objective, using scientifically based evidence, and, if necessary, how the applicant will take corrective measures where required.
- b. Applicants should provide details of proposed reports to be submitted to the NPWS including the results of monitoring.
- c. Applicants are advised to read the guidance published by the European Commission "[Guidance document on the strict protection of animal species of Community interest under the Habitats Directive](#)" with specific reference to Section 3.4.

Part F. Declaration

I declare that all of the foregoing particulars are, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true and correct. I understand that the deliberate killing, injuring, capturing or disturbing of protected species, or damage or destruction of their breeding sites or resting places or the deliberate taking or destroying of eggs is an offence without a derogation and that it is a legal requirement to comply with the conditions of any derogation I may be granted following this application. I understand that NPWS may visit to check compliance with a derogation.

Please note that under Regulation 5 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011-2021 an authorised officer may enter and inspect any land or premises for the purposes of performing any of their functions under these Regulations or for obtaining any information which they may require for such purposes.

Signature of the Applicant

Marie Kearns

Date

8th Oct
2025

Name in BLOCK LETTERS

MARIE KEARNS

PRIVACY STATEMENT

See Privacy Statement at www.npws.ie/licences

npws.ie

Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage



An Roinn Tithíochta,
Rialtais Áitiúil agus Oidhreachta
Department of Housing,
Local Government and Heritage